

D8.1 Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases

| Deliverable Id: | D8.1 |
|--|--|
| Deliverable Name: | Specification of Industrial |
| | validation Use Cases |
| Status: | Final |
| Dissemination Level: | Public |
| Due date of deliverable: | 2022/08/31 |
| Actual submission date: | 2022/09/16 |
| Work Package: | WP8 "Case Studies, Specification, Benchmarking & Justification File" |
| Organization name of lead contractor for this deliverable: | MODIS Consultant |
| Authors: | Pietro Abbatangelo, MODIS |
| | Luca Visconti, MODIS |
| | Jon Mikel Olmos Serna, CAF |
| | Markus Postl, Virtual Vehicle |
| | Florian Thaler, Virtual Vehicle |
| | Bernhard Winkler, Virtual Vehicle |
| | Artur Kaufmann, BEEA |
| | Stefano Delucchi, AITEK |
| | Giacomo Valente, UNIVAO |
| | Luigi Pomante, UNIVAO |
| | Fernando Eizaquirre IKERI AN |
| | Iñaki Paz IKS |
| | |
| Reviewers: | Martin Matschnig, Siemens |
| | Tania Di Mascio, UNIVAQ |
| | |

Abstract

D8.1 "Specification of Industrial Validation Use Cases" aims at providing a detailed description of each Use Case in terms of implementation, objectives, evaluation of results, and expectations from FRACTAL.

Implementation plan and justification plan are defined for each Use Case and they will guide third year project activities related to Use Cases from UC5 to UC8.

ECSEL Joint Undertaking Electrenic Components and Systems for European Leadership

This project has received funding from the ECSEL Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 877056



| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

Contents

| 1 | Hist | ory | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | Sun | Summary7 | | | | | |
| 3 | Intr | oduc | tion | | | | |
| 4 | VAL 11 | VAL-UC5 Increasing the safety of an autonomous train through AI techniques 11 | | | | | |
| 2 | 4.1 Before FRACTAL | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1.2 | Use | Case Objectives | 14 | | | |
| | 4.2. | 14 | | | | | |
| | 4.2. | 2 | General Objectives | 14 | | | |
| 2 | 1.3 | Stat | e of the art | 16 | | | |
| | 4.3. | 1 | Technological Context in Railway Industry | 16 | | | |
| | 4.3. | 2 | State of the Art for Relevant AI Techniques | 17 | | | |
| | 4.3. | 3 | Deep Learning Hardware, Power Computing and functional safe | ety19 | | | |
| | 4.3. | 4 | Object Detection Metrics (KPIs) | 20 | | | |
| 2 | 1.4 | Mair | o contributions expected from FRACTAL | 25 | | | |
| 2 | 1.5 | Eval | uation of the implementation results | 25 | | | |
| | 4.5.1 KPI | | KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks | 26 | | | |
| | 4.5. | 2 | KPI for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars | 27 | | | |
| | 4.5. | 3 | KPI for UC Features | 27 | | | |
| 2 | 1.6 | Imp | lementation plan | 29 | | | |
| | 4.6. | 1 | Architecture | 29 | | | |
| | 4.6. | 2 | Tasks | 30 | | | |
| | 4.6. | 3 | Components | 33 | | | |
| | 4.6. | 4 | Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs | 34 | | | |
| 2 | 1.7 | Justi | ification plan | | | | |
| | 4.7. | 1 | KPI evaluation method | | | | |
| | 4.7. | 2 | Use Case Requirement Validation methods | 47 | | | |
| | 4.7. | 3 | Components Validation | 49 | | | |
| 5 tot | VAL em) . | -UC6 | Elaborate data collected using heterogeneous technologies (ir | ntelligent 55 | | | |
| 5 | 5.1 | Befo | re FRACTAL | 56 | | | |
| 5 | 5.2 | Use | Case Objectives | 57 | | | |
| 5 | 5.3 | Stat | e of the art | 59 | | | |
| | | | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 2 of 193 | | | |

| 144 12 4 1 A | Project | FRACTAL | |
|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| 5.3.1 | Interactive totem for retail application59 |
|---|---|
| 5.3.2 | Object and people detection using video analytics |
| 5.3.3 | Idiom recognition60 |
| 5.4 M | in contributions expected from FRACTAL62 |
| 5.5 Ev | aluation of the implementation results63 |
| 5.5.1 | KPIs for Implementation Plan Tasks63 |
| 5.5.2 | KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives64 |
| 5.5.3 | KPIs for UC Features65 |
| 5.6 In | plementation Plan67 |
| 5.6.1 | Big Picture in UC667 |
| 5.6.2 | Tasks68 |
| 5.6.3 | Components70 |
| 5.6.4 | Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs72 |
| 5.7 Ju | stification plan76 |
| 5.7.1 | KPIs evaluation method76 |
| 5.7.2 | Use Case Requirements Validation methods83 |
| 5.7.3 | Components Validation |
| 01710 | |
| 6 VAL-U | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements 87 Ifore FRACTAL 89 SPIDER Hardware 89 SPIDER System Architecture 91 Collision Avoidance Function 92 SPIDER Path Tracking Function 92 e Case Objectives 94 Collision Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 96 Functional Safety 96 |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 6.3.2 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements 87 fore FRACTAL 89 SPIDER Hardware 89 SPIDER System Architecture 91 Collision Avoidance Function 92 SPIDER Path Tracking Function 92 e Case Objectives 94 Collision Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Action Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Action Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Security 96 Security 97 |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements 87 fore FRACTAL 89 SPIDER Hardware 89 SPIDER System Architecture 91 Collision Avoidance Function 92 SPIDER Path Tracking Function 92 e Case Objectives 94 Collision Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Actional Safety 96 Security 97 Artificial Intelligence 97 |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.4 Ma | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.4 Ma 6.5 Ev | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements. 87 fore FRACTAL 89 SPIDER Hardware. 89 SPIDER System Architecture. 91 Collision Avoidance Function 92 SPIDER Path Tracking Function 92 e Case Objectives 94 Collision Avoidance Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Path Tracking Function Objectives 94 Actional Safety 96 Security 97 Artificial Intelligence 97 ain contributions expected from FRACTAL 99 aluation of the implementation results 99 |
| 6 VAL-U 6.1 Be 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.1.4 6.2 Us 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.3 St 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.4 Ma 6.5 Ev 6.5.1 | C7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| | 6.5 | .3 | KPI for UC Features |
|----------|--------------|------|--|
| (| 6.6 | Imp | plementation plan103 |
| | 6.6 | .1 | Architecture103 |
| | 6.6 | .2 | Tasks |
| | 6.6 | .3 | Components |
| | 6.6 | .4 | Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs108 |
| (| 6.7 | Just | tification plan112 |
| | 6.7 | .1 | KPI evaluation method112 |
| | 6.7 | .2 | Use Case Requirement Validation methods120 |
| | 6.7 | .3 | Components Validation122 |
| 7 a v | VAL wareh | -UC | 8 Improve the performance of autonomous shuttles for moving goods in |
| | 7.1 | Bef | ore FRACTAL |
| | 7.1 | .1 | Hardware |
| | 7.2 | Use | e Case Objectives |
| | 7.3 | Sta | te of the art |
| | 7.3 | .1 | System architecture |
| | 7.3 | .2 | Electronic platforms in shuttles |
| | 7.3 | .3 | Communication |
| | 7.3 | .4 | Functional safety |
| | 7.4 | Mai | n contributions expected from FRACTAL137 |
| | 7.4 | .1 | Swarm intelligence |
| | 7.4 | .2 | Functional safety extension139 |
| | 7.4 | .3 | Cloud services |
| | 7.5 | Eva | luation of the implementation results141 |
| | 7.5 | .1 | KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks141 |
| | 7.5 | .2 | KPI for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars142 |
| | 7.5 | .3 | KPI for UC Features142 |
| | 7.6 | Imp | plementation plan144 |
| | 7.6 | .1 | Architecture144 |
| | 7.6 | .2 | Tasks145 |
| | 7.6 | .3 | Components148 |
| | 7.6 | .4 | Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs149 |
| | 7.7 | Just | tification plan162 |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| | 7.7.1 | KPI evaluation method | 162 | | |
|----|-----------------|---|-----|--|--|
| | 7.7.2 | Use Case Requirement Validation methods | 170 | | |
| | 7.7.3 | Components Validation | 172 | | |
| 8 | Conclusions1 | | | | |
| 9 | Bibliography | | | | |
| 10 | List of figures | | | | |
| 11 | List of tables | | | | |
| 12 | List of A | Abbreviations | 192 | | |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 5 of 193 |
|--|----------|
|--|----------|

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

1 History

| Version | Date | Modification reason | Modified by |
|---------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 0.1 | 26/08/2022 | First complete draft version | All contributors |
| 0.2 | 05/09/2022 | Complete version for internal review | All contributors |
| 1.0 | 16/09/2022 | Submission | All contributors |

Table 1 - Document history

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 6 of 193 |
|--|----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

2 Summary

This document is the output of Tasks T8.1 and T8.2 and will guide the tasks T8.3 and T8.4. Four of the FRACTAL Use Cases take part in WP8 (Case Studies, Benchmarking and Quality) for the industrial validation of FRACTAL developments:

- UC5 Increasing the safety of an autonomous train through AI techniques;
- UC6 Elaborate data collected using heterogeneous technologies;
- UC7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements;
- UC8 Improve the performance of autonomous warehouse shuttles for moving goods in a warehouse.

This document declares the objectives of these Use Cases, how they are going to be implemented in FRACTAL and finally how the Use Cases are going to evaluate results. In this sense, the document contains 4 main sections (one per UC) where each of the sections presents the following structure:

- A small introduction to the UC;
- How the system would be implemented without FRACTAL;
- The objectives of the UC within FRACTAL;
- An exploration of the state of the art in the UC field;
- The main contributions expected from FRACTAL;
- How the results are going to be evaluated (UC KPI definition);
- How the Use Case will be implemented using FRACTAL;
- And the justification plan: how defined KPIs will be evaluated in the context of the UC to evaluate FRACTAL.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 7 of 193 |
|--|----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

3 Introduction

The goal of the FRACTAL project is to create a cognitive edge node, called FRACTAL node, enabling a FRACTAL Edge that can be qualified to work in different domains under industry standards. The FRACTAL node will be the basic building block of intelligent and scalable Internet of Things (ranging from Low-Energy Computing to High-Performance Computing Edge Nodes).

The strategic objective to implement and prioritize the different requirements of a FRACTAL node are presented in Table 3 of the Deliverable D2.1 and shown below in Table 2. The objectives of this project are related to the main technological pillars, representing all the characteristics and specifications that need to be integrated into the hardware and software of the node.

| Obj. # | Objective | Relates to |
|--------|--|------------|
| | Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable | Pillar 1 |
| 01 | Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | WP3 |
| | Guarantee extra-functional properties | Pillar 2 |
| O2 | (dependability, security, timeliness, and energy- efficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using | WP4 |
| | FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems). | |
| | Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by | Pillar 3 |
| O3 | means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and | WP5 |
| | secure operational benaviors | |
| O4 | To integrate FRACTAL communication and remote | Pillar 4 |
| | management features into FRACTAL nodes | WP6 |

Table 2 - FRACTAL Objective

Even if belonging to different application fields, the Use Cases share some needs that are closely related to the objectives of FRACTAL. These general needs are common to all the Use Cases, but some of them are more crucial in some use cases.

Table 4 of the DoA, shown below, synthesizes the main needs associated with each use case, a brief description of them, and their relationship with FRACTAL pillars with different priorities (H: High, M: Medium, L: Low) for each pillar.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 8 of 193 |
|--|----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| | | | | Technolo | ogical Pillars | |
|-------------|---|------------------|------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Use | Name | Lead | Open | Low Pow. | Cognition | Fractal |
| case | | Partner | Safe | Security | Safe | Mutable |
| VAL- UC5 | Increasing the safety of an autonomous train through AI techniques | CAF | Н | н | н | Μ |
| VAL- UC6 | Elaborate data collected using heterogeneous technologies | AITEK/ UNIVAQ | Н | Μ | Н | Μ |
| VAL- UC7 | Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements | VIF | Н | Н | Н | Μ |
| VAL- UC8 | Improve the performance of autonomous warehouse shuttles for moving goods in a warehouse | BEE | Н | Н | Μ | Н |

Table 3 - Use Case, brief description, leading partners, and their relationship with FRACTAL pillars

This deliverable is the output of the FRACTAL Task T8.1, related to "Case Study Coordination", and of FRACTAL Task T8.2, related to "Case Study and Benchmark Specification"

D8.1 belongs to WP8 whose goal is to demonstrate how the FRACTAL building blocks, technologies and methodologies are applied to industrial applications with well-identified performance, security and safety requirements defined in WP2.

In particular, the Deliverable D8.1, entitled "Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases", aims to provide a detailed description of each Use Case by defining the following.

The functioning of the system before FRACTAL, intended as the system is managed without the implementation of this Use Case in FRACTAL.

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 9 of 193 |
|--|--|----------|
|--|--|----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The objectives of the use case, the desired functioning of the system after the implementation of this FRACTAL Use Case, then how the system is managed with FRACTAL.

The architecture of the use case, based on the "Big Picture" defined in WP2. The architecture related to the "Big Picture" is composed of main components and their interaction within FRACTAL. There are three main aspects: FRACTAL services in the cloud, Software components of FRACTAL on edge node and finally hardware platform used in FRACTAL. Within the Deliverable D2.3, entitled "Platform Specification (b)" is possible to identify every Hardware and Software component that is present within the architecture of each Use Case.

The state of the art in the field of the use case, for instance projects, technologies and approaches that are currently used in the scenario of the specific use case, to identify existing systems and their performance. The scope of this investigation is to define actual reference in each Use Case field in terms of performance to compare with FRACTAL UC solutions. Comparison will be defined in the Deliverable D8.2, entitled "System Requirement", in terms of benchmarks.

The main contributions expected from FRACTAL, in particular, how the Use Case implements the main pillars of the project.

The implementation plan by defining the implementation stages (and which components will be used at each stage) with the tasks, a brief description, the relationship between tasks, the objectives, and the KPIs. In each implementation phase, the KPIs are continuously evaluated. The main KPIs objectives are to evaluate the progress in each implementation phase and evaluate the progress compared to Use Case objectives.

Justification plan with justification methods like demonstrations, tests, simulations, calculations, etc. The justification plan can be considered as a test plan designed to be executed during the implementation phases. Justification plan execution will guide the D8.3 deliverable "Evaluation Result" that will collect all results.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4 VAL-UC5 Increasing the safety of an autonomous train through AI techniques

The railway industry is evolving towards autonomous vehicles presenting a roadmap for porting certain technologies with proven efficiency in other industries such as the automotive industry. Increasing the autonomy of a train implies deploying a wide variety of technologies based on artificial intelligence which has critical hardware computing capacity requirements. This focus differs from the traditional hardware used in railway industry that gives critical importance to robustness, liability, predictability, and other considerations needed to develop a safety platform. For this reason, conventional railway hardware does not satisfy the requirements for deploying AI based functionalities and HW accelerated platforms come into the scene.

Autonomy relies on implementing automatic operations that were previously performed by a human driver. Further analysis of all the human-based operation leads to a large list of processes of several types (security checks, environment perception, incident prevention, driving ...) and some of them require AI techniques to work, concretely the functions related to environment perception. The UC5 is based on this environment perception functions, from their development until their deployment on an embedded platform.

Environment perception means extracting all information related to train operation from the real environment and converting it to data understandable by the rest of the systems. The train operation environment contains several types of information, in the UC5 scope the relevant information is related to the train driving operation (traffic signals) and to the human-train interaction (human presence in dangerous surroundings during train movement).

From all the functions presented in autonomous train operation UC5 will cover the following functions:

- Accurate Stop: Correct train stopping location based on landmark references
- **Safe Passenger Transfer:** Verify that there is no human presence on the station platform near the train at gate closing and departure.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.1 Before FRACTAL

In a conventional environment, accurate stop and safe passenger transfer functions are covered by the train driver. The driver has complete control of train driving manipulation so that the stopping location is directly corrected by the driver. The departure and the door control are also performed by the driver. In order to provide those functions in an autonomous way and fulfill real-time performance requirements, several algorithms present in the state-of-the-art are applied.

The first approach for covering the UC5 functions is based on detection algorithms working together with distance estimation techniques. On the one hand, an accurate stop requires detection algorithms to detect the landmarks defined for the stopping location using two frontal cameras as input and stereovision distance estimation techniques to calculate the remaining distance until the landmarks. On the other hand, safe passenger transfer requires detection algorithms to detect passengers near the train using the rear mirror camera as input. The algorithms selected for the use case are following.

- Accurate Stop: Transfer Learning on Yolov3 and Yolov4 608x608 for landmark detection and OpenCV's stereo SGBM (Semi Global Block Matching) for distance estimation.
- Safe Passenger Transfer: Transfer Learning on Yolov3 and Yolov4 608x608 for person detection in train surroundings.

First Validation setup is achieved in a laboratory through the implementation of custom SW using these algorithms integrated on an X86 machine with dedicated GPU acceleration and using recorded videos as input. The training of YOLO models is performed using a custom recorded and labeled dataset gathered in the Case Study environment (Line 3 from Euskotren Metro Bilbao). The results show that selected algorithms and gathered data are suitable for the environment characteristics related to ambient lighting and object characteristics.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 12 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |





Figure 1 - Laboratory validation setup over X86 and GPU platform

The laboratory validation setup, shown in Figure 1, proves the efficiency of the selected algorithms in an early stage for the use case but it is not suitable for train integration. The next step is based on embedding those algorithms into a commercial platform suitable for the train environment. The selected platform is the Jetson family from Nvidia which provides the embedded GPU requirements for inference on real-time operation. The results show that the selected models and algorithms can provide a real-time cycle (100 ms) on this platform. The deployment setup and its validation setup based on Nvidia Jetson Xavier are described in Figure 2.



Figure 2 - a) Deployment setup based on Jetson Xavier platform. b) Validation setup based on Jetson Xavier platform

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 13 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The setup is based on an Nvidia Jetson Platform obtaining input from two frontal cameras and one rear-mirror camera. Operation software is deployed based on Yolo model GPU accelerated inference and SGBM CPU based algorithm.

This functional setup is dependent on specific hardware that does not provide any safety considerations, avoiding the capacity for eventually hosting safety-critical functions. PER system (PERception systems) functions definition is currently in progress and there are no safety functions defined yet but foreseeing the safety needs for any function, the exploration for high-performance safety-critical hardware alternatives is needed. At this point, the FRACTAL project presents a suitable candidate for embedding the PER system with the two specific functions, safe passenger transfer and accurate stop, in scope.

4.2 Use Case Objectives

4.2.1 Specific FRACTAL Technical Objectives

Based on the starting point consisting of a commercial embedded platform deploying Landmark detection and passenger detection, the technical objectives for this use case aim to:

- To Integrate the safety-critical high-performance computing platform within a railway control system;
- To Test and evaluate of CV&AI-enhanced autonomous train operation processes over safety-critical high-performance computing platform with actual in-the-field data and operating in the real railway vehicle environment. The use case will perform CV&AI based:
 - o Automatic platform detection;
 - Accurate automatic stop at door equipped platforms, aligning the vehicle and platform for correct passenger transfer;
 - Detection of the passengers who are getting in/out the train (in platform area) avoiding any door closing operation before all train's doors are free of crossing-passengers.

4.2.2 General Objectives

The general objectives regarding the autonomous vehicle roadmap are:

- Give autonomy and decision-making capabilities to vehicles so they can observe and interpret the environment in an independent manner, complementing the information already received from railroad signaling modes;
- Reduce installation and maintenance costs by lowering both complexity and price with new optical sensors and increasing the installation's lifecycle;
- Increase flexibility in different railway operations that are attached to delimited areas and delimited time slots depending on the type of railroad and its configuration;

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Enhance variable calculation and operations both in precision and speed with new optical sensors information;
- Increase railway systems safety;
- Increase railway exploitation capacity and flexibility by CV&AI based more precise measurements (optical metrics, object detection/identification).

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 15 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.3 State of the art

4.3.1 Technological Context in Railway Industry

The technical evolution roadmap in the railway industry is regulated either by growing customer needs and by European Consortiums such as Shift2Rail [1], Tauro [2] and their successor EURail [3]. Customer needs are mainly based on improving the efficiency in transport lines following the criteria:

- Increase Transport density: Increase the train density on a transport line (headway) by improving signaling systems higher speeds and lower train to train distance;
- Reduce Energy consumption: Reduce energy consumption of vehicles by regulating driving profiles;
- Increase Transport Flexibility: Dynamically rearrange transport lines to fit variable schedules and cover passenger demand;
- Increase Safety: Introduce new systems for driving assistance that complement driver's reaction;
- Increase Ride Comfort: Introduce new systems to generate smooth driving profiles that lead to higher comfort during travel;
- Reduce Maintenance Costs: Introduce new techniques to reduce long term maintenance costs.

Aligned to industry needs, European Consortiums elaborate the agreements and standards that make progress towards the transport efficiency goal. For increasing the vehicles autonomy, Shift2Rail presents the roadmap that can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3 - Grade of Automation Levels Presented in S2R

The Grade of Automation levels (GoA) introduce the required steps towards the autonomous train (GoA4).

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 16 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL |
|---------|-----------|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 |

GoA1 provides ATP system, which stands for "Automatic Train Protection". The ATP system supervises the operation and prevents overspeed situations or end-of-authority trespassing. This is a safety-critical system and reacts to dangerous situations over driver's actions

GoA2 level introduces ATO System. The ATO system, which stands for "Automatic Train Operation" handles the traction and brake commands required to drive the train between stations. The ATO system is always triggered by the driver and cannot override the driver's actions. It works also under the supervision of GoA1 systems.

GoA3 and GoA4 levels introduce the DTO concept, which stands for "Driverless Train Operation". The new systems required for this level of autonomous operations are currently under definition. One of those former systems is the PER system. This system, which is responsible for detecting environment vehicle surroundings information, presents the context for UC5 requiring the application of heavy computational costed AI techniques.

The state of the art in the railway industry is located between levels GoA2 and GoA3 settling down the level GoA2 with the introduction of the ATO system specification and planning the step to GoA3 through several European Consortiums like Shift2Rail, Tauro, and EURail.

4.3.2 State of the Art for Relevant AI Techniques

The functions in scope of UC5 require both (1) *object detection* techniques and (2) *distance estimation* techniques which represent separated technical challenges.

In recent years, deep learning based object detection applications are emerging in the railway domain for tasks such as signal/objects detection and distance estimation [4] [5] [6] [7]. Efficient and robust embedding of these models into embedded hardware, such as NVIDIA Jetson or FPGA boards, as in FRACTAL, is a challenge.

In 2012, the use of GPUs and a CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) called AlexNet [8] changed the AI paradigm in the object visual recognition area, winning the ImageNet 2012 challenge. Most of the image processing architectures in deep learning are built from CNNs, as these have shown the ability to learn features from the imagery. These feature extractors, which take images as input and outputs feature maps of the corresponding input image are named as *Backbone networks*. Different backbone architectures have been designed in CNN based object detection approaches. These architectures are divided in different categories; some architectures are focused on accelerating the inference speed while others are focused on increasing the achieved accuracy [9]. Some tasks like real-time video processing require not only high processing speed but high accuracy, which require well-designed backbone networks to overcome the existing trade-off between speed and accuracy.

Deep Learning based object detection models have been generally tested on the ImageNet database challenge (ILSVRC) and since 2012, every year the accuracy error has been decreasing. In 2013 ZFNet [10] improved 0.5% to AlexNet. In 2014

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

GoogleNet (InceptionV1) [11] and VGGNet [12] appeared. Eventually in 2015, ResNet [13] beat human-level performance on this dataset (with 3.57% error). Some very popular models followed such as DetNet [14], R-CNN [15] and Fast R-CNN [16].

Most of these models are based in a two-stage method in which a first network proposes regions of interest in the image and a second network detect objects in those regions. These models may be very accurate but, due to their two stage nature, add an overhead to the inference process.

In 2015 the first one-stage detector, called YOLO [17] model (You Only Look Once) appears, and since then, it has become the most popular one-stage detector. Yolo is specially designed for real-time object detection. In next years, other one-stage detectors, as for example SSD [18] and RetinaNet [19] appeared but Yolo remains one of the most successful models.

From 2016 to the present day, new more accurate and faster versions of YOLO appeared, such as YoloV2 [20], YoloV3 [21] and YoloV4 [22]. Both YoloV3 and YoloV4 achieves a state-of-art combination of speed and accuracy and, hence, have been selected for UC5 for the detection of train stop signals in the platform and the detection of persons or obstacles around the train doors.

Regarding distance estimation, nowadays, some of the technologies that estimate the train position is based on wheel odometry and radars: a beacon-based system in the track and encoders and radars installed onboard to estimate train odometry data. The inaccuracy of radar and encoder sensors estimation is corrected when the onboard controlling system receives track beacon distance information. However, at a stopping point in a station, the driver's eyes and experience are still the key factors to align the train correctly with the platform area and to remove the final localization error. These systems have a high installation cost (as a lot of beacons must be placed in the rail infrastructure), high maintenance cost, and the deployment is slowed down.

In recent years, Deep Learning models approaches to estimate the distance from RGB cameras have been proposed. Some of the most interesting approaches can be summarized as:

• Position Estimation by Visual Odometry techniques: In this case, the aim is to estimate the train's geographical position by analysingn real time the images acquired by a camera in front of the train. The algorithm compares consecutive images to estimate the speed of the train and the turn (left, right) thus, computing the accumulated position of the train. Some of the most popular algorithm includes DeepVO [23] and state-of-art ORB-SLAM2 [24]. However, these algorithms are better suited for general geographical positioning but not for accurate positioning in a train stop.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- *Distance Estimation*: In this case, the aim is to estimate the distance to a given signal in the track, for example, the train stop signal in the platforms. The techniques in this group may use one single monocular camera or stereo-cameras:
 - Algorithms with *monocular cameras*: A Deep Learning model is trained to estimate the distance to a Signal/Obstacle detected with Yolo. DisNet [25] is one of the most well-known monocular algorithms. Unfortunately, the algorithm suffers when the object/obstacle to be detected is not always of the same size and is relatively inaccurate even in well-known size objects. This is due to the fact the monocular vision faces problems estimating the scale of objects of varying size (i.e., an adult or a child in the track, for example, both are persons, but of different sizes, so the algorithm can conclude that the child is an adult who is further away).
 - Algorithms with stereo-cameras: In this type of algorithms a stereocamera, asfor example, a double camera in the front left and front right of the train is used. Both cameras detect, for example, the train signal stop signal in the platform using Yolo algorithm. Both detections are fed to a stereo-matching algorithm that can infer the visual angle difference of the signals. With the angle difference a simple triangulation can be used to infer the distance to the signal. These algorithms are especially suited for accurate estimation of the distance to relative near objects (where the angle difference is relevant) as for example the detection of the train stop signal in the platform when the train is entering the station platform.

In the case of UC5, the aim is to estimate the distance to a relative near train stopping point signal in the platform, therefore, the last technique type has been selected.

4.3.3 Deep Learning Hardware, Power Computing and functional safety

AI solutions to be used in UC5, as for example YoloV3/V4, area heavily based in CNN Neural Networks. Convolution operations pose hard processing speed power requirements on hardware and, in addition to this, are a challenge to achieve functional safety.

In general terms, in the computing area, there are several hardware solutions available [26] the classical CPU, the GPUs, and the FPGAs. CNN networks require computing parallelization to achieve high inference speed, therefore, GPUs and FPGAs are the options to consider. According to [27], each option has its own advantages and disadvantages and can be summarized as follows:

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 19 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- **Computing Power**: According to Xilinx an Ultrascale+TM XCVU13P FPGA reaches 38.3 INT8 TOP/s, and has almost the same computing power as an NVIDIA Tesla P40 that reaches 40 INT8 TOP/s. Regarding on-chip memory (something crucial in deep learning) FPGAs can have a high amount of cache memory which reduces memory bottlenecks and allow high bandwidth. FPGAs produce low latency and deterministic latency, ensuring that the deep learning model provides a stable response time, something critical in many real-time applications as for example object recognition in real-time video. Therefore, both options, GPUs and FPGAs can be considered for UC5.
- **Flexibility and Power Efficiency**: GPUs are designed to run arbitrary code; therefore, the data flow in the GPU is defined by software and has to accommodate to the complex memory hierarchy and fixed cores of the GPU. If the task is vastly parallel and suits well to the GPU structure, the execution can be very efficient, however, this is not always the case. FPGAs can deliver more flexible architectures, adapted to the exact problem structure (i.e., the structure of a given neural network, which is parallel by nature), and thus may achieve maximum task parallelization and power efficiency when FPGAs are programmed as systolic arrays. For specific problems, the ability to reconfigure the FPGA to the exact parallel nature of the task may be a big win. In the case the processor unit has to execute completely changing nature tasks, the CPU/GPU classic schema will be more appropriate.
- Functional Safety: GPUs are originally designed for graphic highperformance tasks where safety is not a concern;then, to meet functional safety a costly and time-consuming redesign for GPU vendors would be needed (although NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier has given a huge step in this way [28] paving the way to IEC-61508 and IEC 26262 certification), but in general terms, achieving functional safety via GPU may be very complex/impossible. However, FPGAs have been designed in a way to meet functional safety requirements of sectors such as avionics, defense, industrial automation, etc. For example, Xilinx Zynq®-7000 and Ultrascale+TM MPSoC devices are designed to support safety-critical applications such as Autonomous Driving. In the case of UC5, applications associated with doors operation in a train do have functional safety requirements so it seems that FPGA may be the way to go.

4.3.4 Object Detection Metrics (KPIs)

To compare the results of object recognition networks, such as **YOLO**, **Fast_CNN**, and **DetNet**, the research community has defined precise numeric metrics [29] that allow evaluating and comparing the different networks.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

In the computer vision research area, and more precisely in object recognition, the most popular metrics are:

- Average Precision (AP)
- Mean Average Precision (mAP)
- **Precision Threshold** curve
- Recall Threshold curve
- **Precision Recall** curves (PR Curves)

Before explaining these metrics, some key concepts need to be understood:

- *True Positive (TP)* Object correctly identified by the model.
- False Positive (FP) Object detection that is not correct.
- False Negative (FN) Object that should have been detected but it is not.
- *True Negative (TN)* Regions of the image in which, correctly, the model does not detect any objects.

4.3.4.1 Intersection over Union

This metric, abbreviated as **IoU**, defines the percentage of overlap between the object ground truth and the predicted area of the object. Figure 4 explains the concept.



Figure 4 - Intersection over Union

IoU ranges from 0 (complete fail) to 1.0 (perfect match). Usually, a **threshold** is defined to determine if the detection is a True Positive (i.e., IoU > 0.75), a False Positive (i.e., 0 < IoU < 0.25) or a False Negative.

4.3.4.2 Precision and Recall

Precision defines how exact is the model when detecting only relevant objects. Mathematically (Figure 5), is the ratio of True Positives over the Total Detections made by the model (True Positives + False Positives). As an example, in a sequence of several frames of a video, if the model identifies 250 persons but only 220 of them are true positives, the precision is P = 220/250 = 0.88 (88%).

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 21 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |





Figure 5 - Precision calculation

Recall, on the other hand, defines the ability of the model to detect all the right objects in the scenes. Mathematically (Figure 6), is the ratio between the True Positives and all ground truths (True Positive + False Negatives). As an example, in the sequence of frames mentioned before, if there are really 230 persons but only 220 of them are detected, the recall is R = 220/230 = 0.95 (95%).



Figure 6 - Recall calculation

A good model must have a high Precision and a high Recall. These two metrics are the key KPIs to define the rest of the metrics, as explained in the following sections:

4.3.4.3 PR Curves

The Precision curve (Figure 7) and Recall curve (Figure 8) are plots of Precision and Recall values given by a model at different IoU thresholds. The figures below show these curves in which the recall and precision of the model can be seen against the threshold curve.



Figure 7 - Precision curve

| Copyright © FRAC | TAL Project Consortium 22 of 193 |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
|------------------|----------------------------------|





Figure 8 - Recall curve

Finally, a third and very important curve can be defined, the **PR Curve** (Figure 9), in which, for a given threshold, the X axis shows the Precision, and the Y axis shows the Recall. This curve shows how the Precision and Recall are interrelated and that usually maximizing one will decrease the other, so a trade-off has to be found because a high number of false positives results into to low precision, and a high number of false negatives results into low recall.

Ideally, both metrics should be high, but in practice it is better to optimize one of them, depending on the case, or at least, decide what is an acceptable trade-off point.



Figure 9 - PR Curve

4.3.4.4 Average Precision (AP) and Mean Average Precision (mAP) Finally, there are two metrics that are used to summarizes the others:

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 23 of 193 | |
|--|--|-----------|--|
|--|--|-----------|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

 Average Precision (AP) - for a given model and threshold: It is defined as the total area under the PR Curve. AP is a value between 0 and 1, where 1 means a perfect model. As an example, Figure 10 shows the AP₅₀ calculation, it is the area under the PRCurve for a model evaluated with a threshold equal to 50.



Figure 10 - Average Precision (AP) curve

 Mean Average Precision (mAP) – finally, the mean average precision is the mean of all APi values for all classes, and for a given threshold of course. As an example, if the model has five classes and we compute the AP₇₅-i for each class *i*=1...5, the mean average precision is calculated as shown in Figure 11.

$$mAP_{75} = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} AP_{i_{75}}$$



| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 24 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 1412742 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.4 Main contributions expected from FRACTAL

The FRACTAL project provides an opportunity to explore safety-critical and highperformance alternatives to commercial GPU based non-safety platforms aligned to the main FRACTAL pillars.

The main focus for the UC5 stands for executing heavy computational load algorithms on a platform providing safety considerations. The Use Case presents real-time requirements caused by the need for updated information for the correct operation of the train. This need also influences latency considerations in order to avoid presenting obsolete and therefore incorrect information to the systems in charge of train operation. For those reasons, relying on the cloud, where heavy computing machines can be emplaced avoiding railway-specific requirements for AI, inference purposes is not an option and inference in the edge requirement is enforced. The FRACTAL platform is expected to provide AI inference on the edge mechanisms to execute selected Yolo models inference at a real-time frequency defined as 10 frames processed per second. Amongst the platform variants presented, Xilinx Versal-based FRACTAL platform is selected due to its accelerated nodes capacity and memory capacity.

As the UC5 proposal and requirements are focused on single-train PER system, other pillars of the FRACTAL project are outside of the UC5 scope but present an opportunity to cover the transversal needs of the railway industry. Service orchestration and fractality concept provide a way to centralize train fleet management for UC5 scope software. These cloud/edge additional services allow an extension of UC5 setup with an additional functionality: centralized SW and AI Model management. The extension of the Use Case implies introducing new needs for other FRACTAL characteristics, such as security for handling train(edge) to control center(cloud) connection, cloud services for SW and AI model updates and edge services for automatic software updates from the cloud.

Related to FRACTAL project objectives, UC5 provides an application environment for each FRACTAL Pillar (Table 2).

The main UC5 development is contained in Pillar 1 and WP3 scope. UC5 provides a strong requirement for edge real-time inference which leads to high-performance cognitive edge node requirements.

The extended UC5 establishes a connection with the cloud and, therefore, provides an application environment for Pillar 2. Pillars 3 and 4 are also present for the remote AI model and software management services and the automatic update application.

4.5 Evaluation of the implementation results

This section defines the KPIs defined for UC5 implementation. These KPIs are classified into three groups:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 25 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- KPIs for Implementation Plan Task;
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars;
- KPIs for UC Features.

For each KPI, an *Identifier*, a *Description* and the type of result *Value* is defined. The *Test* to be performed for the KPI will be defined later in the Justification Plan, therefore is marked as TBD (To Be Defined).

Next sub sections describe in some detail the three groups of KPIs.

4.5.1 KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks

This section defines the *KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks*. Figure 12 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks of UC5.

| KPI UC for Implementation Plan | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | Comment | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_01 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_02 | Inference time | < 100 ms | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_03 | Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64) success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_04 | Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform | > 95% | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_05 | Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_06 | Build Safe Passenger Transfer application success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_07 | Build Accurate Stop application success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_08 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_09 | Hours of video recorded | > 80 | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_10 | Image Database size | > 40000 | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_11 | Model accuracy over test database | > 75% | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_12 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_13 | Inference time | < 100 ms | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_14 | Working under secure connection success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_15 | Model and docker image cloud hosting success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_16 | Cloud repositories version handling success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_17 | Metrics obtained for defined model both in X86 and Versal | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_01 | Edge Node Platform Ruggedized | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_02 | Edge Node Inference Time | < 100 ms | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_03 | Edge OpenCV Support | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_04 | Edge ONNX Support | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_05 | HW Accelerator Compatible wiht TensorFlow | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_06 | Edge Node with al least 4 cores | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_07 | Edge Node with mutlithreading | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_08 | Edge Node at least 60 GFLOPS | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_09 | Edge Node at least 16GB DDR RAM | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_10 | Edge Node with HW Acccelerator | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_11 | Edge Node Multi-Interfaces and their Linux Drivers | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_12 | Edge Node with Linux OS | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |
| UC5_KPI_IP_Req_13 | Platform Release with C++ compiler/crosscompiler | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements | | |

Figure 12 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan Tasks

The KPIs are divided into two subgroups:

• **KPIs specifically defined for each Task** – These KPIs have been defined to check the success of the task. *When possible, they are defined as a numerical criterion* (i.e., inference time < 100 ms), otherwise they are defined as a True/False indicating that the task finished successfully.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 26 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• *KPIs related to Tasks, allowing checking the Requirements* defined by the UC in the general Excel defined in WP1 FRACTAL - *Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx* (see Tab Requirements) - These KPIs have been defined taking into accounts the general requirements posted by the Use Case. These KPIs are defined as a True/False value indicating that *the task finished* and allows checking whether *the requirements is met.*

4.5.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the FRACTAL Objectives** (Related to Pillars and found in the FRACTAL proposal, Section 1.1.2.). Figure 13 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| KPI for Fracta | I Objective (an related Pillar) Helps | to demonst | rate the | e following | g Fractal Specific Objective | |
|-----------------------|--|------------|----------|-------------|--|---|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Obj | Description | Relates to Pillar |
| UC5_KPI_FO_00 | Fractal Technology helps improving State-of- Art in Railways Sector | True/False | TBD | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FO_01 | Real-time Inference Time and Accurate high perfomance Cognitive AI based node implemented and running. | < 100ms | TBD | 01 | Design and Implement an Open-Safe- Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | Pillar 1 (WP3) - Open-Safe- Realiable and low power node architecture. |
| UC5_KPI_FO_02 | Edge Node application with Secure connection to the Cloud implemented and running. | True/False | TBD | 02 | Guarantee extra-functional properties (dependability, security, timeliness and energy-efficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems). | Pillar 2 (WP4) - Low power, safety, security and high- preformance trade-off. |
| UC5_KPI_FO_03 | Edge Node Software and Model update Max Time, guaranteeing data is not corrupted, implemented and running. | < 1 min | TBD | 03 | Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. |
| UC5_KPI_FO_04 | Software and Model version handling on cloud implemented and running. | True/False | TBD | 04 | To integrate fractal communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes | Pillar 4 (WP6) - Mutable and fractal communications. |

Figure 13 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Objectives

4.5.3 KPI for UC Features

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the UC Features** (defined in the Tab *FRACTAL Features* in the general Excel defined in *WP1 FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx*). Figure 14 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 27 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI for UC Feature | | | | Helps to demonstrate the following UC Feature | | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Feat Description | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_01 | Edge Node has USB-C port | True/False | TBD | F1_CAF ADAPTABILITY - EXTENSIBILITY - PORT CONNECTION - USB C | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_02 | Edge Node has Ethernet connector RJ45 | True/False | TBD | F2_CAF ADAPTABILITY - EXTENSIBILITY - PORT CONNECTION - ETH RJ45 | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_03 | Edge Node Vitis-AI allows importing and executing ONNX models | True/False | TBD | F3_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - MODEL - ONNX | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_04 | Edge Node Vitis-AI allows importing and executing Yolo V3/V4 | True/False | TBD | F4_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - ALGORITHMS - YOLO V3/V4 | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_05 | Edge Node inference time allows real-time processing of frames | < 100ms | TBD | F5_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - REALTIME | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_06 | Build & System Integration | True/False | TBD | F6_CAF ADAPTABILITY - EXTENSIBILITY - PORT CONNECTION - BUILD - SYSTEM INTEGRATION | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_07 | Al Inference Accuracy on Model | > 75% | TBD | F7_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - MODEL - ACCURACY / VALIDATION | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_08 | Edge Node has the ability to track locattion | True/False | TBD | F8_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - LOCATION - NODE | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_09 | Edge Node has OpenCV | True/False | TBD | F9_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LIBRARY - OPENCV | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_10 | Cloud Data Set Version Control | True/False | TBD | F10_CAF ADAPTABILITY - AI - DATA ORCHESTRATION - DATA SET - VERSION CONTROL | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_11 | Edge Node frame processing rate > 10fps | >10fps | TBD | F11_CAF REALIABILITY - RESPONSE TIME - FRAME RATE | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_12 | Edge Node allows video processing | True/False | TBD | F12_CAF CONTEXT_AWARENESS - SENSORS - VIDEO | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_13 | Safety Regulation ISO 26262 Automotion | True/False | TBD | F13_CAF SAFETY - REGULATION - ISO 26262 - CAR - VARIATION OF 61508 | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_14 | Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Generic | True/False | TBD | F14_CAF SAFETY - REGULATION - ISO 61508 - Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety-related Systems | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_15 | Safety Regulation CENELEC EN50126/8/9: Railway Industry | True/False | TBD | F15_CAF SAFETY - REGULATION - CENELEC EN50126/8/9: Railway Industry | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_16 | Edge Node in Low Power has ONNX models | True/False | TBD | F16_CAF LOW POWER - AI - LIBRARY - MODELS - ONNX | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_17 | Edge Node allows secure storage of data | True/False | TBD | F17_CAF SECURITY - SECURE STORAGE | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_18 | Edge Node allows Authentication / Authorization | True/False | TBD | F18_CAF SECURITY - AUTHENTICATION - AUTHORIZATION | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_19 | Fractality communication via Ethernet | True/False | TBD | F19_CAF F19_CAF F19_CAF Ethernet F19_CAF F19_C | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_20 | Edge Node is implemented on Versal | True/False | TBD | F20_CAF OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - PLATFROM - VERSAL | | | |
| UC5_KPI_FT_21 | Edge Node executes LINUX Ooperating | True/False | TBD | F21_CAF_OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - OS - LINUX | | | |

Figure 14 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Features

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 28 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 29 ² 2 ² 22 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.6 Implementation plan

4.6.1 Architecture

4.6.1.1 FRACTAL Big Picture

The Use Case integrates several FRACTAL components, both from the edge and the cloud. Those components can be seen in Figure 15, within the context of FRACTAL Big Picture representation.



Figure 15 - FRACTAL Big Picture Instantiation for UC5.

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 29 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| 493222 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The UC5 Applications are mainly contained on the FRACTAL edge node. Safe passenger transfer application and automatic accurate stop applications are wrapped into docker containers that can be orchestrated using Kubernetes and automatically updated from the cloud Harbor Repository. Figure 16 shows a more specific components relationship with specific FRACTAL components involved



Figure 16 - UC5 Architecture and components

4.6.2 Tasks

4.6.2.1 Chronogram

Figure 17 shows the implementation plan tasks and chronogram for UC5. It is basically divided into four main tasks with subtasks. Sections to follow describe the tasks in some detail.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 30 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 24 ⁵ 1742 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| | Impler | nent | atio | n Pl | an | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Task ID | Description | M19 | M20 | M21 | M22 | M23 | M24 | M25 | M26 | M27 | M28 | M29 | М30 | M31 | M32 | M33 | M34 | M35 | M36 |
| UC5_T1 | Basic Target Environment Setup (Versal Edge node) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_1 | Test CAF ONNX models over Vitis AI runtime on Target | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_2 | Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_3 | Test OpenCV Stereo Algorithms on Target | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_4 | Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_4_1 | Build Safe Passenger Transfer application | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T1_4_2 | Build Accurate Stop application | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T2 | Benchmark Preparation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T2_1 | Database recording on real environment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T2_2 | Database processing, labelling and splitting into train and validation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T2_3 | Model training | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T3 | Extended Target Environment Setup and automatic update service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T3_1 | Test docker hosted application integration with Vitis AI Runtime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T3_2 | Test edge automatic update services and connection with cloud | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T3_3 | Test cloud repositories version handling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T4 | System Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC5_T4_1 | Metrics Calculation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 17 - UC Implementation Plan Chronogram

4.6.2.2 Task: UC5_T1 - Basic Target Environment Setup (Versal Edge node)

The sub tasks under this task are devoted to implement the prime basic scenario of CAF UC5 that involves only the Edge Versal-based node of FRACTAL.

4.6.2.2.1 Sub Task: UC5_T1_1 - Test CAF ONNX models over Vitis AI runtime on Target

This task consists of checking whether Xilinx Vitis AI, once installed in the Versal platform, can import and execute successfully the ONNX neural network models. This task allows evaluation of multiple KPI, as for example, inference time, and many more.

4.6.2.2.2 Sub Task: UC5_T1_2 - Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64)

This task consists of cross-compiling the OpenCV framework and installing it into de the Versal ARM64 platform. The success of OpenCV can be evaluated by executing the *OpenCV standard test battery*.

4.6.2.2.3 Sub Task: UC5_T1_3 - Test OpenCV Stereo Algorithms on Target

This task consists of checking the OpenCV stereo matching algorithms on the Versal Platform. The Accurate Stop application calculates the distance to the stop signals by using one of the stereo matching algorithms provided by OpenCV, so, this task will check if this algorithm executes with an accuracy similar to x86 platforms.

4.6.2.2.4 Sub Task: UC5_T1_4 - Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target

This task consists of integrating into one application both the (a) *Safe Passenger Transfer Application* and the (b) *Accurate Stop Application*. After integration, KPIs are defined to check if inference time is met (it might happen that inference time holds for a single application but not for both applications running together), to check if accuracy holds, etc.

4.6.2.2.5 Sub Task: UC5_T1_4_1 - Build Safe Passenger Transfer application

This task consists of building (cross-compiling) and executing the *Safe Passenger Transfer Application* into the Versal Platform and checking if it reaches the required FPS (Frames Per Second) and an accuracy similar to x86 systems.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 31 of 193 | |
|--|-----------|--|
| | | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.6.2.2.6 Sub Task: UC5_T1_4_2 - Build Accurate Stop application

This task consists of building (cross-compiling) and executing the *Accurate Stop Application* into the Versal Platform and checking if it reaches the required FPS and an accuracy similar to x86 systems.

4.6.2.3 Task: UC5_T2 - Benchmark Preparation

The sub-tasks under this task are devoted to preparing the data needed to benchmark the FRACTAL-based implementation of UC5 and compare it against the x86 solution.

4.6.2.3.1 Sub Task: UC5_T2_1 - Database recording on real environment

This task consists of recording a video in a real environment. On the one side, both left-right cameras on the front of the train will record the train entering the station and stopping at the platform stop signal. In addition to this, backward cameras will record the passenger area near the train doors. More than 80 hours of video are expected to be recorded.

4.6.2.3.2 Sub Task: UC5_T2_2 - Database processing

This task consists of processing the video captured in the previous task, extracting the frames, labeling the frames, and separating them into the three typical sets for training neural networks: *training set, validation set*, and test set These three groups will be created for both applications (Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer). More than 40.000 frames are expected to be labeled and separated into different sets.

4.6.2.3.3 Sub Task: UC5_T2_3 - Model training

This task consists of training both neural networks (Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer) in x86 environment (outside FRACTAL environment) and exporting them into ONNX format to be used later in FRACTAL Edge. KPIs are defined to measure the accuracy obtained during training.

4.6.2.4 Task: UC5_T3 - Extended Target Environment Setup and automatic update service

The sub-tasks under this task are devoted to implement the extended scenario of CAF UC5 that involves both the Edge Versal-based node and Cloud node of FRACTAL.

4.6.2.4.1 Sub Task: UC5_T3_1 - Test docker hosted application integration with Vitis AI Runtime This task aims to evaluate the integration between docker containerized application and its integration with Vitis AI runtime libraries which execute the accelerated inference on Versal FRACTAL Node. It also aims to measure the impact on libraries' performance when called from a docker environment to preserve the time cycle requirement implementing the automatic update UC extension.

| Copyrig | nt © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 32 of 193 |
|---------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|---------|---------------------------------|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.6.2.4.2 Sub Task: UC5_T3_2 - Test edge automatic update services and connection with cloud

This task is defined as a node-cloud connection test. Its objective is to guarantee the following points:

- Node-Cloud Connection is established.
- Connection is done under secure protocol.
- Scheduled task on Versal Node can pull SW and AI models from the cloud repositories without data corruption and preserving data confidentiality by applying cyphering.
- In case of detected failure on node SW, SW and Model pull operation is done within a defined amount of time to reduce the failure lifetime updating to a most recent version.

4.6.2.4.3 Sub Task: UC5_T3_3 - Test cloud repositories version handling

This task has the cloud SW and Model handling as targets. It is defined for testing the properties of the Cloud data handling mechanisms to verify that SW and Model describing information integrity is preserved as well as transferred to the node during the update operation. The relevant information for SW is the name of the application/container, the version in format X.Y.Z, and the release date. For the model, the desired information is the name, the release date, and the dataset used to train it as an optional parameter.

4.6.2.5 Task: UC5_T4 - System Evaluation

The only sub task under this task is devoted to compare FRACTAL solution against the state-of-art x86 solution.

4.6.2.5.1 Sub Task: UC5_T4_1 - Metrics Calculation

This task consists of calculating the metrics results for both applications (Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer) in the FRACTAL Edge node and comparing them with metrics in the x86 solution. This final task will help to clarify how much FRACTAL solution contributes to improving state-of-art solutions in the railways sector. The test of the KPI associated with this task will consist of several criteria, not only accuracy and FPS, but also criteria such as safety, security, updating from the cloud, model management, etc.

4.6.3 Components

This section summarizes the components involved in the Implementation Plan. All the components listed here have been extracted from Tab *Components* in the general Excel defined in WP1 *FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx.*

Components are basically divided into two groups:

- **Components produced by the UC** resulting from executing the Implementation Plan.
- **Common FRACTAL Components** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are needed to execute the Implementation Plan.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

Following two sub sections list these components.

4.6.3.1 Components produced by the Implementation Plan

These components, Figure 18, *are produced by executing the tasks* of the Implementation Plan.

| UC Components | | |
|---------------|---|---|
| KPI ID | Name | Description |
| UC5_CMP_01 | Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection | Neural Network yolov3/yolov4 deploy for signalling detection |
| UC5_CMP_02 | Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus | OpenCV's stereoSGBM algorithm for stereo distance calculus |
| UC5_CMP_03 | Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection | Neural Network yolov3/yolov4 deploy for person detection near the train |
| UC5_CMP_04 | Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection | Neural Network yolov3/yolov4 deploy for platform detection |
| UC5_CMP_05 | Automatic Models Update Service | Neural networks models Automatic Update service |
| UC5_CMP_06 | Integrated Demostration Software on Target | Demostration Software Integrating Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger |

Figure 18 - Components produced by the execution of UC5 Implementation Plan

4.6.3.2 FRACTAL components needed to execute the Implementation Plan

These components, Figure 19, *are* **Common FRACTAL Components** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are **needed to execute the Implementation Plan**.

| FRACTAL Com | oonents needed by the UC | |
|--------------|---|--|
| KPI ID | Name | Description |
| WP3T32-10 | VERSAL accelerator building-blocks | Development of building-blocks for accelerators for VERSAL |
| WP3T34-03 | Versal Model deployment layer | Model deployment on the Versal APU + DPU control from model repository images |
| WP4T41-06 | Versal Isolation Design - Functional Safety | Enhance the common Versal platform to strictly separate functional accesses, services from underlying HW access |
| WP4T43-11 | Time-Triggered Extension Layer for VERSAL NoC | Time Triggered extension layer is an extension layer developed for VERSAL NoC that allow the VERSAL NoC to transfer messages using Time triggered traffic. |
| WP4T44-02 | OS Security Layer | Implementation of security countermeasures in a transversal security layer |
| WP5T52-06-01 | Model preparation for Fractal Edge (Versal Xilinx Vitis Al) | Workflows to compile models for Versal with Xilinx Vitis AI, add containerized toolchain to the cloud |
| WP5T54-02-02 | Kubernetes | Open-Source orchestrator for cluster management and container orchestration. |
| WP5T52-04-07 | Harbor Image repository | Container Registry for Docker Images |
| WP6T61-01-01 | Operating system - Ubuntu | Linux for ARM64 & RISC_V64 |
| WP6T61-01-03 | Petalinux | Tools necessary to customize, build and deploy Embedded Linux solutions on Xilinx processing systems. Tailored to accelerate design productivity, the solution works with the Xilinx hardware design tools to ease the development of Linux systems for Versal |
| WP6T61-01-04 | Vitis Al | The Vitis™ AI development environment is Xilinx's development platform for AI inference on Xilinx hardware platforms |
| WP6T61-03-11 | ONNX | cross-platform inference and training machine-learning accelerator |
| WP6T61-03-06 | OpenCV | open-source computer vision and machine learning software library |
| WP6T61-02-01 | Docker | platform as a service product that uses OS-level virtualization to deliver software in packages called containers. |
| WP6T61-15 | Standard C++ Library | Library for C++ |

Figure 19 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6 needed to execute UC5 Implementation Plan

4.6.4 Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs

Finally, this section *links together tasks*, *components* and *KPIs*. For each Task, the following traceability-relationships are given:

- Components
 - \circ $\;$ IN Components Input components needed by the task.
 - OUT Components Output components produced by the task.
- KPIs for UC Implementation Plan
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives & Features

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 34 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The following subsections detail this information for each task.

4.6.4.1 UC_T1 - Basic Target Environment Setup (Versal Edge node) Tasks

4.6.4.1.1 Task: UC5_T1_1 - Test CAF ONNX models over Vitis AI runtime on Target Figure 20 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_1:

| Components | | к | PIs for UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | | | | |
| WP3T32-10 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-03 WP6T61-01-04 WP6T61-03-11 | | UC5_KPI_P_02 UC5_KPI_P_Req_12 UC5_KPI_P_Req_11 UC5_KPI_P_Req_16 UC5_KPI_P_Req_06 UC5_KPI_P_Req_09 UC5_KPI_P_Req_09 UC5_KPI_P_Req_04 UC5_KPI_P_Req_04 UC5_KPI_P_Req_10 UC5_KPI_P_Req_10 UC5_KPI_P_Req_02 UC5_KPI_P_Req_02 UC5_KPI_P Req_02 UC5_KPI_P REQ_02 | Inference time Edge Node with Linux OS Edge Node with Linux CS Edge Node with al least 4 cores Edge Node with multithreading Edge Node at least 60 GFLOPS Platform Releast 60 GFLOPS Platform Release with C++ compiler/crosscompiler Edge NONX Support Edge NONX Support Edge NONX With HW Accelerator HW Accelerator Compatible with TensorFlow Edge Node with Ference Time | < 100 ms True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False Crue/False | UC5_KPI_FT_01 UC5_KPI_FT_02 UC5_KPI_FT_03 UC5_KPI_FT_04 UC5_KPI_FT_05 UC5_KPI_FT_07 | Edge Node has USB-C port Edge Node has Ethernet connector RJ45 Edge Node Vitis-Ai allows importing and executing ONNX models Edge Node Vitis-Ai allows importing and executing Yolo V3/V4 Edge Node inference time allows real-time processing of frames Al Inference Accuracy on Model | True/False True/False True/False True/False < 100ms > 75 % | | | | |

Figure 20 - Task UC5_T1_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.1.2 Task: UC5_T1_2 - Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64) Figure 21 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_2:

| Components K | | | Pls for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|--|----------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | | |
| WP3T32-10 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-03 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-15 | | UC5_KPI_IP_03 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_11 | Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64) success Edge OpenCV Support | True/False True/False | UC5_KPI_FT_09 UC5_KPI_FT_12 | Edge Node has OpenCV Edge Node allows video processing | True/False True/False | | |

Figure 21 - Task UC5_T1_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.1.3 Task: UC5_T1_3 - Test OpenCV Stereo Algorithms on Target

Figure 22 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_3:

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|-----------------|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-03 WP6T61-03-06 | | UC5_KPI_IP_04 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_06 | Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform Edge OpenCV Support | > 95% True/False | | | |

Figure 22 - Task UC5_T1_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.1.4 Task: UC5_T1_4 - Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target Figure 23 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_4:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 35 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Comp | ponents | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Fea | tures | |
|---|------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP4T41-06 WP4T41-01 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-04 WP6T61-03-01 WP6T61-03-01 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-03-01 UC5_CMP_01 UC5_CMP_01 UC5_CMP_04 | UCS_CMP_06 | UC5_KPI_IP_05 UC5_KPI_IP_02 UC5_KPI_IP_04 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_08 | Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target success Inference time Accuracy 5% with respect to XB6 platform Edge Node Platform Ruggedized | True/False < 100 ms >= 95% True/False | UCS_KPI_FO_01 UCS_KPI_FT_06 UCS_KPI_FT_17 UCS_KPI_FT_17 UCS_KPI_FT_20 UCS_KPI_FT_21 UCS_KPI_FT_14 UCS_KPI_FT_14 UCS_KPI_FT_14 UCS_KPI_FT_15 | Real-time Inference Time and Accurate high perfomance Cognitive AI based node implemented and running. Buill & System Integration Edge Node allows Authentication / Authorization Edge Node allows Authentication / Authorization Edge Node allows Authentication / Authorization Edge Node accuse Linux Operating System Edge Node accuse LINUX Operating System Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Ceneryic Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Ceneryic | < 100ms True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False |

Figure 23 - Task UC5_T1_4 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.1.5 Task: UC5_T1_4_1 - Build Safe Passenger Transfer application Figure 24 - shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_4_1:

| Components KF | | KF | Is for UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-03 WP6T61-01-04 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-15 | UC5_CMP_03 UC5_CMP_04 | UC5_KPI_IP_06 UC5_KPI_IP_02 UC5_KPI_IP_04 | Build Safe Passenger Transfer application success In Inference time Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform | True/False < 100 ms >= 95% | | | |

Figure 24 - Task UC5_T1_4_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.1.6 Task: UC5_T1_4_2 - Build Accurate Stop application

Figure 25 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T1_4_2:

| Comp | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP6T61-01-01 WP6T61-01-03 WP6T61-01-04 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-15 | UC5_CMP_01, UC5_CMP_02 | UC5_KPI_IP_07 UC5_KPI_IP_02 UC5_KPI_IP_04 | Build Accurate Stop application success Inference time Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform | True/False < 100 ms >= 95% | UC5_KPI_FT_08 | Edge Node has the ability to track locattion | True/False |

Figure 25 - Task UC5_T1_4_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.2 UC_T2 - Benchmark Preparation Tasks

4.6.4.2.1 Task: UC5_T2_1 - Database recording on real environment Figure 26 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T2_1:

| Comp | onents | KF | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|--|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| Outside Fractal | | UC5_KPI_IP_09 | Hours of video recorded | > 80 | | | |

Figure 26 - Task UC5_T2_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 36 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|
| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.6.4.2.2 Task: UC5_T2_2 - Database processing

Figure 27 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T2_2:

| Components KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------|--|--------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| Outside Fractal | | UC5_KPI_IP_10 | Image Database size | >40000 | | | |

Figure 27 - Task UC5_T2_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.2.3 Task: UC5_T2_3 - Model training

Figure 28 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T2_3:

| Components KPIs for UC Implementation Plan KPIs for Fractal | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | |
|---|----------|---------------|--|-------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| Outside Fractal | | UC5_KPI_IP_11 | Model accuracy over test database | > 75% | | | |

Figure 28 - Task UC5_T2_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.3 UC_T3 - Extended Target Environment Setup and automatic update service Tasks

4.6.4.3.1 Task: UC5_T3_1 - Test docker hosted application integration with Vitis AI Runtime Figure 29 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T3_1:

| Comp | ponents k | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Featur | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP3T34-03 WP5T52-06-01 WP5T52-04-07 UC5_CMP_06 | | UC5_KPI_IP_13 | Inference time | < 100 ms | | | |

Figure 29 - Task UC5_T3_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.3.2 Task: UC5_T3_2 - Test edge automatic update services and connection with cloud Figure 30 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T3_2:

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Featur | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| WP3T32-10 WP4T44-02 WP5T52-06-01 WP5T52-04-07 UC5_CMP_06 | | UC5_KPI_IP_14 | Working under secure connection | True/False | UC5_KPI_FO_02 UC5_KPI_FO_03 | Edge Node application with Secure connection to the Cloud implemented and running. Edge Node Software and Model update time<1 min (i.e. example), guaranteeing data is not corrupted, implemented and running. | True/False < 1 min |

Figure 30 - Task UC5_T3_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.3.3 Task: UC5_T3_3 - Test cloud repositories version handling Figure 31 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T3_3:

| Cor | © FRACTAL Project Consortium 37 of 193 |
|-----|--|
|-----|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Feature | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value KPI ID KPI Description | | Value | |
| WP5T52-06-01 WP5T52-04-07 WP5T54-02-02 | | UC5_KPI_IP_15 UC5_KPI_IP_16 | Model and docker image cloud hosting success Cloud repositories version handling success | True/False True/False | UC5_KPI_FO_04 UC5_KPI_FT_10 | Software and Model version handling on cloud implemented and running. Cloud Data Set Version Control | True/False True/False |

Figure 31 - Task UC5_T3_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

4.6.4.4 UC_T4 - System Evaluation Tasks

4.6.4.4.1 Task: UC5_T4_1 - Metrics Calculation

Figure 32 shows traceability relationships for Task UC5_T4_1:

| tures |
|--------------------|
| Value |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ailways True/Epico |
| in ue/r dise |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ailw |

Figure 32 - Task UC5_T4_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 38 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.7 Justification plan

This section defines the justification methods (like demonstrations, tests, simulations, calculations, etc.) for KPIs evaluation, Use Case Requirements validation and Components validation.

4.7.1 KPI evaluation method

4.7.1.1 KPI for Implementation Plan

4.7.1.1.1 UC5_KPI_IP_01

- **Description**: All subtask success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task succeed.

4.7.1.1.2 UC5_KPI_IP_02

- **Description**: Inference time
- *Result type*: < 100 ms
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when the inference time of both applications (Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger), executed separately and considering preprocessing + network inference + postprocessing, is less than or equal to 100ms, it is, the applications can process 10 FPS. In this case, front RGB cameras capture frames of 1280x960 pixels, and rear pointing RGB cameras may reach up to 1920x1080 pixels. The test consists of passing the video (mp4 format) to the application and measuring the fps achieved.

4.7.1.1.3 UC5_KPI_IP_03

- **Description**: Build OpenCV on for Target (Versal ARM64) success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: OpenCV must be built in Versal Platform. OpenCV must be downloaded in Linux x86 and cross-compiled for ARM64 and installed in Versal. After installation OpenCV provides and standard benchmark of tests that checks that OpenCV is working properly. The test consists of passing this benchmark.

4.7.1.1.4 UC5_KPI_IP_04

- **Description**: Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform
- **Result type**: > 95%
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI applies to OpenCV Stereo Vision on Target Platform, in this case Versal. This test passes if OpenCV Stereo Vision benchmarks executed in Versal achieve > 95% of the Accuracy obtained by these benchmark algorithms in Windows.

4.7.1.1.5 UC5_KPI_IP_05

- **Description**: Build CAF Demonstration Software on Target success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: CAF demonstrator on Versal integrates both the Accurate Stop Application and Safe Passenger Transfer Application into only

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

one application. This KPI checks that both applications are integrated and run together in the final platform and keep satisfying KPIS UC5_KPI_IP_02 (inference time) and UC5_KPI_IP_04 (accuracy % with respect to the X86 platform). This prevents from integration problems, when applications executed alone in the target reach the corresponding KPIs, but when executed together, do not reach the KPIs.

4.7.1.1.6 UC5_KPI_IP_06

- **Description**: Build Safe Passenger Transfer application success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI checks if the Safe Passenger application compiles and executes successfully in Versal platform. Other KPIs check performance.

4.7.1.1.7 UC5_KPI_IP_07

- **Description**: Build Accurate Stop application success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI checks if the Accurate Stop application compiles and executes successfully in Versal platform. Other KPIs check performance.

4.7.1.1.8 UC5_KPI_IP_08

- **Description**: All subtask success
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task succeed.

4.7.1.1.9 UC5_KPI_IP_09

- **Description**: Hours of video recorded
- Result type: > 80
- **Evaluation method**: This test is passed if more than 80 hours of video have been recorded, 75% hours from the front cameras of the train and 25% hours from the camera pointing to the rear of the train, capturing train doors.

4.7.1.1.10 UC5_KPI_IP_10

- **Description**: Image Database size
- **Result type**: > 4000
- **Evaluation method**: This test is passed if 4000 images are extracted from the front camera videos (only for stop signal and platform detection) and are divided into the following groups: (a) 2250 images are labeled (box around stop signal and platform) for the training set, (b) 750 images are labeled (box around stop signal and platform) for the Development Set, and the rest, (c) 1000 images, are also labeled and selected for the Test Set.

4.7.1.1.11 UC5_KPI_IP_11

• **Description**: Model accuracy over test database

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium 40 of 193 |
|--|
|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- **Result type**: > 75%
- **Evaluation method**: The stop signal and platform detection models are trained offline. This test passes if model accuracy over the Test Set after training is > 75%.

4.7.1.1.12 UC5_KPI_IP_12

- **Description**: All subtask success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task succeed.

4.7.1.1.13 UC5_KPI_IP_13

- **Description**: Inference time (of containerized application)
- **Result type**: < 100 ms
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the docker hosted CAF Demonstration Software (integrated with Vitis AI Runtime) on Target Versal executes in the Edge with an inference time less than 100 ms. Inference time includes preprocessing + network inference + postprocessing.

4.7.1.1.14 UC5_KPI_IP_14

- **Description**: Working under secure connection success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the edge models automatic update services and connection with cloud works under a secure connection. The test consists of checking the following aspects:
 - o Is communication encrypted?
 - o Is communication authenticated? Minimum one factor authentication.
 - Are authentication credentials exposed to the network? Protected credentials within the cloud and edge.

4.7.1.1.15 UC5_KPI_IP_15

- **Description**: Model and docker image cloud hosting success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The test passes if the cloud can host the docker images containing the models and the applications of Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger transfer.

4.7.1.1.16 UC5_KPI_IP_16

- **Description**: Cloud repositories version handling success
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The test passes if the cloud can host and handle different versions of the docker images containing the models and the applications of Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger transfer.

4.7.1.1.17 UC5_KPI_IP_17

• **Description**: Metrics obtained for defined model both in X86 and Versal

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 41 of 193 | |
|--|--|-----------|--|
|--|--|-----------|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL |
|---------|-----------|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 |

- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The test passes if for both applications, Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer, the following metrics will be obtained for both the x86 platform and the Versal platform (see section 4.3.4 for a detailed description):
 - o Average Precision (AP)
 - o Mean Average Precision (mAP)
 - o Precision Threshold curve
 - o Recall Threshold curve
 - o Precision Recall curves (PR Curves).

4.7.1.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives

4.7.1.2.1 UC5_KPI_FO_00

- **Description**: FRACTAL Technology helps improving State-of-Art in Railways Sector.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: After collecting all metrics obtained for the implementation plan (UC5_KPI_IP_**) this test will decide if FRACTAL technology helps CAF improve the current state-of-art in the Railways Sector. CAF has already implemented some of the functions (Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer) with NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier technology. Therefore, this KPI will be necessarily a complex decision process by CAF, comparing results from FRACTAL with results from NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier. This test will compare performance in the Edge, but however, undoubtedly, FRACTAL cloud services will definitively be an improvement for CAF.

4.7.1.2.2 UC5_KPI_FO_01

- **Description**: Real-time Inference Time and Accurate high performance Cognitive AI based node implemented and running.
- **Result type**: < 100 ms
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O1 Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity.
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 1 (WP3) Open-Safe-Reliable and low power node architecture.
- **Evaluation method**: FRACTAL Edge node, Versal, and CAF applications running on the target, contribute to Pillar 1 as they represent an open-safe-reliable platform with an AI-based node. This test will pass if the combined inference time of both applications in the Edge is less than 100ms.

4.7.1.2.3 UC5_KPI_FO_02

- **Description**: Edge Node application with Secure connection to the Cloud implemented and running.
- **Result type**: True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 42 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: 02 Guarantee extrafunctional properties (dependability, security, timeliness, and energyefficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems).
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 2 (WP4) Low power, safety, security and high-performance trade-off.
- **Evaluation method**: This test consists of checking if FRACTAL Edge node, Versal, and CAF applications running on the target, provide at least one the extra-functional properties, in the case of CAF, security. The test (similar to UC5_KPI_IP_14) consists of checking the following aspects:
 - o Is communication encrypted?
 - o Is communication authenticated? Minimum one factor authentication.
 - Are authentication credentials exposed to the network? Protected credentials within the cloud and edge.

4.7.1.2.4 UC5_KPI_FO_03

- **Description**: Edge Node Software and Model update Max Time, guaranteeing data is not corrupted, implemented and running.
- **Result type**: < 1 min
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors.
- Relates to FRACTAL Pillar: Pillar 3 (WP5) Cognitive & Autonomous Node.
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node software (applications + neural networks models) can be updated in less than 1 minute guaranteeing is not corrupted. The test will be carried out by triggering and update, measuring the time, checking that the update is not corrupted and checking if the update is up and running.

4.7.1.2.5 UC5_KPI_FO_04

- **Description**: Software and Model version handling on cloud implemented and running.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O4 To integrate FRACTAL communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes.
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 4 (WP6) Mutable and FRACTAL communications.
- **Evaluation method**: Introduce several versions for SW and Model on Cloud repository whit different version numbers and check that they remain differentiable.

4.7.1.3 KPI for FRACTAL Features

4.7.1.3.1 UC5_KPI_FT_01

- **Description**: Edge Node has USB-C port
- **Result type**: True/False

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F1_CAF ADAPTABILITY EXTENSIBILITY PORT CONNECTION USB C
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge node has at least one usable USB-C port from which data can be read (models and test data, in this case, mp4 files).

4.7.1.3.2 UC5_KPI_FT_02

- **Description**: Edge Node has Ethernet connector RJ45
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F2_CAF ADAPTABILITY EXTENSIBILITY PORT CONNECTION ETH RJ45
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge node provides and Ethernet connector from which the target can be connected. In this case a SSH connection will be tried for testing.

4.7.1.3.3 UC5_KPI_FT_03

- **Description**: Edge Node Vitis-AI allows importing and executing ONNX models
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F3_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE MODEL ONNX
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if both, the Accurate Stop application and Safe Passenger Transfer application, can load at start-up time the neural network models provided in ONNX format.

4.7.1.3.4 UC5_KPI_FT_04

- **Description**: Edge Node Vitis-AI allows importing and executing Yolo V3/V4
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F4_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE ALGORITHMS YOLO V3/V4
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if both, the Accurate Stop application and Safe Passenger Transfer application, can load at start-up time the neural network models provided in ONNX format, can be executed and allow making inference with the models producing outputs (Stop Signal identification in case of Accurate Stop) and (Person/obstacles identification in case of Safe Passenger Transfer).

4.7.1.3.5 UC5_KPI_FT_05

- **Description**: Edge Node inference time allows real-time processing of frames
- **Result type**: < 100ms
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F5_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE REALTIME
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if CAF Demonstrator (Accurate Stop + Safe Passenger Transfer) reaches 10 fps.

4.7.1.3.6 UC5_KPI_FT_06

- **Description**: Build & System Integration
- **Result type**: True/False

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F6_CAF ADAPTABILITY EXTENSIBILITY PORT CONNECTION BUILD SYSTEM INTEGRATION
- **Evaluation method**: Docker container can successfully access the HW acceleration.

4.7.1.3.7 UC5_KPI_FT_07

- **Description**: AI Inference Accuracy on Model
- **Result type**: > 75%
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F7_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE MODEL ACCURACY / VALIDATION
- **Evaluation method**: mAP calculated over validation dataset giving more than 75% on the X86 platform. Test pass if accuracy on FRACTAL is also more than 75% and equal (+-1%) to X86 evaluation.

4.7.1.3.8 UC5_KPI_FT_08

- **Description**: Edge Node can track location
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F8_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE LOCATION NODE
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the train can track location. In the case of UC5, the test passes if the Accurate Stop application can estimate the distance with respect to the platform stop signal (it is a relative position of the train with respect to the stop point). However, stop precision cannot be measured, as this would imply a real connection of the FRACTAL node to the ATO control of the train, and taking stop precision measurements of the whole system integrated, which is out of the scope of the project.

4.7.1.3.9 UC5_KPI_FT_09

- **Description**: Edge Node has OpenCV
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F9_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY OPENCV
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if OpenCV is successfully installed in the node and passes OpenCV standard benchmarks.

4.7.1.3.10 UC5_KPI_FT_10

- **Description**: Cloud Data Set Version Control
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F10_CAF ADAPTABILITY AI DATA ORCHESTRATION - DATA SET - VERSION CONTROL
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Cloud service has a Data Set Version control service.

4.7.1.3.11 UC5_KPI_FT_11

- **Description**: Edge Node frame processing rate > 10fps
- **Result type**: >10fps
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F11_CAF REALIABILITY RESPONSE TIME - FRAME RATE

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 45 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the node can execute CAF Demonstrator with a frame rate of 10fps.

4.7.1.3.12 UC5_KPI_FT_12

- **Description**: Edge Node allows video processing
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F12_CAF CONTEXT_AWARENESS SENSORS VIDEO
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the node can be fed with direct video input.

4.7.1.3.13 UC5_KPI_FT_13

- **Description**: Safety Regulation ISO 26262 Automotion
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F13_CAF SAFETY REGULATION ISO 26262 CAR VARIATION OF 61508
- **Evaluation method**: FRACTAL Developer WP provide documentation.

4.7.1.3.14 UC5_KPI_FT_14

- **Description**: Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Generic
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F14_CAF SAFETY REGULATION ISO 61508 - Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety-related Systems
- **Evaluation method**: FRACTAL Developer WP provide documentation.

4.7.1.3.15 UC5_KPI_FT_15

- **Description**: Safety Regulation CENELEC EN50126/8/9: Railway Industry
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F15_CAF SAFETY REGULATION CENELEC EN50126/8/9: Railway Industry
- **Evaluation method**: FRACTAL Developer WP provide documentation.

4.7.1.3.16 UC5_KPI_FT_16

- **Description**: Edge Node in Low Power has ONNX models
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F16_CAF LOW POWER AI LIBRARY
 MODELS ONNX
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node can execute processes that load ONNX models, as for example Accurate Stop application and Safe Passenger Transfer application.

4.7.1.3.17 UC5_KPI_FT_17

- **Description**: Edge Node allows secure storage of data
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F17_CAF SECURITY SECURE STORAGE

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **Evaluation method**: This test passes if data stored in the Edge Node has secured storage mechanisms, as for example, encryption, access authentication, etc.

4.7.1.3.18 UC5_KPI_FT_18

- **Description**: Edge Node allows Authentication / Authorization
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F18_CAF SECURITY AUTHENTICATION AUTHORIZATION
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has authenticated and authorized access.

4.7.1.3.19 UC5_KPI_FT_19

- **Description**: Fractality communication via Ethernet
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F19_CAF FRACTALITY COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY TECHNOLOGIES ethernet
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has a usable ethernet port.

4.7.1.3.20 UC5_KPI_FT_20

- **Description**: Edge Node is implemented on Versal
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F20_CAF OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - PLATFROM - VERSAL
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Versal Edge Node is available in FRACTAL.

4.7.1.3.21 UC5_KPI_FT_21

- **Description**: Edge Node executes LINUX Operating System
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F21_CAF OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - OS - LINUX
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Versal Edge Node has Linux installed.

4.7.2 Use Case Requirement Validation methods

Use case requirements validation methods are defined under the KPI defined for Use Case Requirements.

4.7.2.1.1 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_01

- **Description**: Edge Node Platform Ruggedized
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: Railway regulation compliance (EN50155, EN50125, EN45545, EN50121, UNE EN 61373)

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 47 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 2557755 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.7.2.1.2 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_02

- **Description**: Edge Node Inference Time
- **Result type**: < 100 ms
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node Versal allows for an inference time of less than 100ms for both applications, the Accurate Stop and Safe Passenger Transfer, working simultaneously. The test will carry out by feeding two videos, one of the train entering the station, and the other of people around the train doors. Both applications should reach 10 FPS.

4.7.2.1.3 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_03

- **Description**: Edge OpenCV Support
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node Versal has OpenCV installed and running, and OpenCV passes the standard OpenCV benchmarks.

4.7.2.1.4 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_04

- **Description**: Edge ONNX Support
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if Edge node Versal can execute processes that load ONNX models, as for example Accurate Stop application and Safe Passenger Transfer application. The test passes if both processes can load ONNX models.

4.7.2.1.5 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_05

- **Description**: HW Accelerator Compatible with TensorFlow
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: Test passes if HW accelerator API is compatible with Tensorflow API

4.7.2.1.6 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_06

- **Description**: Edge Node with at least 4 cores
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has at least 4 cores.

4.7.2.1.7 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_07

- **Description**: Edge Node with multithreading
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge node allows multiple threads per core.

4.7.2.1.8 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_08

- **Description**: Edge Node at least 60 GFLOPS
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: Inference speed test, less than 100 ms per inference required on CAF Yolo V4 model.

4.7.2.1.9 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_09

• **Description**: Edge Node at least 16GB DDR RAM

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 48 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| X + X + X | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has at least 16GB of RAM.

4.7.2.1.10 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_10

- **Description**: Edge Node with HW Accelerator
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has an HW Accelerator implemented and if EDDL can make use of the acceleration.

4.7.2.1.11 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_11

- **Description**: Edge Node Multi-Interfaces and their Linux Drivers
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: Speed transmission test for the available required interfaces (Ethernet), NFS with at least 100 Mbps.

4.7.2.1.12 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_12

- **Description**: Edge Node with Linux OS
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node has Linux OS installed and running.

4.7.2.1.13 UC5_KPI_IP_Req_13

- **Description**: Platform Release with C++ compiler/cross compiler
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This test passes if the Edge Node is released along with the right cross compilers to cross compile C++ code, possibly including EDDL and OpenCV functions, and generate code for the Edge Node.

4.7.3 Components Validation

Components used by the Use Case can be divided into two groups: *specific* components produced by the Use Case, and general *common* FRACTAL Components used by the Use Case.

The validation of Use Case Specific components is done through the corresponding KPIs. However, FRACTAL Common Components cannot be validated by just one UC, therefore, validation through this Use Case can be considered only as just a partial validation of the component.

4.7.3.1 Case Specific Components

4.7.3.1.1 UC5_CMP_01- Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection

This component basically consists of a Neural Network YOLOv3/YOLOv4 deployed into the Versal platform for stop signal detection. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium 49 c |
|---|
|---|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC5_KPI_IP_07 Signal Detection application success (app compiles and execute)
- UC5_KPI_IP_02 Inference time of Signal Detection is < 100 ms
- UC5_KPI_IP_04 Accuracy % of Signal Detection with respect to X86 platform is >= 95%

4.7.3.1.2 UC5_CMP_02- Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus

This component basically consists of an OpenCV's stereo SGBM algorithm for stereo distance calculus deployed into the Versal platform to estimate the distance from the train to the stop signal. The algorithm works by matching signal detections front left/right camera in the front. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC5_KPI_IP_07 Distance Calculus application success (app compiles and execute)
- UC5_KPI_IP_02 Inference time of Distance Calculus is < 100 ms
- UC5_KPI_IP_04 Accuracy % of Distance Calculus with respect to X86 platform is >= 95%

4.7.3.1.3 UC5_CMP_03- Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection

This component basically consists of a Neural Network YOLOv3/YOLOv4 deployed into the Versal platform for person detection near the train doors. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC5_KPI_IP_06 Person Detection application success (app compiles and execute)
- UC5_KPI_IP_02 Inference time of Person Detection is < 100 ms
- UC5_KPI_IP_04 Accuracy % of Person Detection with respect to X86 platform is >= 95%

4.7.3.1.4 UC5_CMP_04- Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

This component basically consists of a Neural Network YOLOv3/YOLOv4 deployed into the Versal platform for platform detection. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC5_KPI_IP_06 Platform Detection application success (app compiles and execute)
- UC5_KPI_IP_02 Inference time of Platform Detection is < 100 ms
- UC5_KPI_IP_04 Accuracy % of Platform Detection with respect to X86 platform is >= 95%

4.7.3.1.5 UC5_CMP_05- Automatic Models Update Service

This component is the client part for the Automatic Update service of models in the cloud. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC5_KPI_IP_14 Working under secure connection.
- UC5_KPI_FO_02 Edge Node application with Secure connection to the Cloud implemented and running.
- UC5_KPI_FO_03 Edge Node Software and Model update time < 1 min, guaranteeing data is not corrupted, implemented and running.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 50 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

4.7.3.1.6 UC5_CMP_06- Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

This component is the Integration of previous components: Accurate Stop, Safe Passenger and Platform detection components, and deployed into the Versal platform. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC5_KPI_IP_05 Build CAF Demonstration Software on Versal success (app compiles and execute).
- UC5_KPI_IP_02 Simultaneous Inference time of all integrated applications (Signal Detection, Platform Detection and Person Detection) is less than < 100 ms.
- UC5_KPI_IP_04 Accuracy % with respect to X86 platform of all integrated applications (Signal Detection, Platform Detection and Person Detection) is >= 95%.
- UC5_KPI_IP_Req_08 Edge Node Platform Ruggedized.

4.7.3.2 FRACTAL Common Components

4.7.3.2.1 WP3T32-10 - Versal accelerator building-blocks

This component consists of the development of building-blocks for accelerators for Versal. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.2 WP3T34-03 - Versal Model deployment layer

This component consists of the model deployment on the Versal APU + DPU control from model repository images. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.3 WP5T52-04-07 - Harbor Image repository

This component consists of the Container Registry for Docker Images. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.4 WP4T41-06 - Versal Isolation Design

This component consists of enhancing the common Versal platform to strictly separate functional accesses, services from underlying HW access. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 51 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.5 WP4T43-11 - Time-Triggered Extension Layer for Versal NoC

This component consists of a Time-Triggered extension layer that is an extension layer developed for Versal NoC (Network on Chip) that allows the Versal NoC to transfer messages using Time triggered traffic. Partial validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the Use Case specific components that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.6 WP4T44-02 - OS Security Layer

This component consists of an implementation of security countermeasures in a transversal security layer. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.7 WP5T52-06-01 - Model preparation for FRACTAL Edge (Versal Xilinx Vitis AI)

This component consists of the workflows to compile models for Versal with Xilinx Vitis AI and add containerized toolchain to the cloud. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.8 WP5T54-02-02 - Kubernetes

This component consists of an open-source orchestrator for cluster management and container orchestration. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.9 WP5T52-04-07 - Harbor Image repository

This component consists of a container registry for docker images. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific*

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 52 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

components that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.10 WP6T61-01-01 - Operating system - Ubuntu

This component consists of the Linux for ARM64 & RISC_V64. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.11 WP6T61-01-03 - Petalinux

This component consists of the tools necessary to customize, build, and deploy Embedded Linux solutions on Xilinx processing systems. Tailored to accelerate design productivity, the solution works with the Xilinx hardware design tools to ease the development of Linux systems for Versal. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.12 WP6T61-01-04 - Vitis AI

This component consists of the Vitis[™] AI development environment is Xilinx's development platform for AI inference on Xilinx hardware platforms. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.13 WP6T61-03-06 - OpenCV

This component consists of the open-source computer vision and machine learning software library OpenCV. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 53 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.14 WP6T61-03-11 - ONNX

This component consists of the cross-platform neural network interchange format and the functions necessary to import ONNX format files in Versal. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC5_CMP_01 Accurate Stop/ Signal Detection
- UC5_CMP_02 Accurate Stop/ Stereo Distance Calculus
- UC5_CMP_03 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Person Detection
- UC5_CMP_04 Safe Passenger Transfer/ Platform Detection

4.7.3.2.15 WP6T61-02-01 - Docker

This component consists of a Platform-as-a-Service product, namely Docker, that uses OS-level virtualization to deliver software in packages called containers. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

4.7.3.2.16 WP6T61-15 - Standard C++ Library

This component consists of the library for C++ in the platform Versal. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC5_CMP_06 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5 VAL-UC6 Elaborate data collected using heterogeneous technologies (intelligent totem)

The UC6 reference scenario is a shopping mall transformed into a sentient space, by embedding processing resources within the set physical environment. This space can be considered as a network of interconnected nodes, each able to collect and process data locally. In more detail, such components are smart cameras and advertising smart totems (equipped with cameras, microphones, and a large touch-screen display) strategically located inside the shopping mall (e.g., in crowded areas).

As described in detail in the next sections, the main pillars of this Use Case are:

- An AI-based intelligent totem, for personalized advertisement and dedicated customer support will be developed adopting the FRACTAL framework;
- In such space, interactive totems will be equipped with heterogeneous sensors, like for example cameras and microphones, in order to collect a huge amount of data;
- Innovative and advanced AI algorithms deployed on the edge are used to process data collected to better understand their surroundings.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 55 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.1 Before FRACTAL

Digital Signage solutions distribute multimedia content and interactive applications via any display format, touch screen, video wall, and digital totem located at highly attended locations, such as stores, shopping malls, rail or air terminals, and museums.

Typically, they include user-friendly scheduling tools and powerful framework control for secure and reliable real-time broadcasting of info and content that can be constantly updated and disseminated according to location and timing.

Moreover, such tools allow the broadcasting management of monitors with live content and playlists consisting of audio / video clips, slides, texts, links to websites, news feeds, RSS, and the creation of layout, channels and schedules.

Components of a traditional Digital Signage platform are listed here and shown in Figure 33:

- Central servers hosting the front-end of the content management applications;
- A central "director" application (CMS) managing and distributing audio, video and textual content;
- A framework of remote IP-connected multimedia players;
- A network of multi-format LCD/Plasma TVs, pro displays, video-walls, interactive totems and touch screens.



Figure 33 – Digital Signage platform

Unfortunately, content is predefined and its broadcasting/display is statically scheduled. As a matter of fact, totems are usually simple actuators without any sensors or data processing capabilities. The unique interaction possible with their surrounding is by means of interactive displays eventually used by customers or visitors.

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 56 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.2 Use Case Objectives

Shopping is one of the most important leisure activities in our life. Despite ecommerce is becoming a fast-growing area of business, shopping mall still remains a relevant reference point above all [30]. Currently, indoor medium-large shopping malls are shopping areas from which traffic is excluded and, sometimes, distributed over several floors. Typically, in these buildings, there are video-surveillance systems and informative totems which provide spatial type information, such as maps and advertising.

Shopping malls are in general crowded and noisy environments, due to the presence of many shops. When looking for a specific shop, it can be difficult to locate and reach, especially when the noise is loud and the space to move is limited by the presence of other people [31].

Other features of those spaces are a good level of lighting. A typical shopping mall is depicted in Figure 34.



Figure 34 – Totems installed in shopping mall

Here the goal is to transform the shopping mall into a **sentient space**, by embedding processing resources within the set physical environment [32] [33] [34]. Hence, this space can be seen **as a network of interconnected nodes**, each with its processing resources, such as smart cameras and advertising smart totems (equipped with cameras, microphones, and a large touch-screen display) located strategically inside the shopping mall (e.g., near entrances, in the hallways), able to provide support to the users uniquely tailored to their needs.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

An AI-based intelligent totem, for personalized advertisement and dedicated customer support, will be developed by adopting the FRACTAL framework. The totem will be equipped with heterogeneous sensors (cameras, microphones, etc.) to collect a huge amount of data that can be processed to better understand their surroundings. Advanced AI approaches for data collection and processing will be developed and deployed on the edge.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.3 State of the art

5.3.1 Interactive totem for retail application

Most relevant solutions for digital interactive communications are listed here below. They are the results of a state-of-the-art analysis done by UC6 leaders.

- **SmartMedia** produces and distributes interactive devices like multi-touch monitors, LED panels and Interactive kiosks (indoor and outdoor) for self-payment services [35].
- **SITA:** Kate, intelligent robotic kiosk. Based on AI technology and geonavigation, SITA Lab has developed an intelligent check-in kiosk that will autonomously move to busy or congested areas in the airport as needed, to minimize check-in queues [36].
- **Zebra;** small size mini- and micro-kiosks for interactive services; typical use is price and inventory check, patient check-in, electronic Hotel Concierge and Maps, E-ticket purchase and pick-up, merchandising and digital-signage, etc [38].
- **Emoji;** EMOJ proposes a set of solutions for online and in-store to really achieve an omnichannel approach to customer experience. Customer segmentation, engagement, and satisfaction are measured in context. Real-time reactions are activated to create unique customer experiences in order to increase sales and optimize conversion rates. They work mainly in Fashion Retail. EMOJ owns an Italian patent about the convolutional networks used for customer recognition and reaction control in real-time. Claims regard:
 - Method to recognize age, gender, emotions and gaze;
 - Method for the elaboration of pictures and video and data transmission;
 - Algorithms for recommendation and content customization;
 - Algorithms for the creation of adaptive and sensible spaces based on customer's profile and emotions.

5.3.2 Object and people detection using video analytics

In UC6 video analysis plays a crucial role, being used for several different tasks. So far, traditional video analysis algorithms have been based on **background estimation** methods which separate the static background from the moving targets, the *foreground*, by comparing the video flow, frame-by-frame. The result of this preprocessing is a set of metadata describing the characteristics of detected targets (position, sizes, direction, speed, etc.). If such characteristics meet a particular predefined rule, which describes a dangerous event like for example a wrong-way vehicle, the system triggers a specific alarm to notify this event. The most important

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 59 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

part of this type of algorithm is the rules definition which is basically demanded to video processing experts and SW developers.

Recently, innovative video analytics systems are based on **Artificial Intelligence** approaches and in particular on **Deep learning**. Thanks to its training-based datadriven approach, it allows to minimize errors and increase the detection precision, therefore, system performance. In addition, the advantages offered by deep learning are innumerable: the use of neural networks eliminates the problems associated with sudden changes in the framed scene, caused by changes in weather conditions, lighting or camera movement, situations that generally affect the traditional video analysis system. Unfortunately, these algorithms are quite resource-demanding and with high execution time, which have negative implications for their widespread adoption.

There are several different approaches in the scientific literature, most of them related to object detection and classification, as they are the most important features for each video surveillance applications, including traffic and road monitoring. Recently proposed in [RPN], the **RPN** (Region Proposal Network) is a quite promising technique for object detection, consisting of a convolutional neural network able to detect object bounding boxes and classifying them. Another interesting method, called **SSD** (Single Shot Detection), presented in [SSD], is able to detect objects just using a single deep neural network analyzing images acquired. Finally, **YOLO** (You only look once) [YOLO] is a real-time object detection that applies a single neural network to the whole image, not dividing it in different region as done by the other methods. Performance comparison among these algorithms is not an easy job. Nevertheless, a quite good comparative analysis is reported in [COMP].

5.3.3 Idiom recognition

Idiom Recognition (IR) can be defined as the problem of recognizing the language of the current speaker, given an unknown speech utterance. Literature works related to the IR task can be broadly categorized into the following main approaches: (i) Text-Dependent (TD) and (ii) Text-Independent (TI).

TD solutions are aimed at recognizing the language of the current speaker given the presence of a specific word contained in the speech utterance. The identification is performed on the audio sample, using two different approaches: (i) Speech-to-Text (STT) and (ii) Non Speech-to-Text (NSTT).

TD-STT idiom recognition is based on the transcript of the audio, which must contain a specific word among one or more pre-defined keywords for each available language. STT approaches rely on a typically short list of hot-words for each language: when a hot-word is identified inside the transcript, the system outputs the corresponding language [39].

On the other hand, TD-NSTT solutions are based on machine learning algorithms taking into account the audio features of specific utterances. In particular, keyword matching is not performed on the speech transcript, but it is achieved by comparing

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

the audio sample by employing specific algorithms and models, such as for instance Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) [40], Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) [41] [42].

For what concerns TI methods, TI-STT idiom recognition is based on the transcript of the audio, which contains generic words belonging to a specific language. STT approaches usually rely on a database storing a large vocabulary of different languages and use it to compare the transcript with the words available for the considered idioms. Matching words and possible analysis on consistency and grammar syntax models allow identifying the correct language. Widely employed methods rely on deep learning using Neural Network (NN) architectures or common code books and Discrete Hidden Markov Models (DHMM) [43] [44].

In the same frameworks, TI-NSTT solutions are based upon language models based on acoustic and phonotactic features related to each language. The acoustic features reflect low-level spectral characteristics, while the phonotactic features represent the phonological constraints that govern a spoken language. Both features have been shown to be effective in spoken language recognition [41] [42]. Examples of commonly employed features are Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC), Delta-Delta coefficients (DD), and Shifted Delta Cepstral coefficients (SDC) [45]. The speech audio sample is usually fed into a classifier trained with a huge number of samples related to the specific language, possibly uttered in different conditions. The system compares the speech and acoustic features of the audio sample with those derived from the language models and recognizes the idiom that best matches the attributes. Widely employed algorithms in this approach are for instance Support Vector Machines (SVM) [46], or probabilistic models such as Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and GMM [47].

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 61 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.4 Main contributions expected from FRACTAL

The FRACTAL project provides an opportunity to explore alternatives to current commercial smart totems aligned to the main FRACTAL Pillars. The main focus for the UC6 stands for cognitive smart totems based on explainable AI and running on reliable edge platforms able to exploit fractality (especially with respect to communications and load distribution) to provide energy/performance trade-off while guaranteeing soft real-time constraints with respect to user experience. Among the platform variants presented, Xilinx Ultrascale+/Versal-based FRACTAL platform is selected due to its support for inference at the edge.

More in detail, UC6 contributes to the implementation of the FRACTAL Pillars as described below.

Pillar 1: Open-Safe-Reliable and low power Node architecture

UC6 nodes are able to monitor their status so enforcing safety and reliability (e.g., fault and anomaly detection), and low power processing (e.g., energy consumption monitoring to check the energy-efficiency of the inference at the edge).

Pillar 2: Low Power, safety, security, and high-performance trade-off

UC6 nodes are able to monitor their performances so enforcing the power/performance trade-off (e.g., energy efficient acceleration with variable accuracy) in order to satisfy soft real-time requirements related to user experience by means of the inference at the edge.

Pillar 3: Cognitive and Autonomous node

UC6 nodes are able to detect users' features and activities so giving rise to cognitive smart totems based on explainable AI that improves their behavior (performance, power efficiency) against the uncertainty of the environment. UC6 nodes are also autonomous since they integrate all the needed computational power to process data and AI algorithms at the edge.

Pillar 4: FRACTAL mutable Communications

UC6 nodes are able to share the workload among them by also identifying the best communication technology in mutable scenarios, so enabling different performance/power trade-off and enforcing reliability. In such a way, UC6 nodes can collaboratively organize and distribute the work over the FRACTAL in an autonomous manner.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.5 Evaluation of the implementation results

UC6 KPIs are listed in this section. They are classified as:

- KPIs for Implementation Plan Tasks and for Requirements (defined in WP2)
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars
- KPIs for UC Features

For each KPI are reported:

- an Identifier,
- a *Description* the type of result *Value*.
- the Test(s) to be performed to validate them (defined in section intitled "Justification Plan")

The next subsections are devoted to each group of KPIs.

5.5.1 KPIs for Implementation Plan Tasks

This section defines the **KPIs defined for the Implementation Plan Tasks** (more details about the Implementation Plan Tasks are provided in Section 5.6.2). Figure 35 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for the Implementation Plan Tasks of UC6. Figure 36 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for the UC6 requirements defined in WP2.

| KPI for UC Implementation Plan | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | Comment | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_01 | Density Estimator Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_02 | P_02 People Detector Correctness | | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_03 | Face Detector Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_04 | Age Estimator Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_05 Gender Classifier Correctness | | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_06 | JC6_KPI_IP_06 Idiom Recognizer Correctness | | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_07 | JC6_KPI_IP_07 Runtime Manager Correctness | | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_08 | Rule-based Recommender Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_09 | Data Compressor Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the related components are correct | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_10 | UC Components Integration Correctness | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_FO_00 UC6_KPI_FO_01 UC6_KPI_FO_02 UC6_KPI_FO_03 UC6_KPI_FO_04 | Defined in section 5.7.1 | | | |

Figure 35 – KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium |
|--|
|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI for UC Requirements | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | Comment | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_Req_01 | Cognitiveness Reqs Monitoring&Managem | True/False True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01 UC6_KPI_IP_02 UC6_KPI_IP_03 UC6_KPI_IP_04 UC6_KPI_IP_05 | Related to: REQ_UC6_01, REQ_UC6_03, REQ_UC6_04, REQ_UC6_05, REQ_UC6_06, REQ_UC6_07, REQ_UC6_08, REQ_UC6_09, REQ_UC6_09, REQ_UC6_16, REQ_UC6_21 Related to: | | | |
| | ent Reqs | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01 UC6_KPI_IP_02 UC6_KPI_IP_03 UC6_KPI_IP_04 UC6_KPI_IP_05 UC6_KPI_IP_06 UC6_KPI_IP_07 UC6_KPI_IP_08 UC6_KPI_IP_09 UC6_KPI_IP_10 | REQ_UC6_02, REQ_UC6_11, REQ_UC6_12, REQ_UC6_13, REQ_UC6_13, REQ_UC6_14, REQ_UC6_15, REQ_UC6_17, REQ_UC6_17, REQ_UC6_19, REQ_UC6_20, REQ_UC6_22, REQ_UC6_23, REQ_UC6_24, REQ_UC6_25, REQ_UC6_27, REQ_UC6_28 | | | |
| UC6_KPI_IP_Req_03 | User Experience Reqs | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07 UC6_KPI_IP_08 UC6_KPI_IP_10 | Related to: REQ_UC6_10, REQ_UC6_26 | | | |

5.5.2 KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Plan Tasks contribute to demonstrate the FRACTAL Objectives** (Related to Pillars and found in the FRACTAL proposal, Section 1.1.2.). Figure 37 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 64 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI for Fractal | KPI for Fractal Objectives (an related Pillars) Helps to demonstrate the following Fractal Specific Objective | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------------|--|--------|---|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Obj | Description | Relates to Pillar |
| UC6_KPI_FO_00 | The nodes are able to detect users features | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_03 UC6_KPI_IP_04 UC6_KPI_IP_05 UC6_KPI_IP_06 | 03 | Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. |
| UC6_KPI_FO_01 | The nodes are able to detect users activities | True/False | KPI is True if the following related IP KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01 UC6_KPI_IP_02 | 03 | Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. |
| UC6_KPI_FO_02 | The nodes are able to monitor their status | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07 | 01 | Design and Implement an Open- Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | Pillar 1 (WP3) - Open-Safe-Realiable and low power node architecture. |
| UC6_KPI_FO_03 | The nodes are able to monitor their performances | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07 UC6_KPI_IP_08 | 02 | Guarantee extra-functional properties (dependability, security, timeliness and energy- efficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems). | Pillar 2 (WP4) - Low power, safety, security and high- preformance trade- off. |
| UC6_KPI_FO_04 | The nodes are able to share the workload among them | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07 UC6_KPI_IP_09 | 04 | To integrate fractal communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes | Pillar 4 (WP6) - Mutable and fractal communications. |

Figure 37 – KPI for FRACTAL Objectives

5.5.3 KPIs for UC Features

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Plan Tasks contribute to demonstrate the UC Features** (defined in the Tab *FRACTAL Features* in the general Excel defined in *WP1 FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx*). Figure 38 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 65 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| | KP) | for UC Fea | ture | Helps to d | emonstrate the following UC Feature |
|---------------|--|------------|---|------------|---|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test KPI is True if the following related KPIs | ID Feature | Description |
| UC6_KPI_FT_00 | The nodes are able to accelerate | True/False | are True: UC6 KPI IP 01, UC6 KPI IP 04, | UC6_F0 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->HW- >AI/ML_ACCELERATOR |
| | AI/ML models | | UC6_KPI_IP_05 | | |
| | | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_01 | The nodes are able to perform inference in real-time | True/False | UC6_KPI_IP_01,UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05,UC6_KPI_IP_06, | UC6_F1 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >INFERENCE->REAL-TIME |
| | | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_02 | The nodes are able to import and execute ONNX models | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05 | UC6_F2 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >INFERENCE->MODEL->FORMAT- >ONNX |
| | The nodes are able | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- |
| UC6_KPI_FT_03 | to import and execute VERSAL | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_04, | UC6_F3 | >INFERENCE->MODEL->FORMAT- >VERSAL |
| | models | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_04 | The nodes are able to perform inference | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_P_02,UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05, UC6_KPI_IP_06, UC6_KPI_IP_08 | UC6_F4 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >INFERENCE->MODEL->LOCATION >NODE |
| | | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_05 | The nodes are able to exploit offline learning/training | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05, UC6_KPI_IP_06, UC6_KPI_IP_08 | UC6_F5 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >LEARNING/TRAINING->LOCATION >OTHER |
| | | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_06 | The nodes are able to exploit supervised learning/training | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05, UC6_KPI_IP_06, UC6_KPI_IP_08 | UC6_F6 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >LEARNING/TRAINING- >PARADIGM->SUPERVISED |
| | | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_07 | The nodes are able to exploit CNN | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05 | UC6_F7 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW- >LEARNING/TRAINING- >ALGORITHMS->CNN |
| | The nodes are able | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_08 | to exploit TENSORFLOW/KERA S libraries | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05 | UC6_F8 | ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->LIBRARY >TENSORFLOW/KERAS |
| UC6_KPI_FT_09 | The nodes are able to perform load balancing | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07, UC6_KPI_IP_09, | UC6_F9 | RELIABILITY->AVALABILITY- >LOAD_BALANCING |
| UC6_KPI_FT_10 | The nodes are able to monitor their performances | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_07, UC6_KPI_IP_08 | UC6_F10 | SAFETY->MONITORING- >PERFORMANCES |
| | The nodes can | | KPI is True if the following related KPIs | | |
| UC6_KPI_FT_11 | acquire video streams from a camera | True/False | are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_03, UC6_KPI_IP_04, UC6_KPI_IP_05 | UC6_F11 | CONTEXT->AWARENESS- >SENSORS->CAMERA |
| UC6_KPI_FT_12 | The nodes can acquire audio streams from a microphone | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPI is True: UC6_KPI_IP_06 | UC6_F12 | CONTEXT->AWARENESS- >SENSORS->MICROPHONE |
| UC6_KPI_FT_13 | The nodes can generate and trasmit alarms | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPI is True: UC6 KPI IP 02 | UC6_F13 | CONTEXT->AWARENESS- >ACTIONS->ALARM |
| UC6_KPI_FT_14 | The nodes have Ethernet interface | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_07, UC6 KPI_IP_10 | UC6_F14 | FRACTALITY- >COMMUNICATIONS/CONNECTIVIT Y->TECHNOLOGIES->ETHERNET |
| UC6_KPI_FT_15 | The nodes have WI- FI interface | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_07, UC6_KPI_IP_10 | UC6_F15 | FRACTALITY- >COMMUNICATIONS/CONNECTIVIT Y->TECHNOLOGIES->WI-FI |
| UC6_KPI_FT_16 | The nodes support MQTT communication | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_IP_01, UC6_KPI_IP_02, UC6_KPI_IP_07, UC6_KPI_IP_10 | UC6_F16 | FRACTALITY- >COMMUNICATIONS/CONNECTIVIT Y->DATAPROTOCOLS->MQTT |
| UC6_KPI_FT_17 | The nodes are implemented on Versal | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPI is True: UC6 KPI IP 10 | UC6_F17 | OTHER:NON-FUNCTIONAL- >PLATFORM->VERSAL-ARM |
| UC6_KPI_FT_18 | The nodes are implemented on ZYNQ ULTRASCALE+ | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPI is True: UC6_KPI_IP_10 | UC6_F18 | OTHER:NON-FUNCTIONAL- >PLATFORM->ZYNQU_LTRASCALE+ |
| UC6_KPI_FT_19 | The nodes execute LINUX OS | True/False | KPI is True if the following related KPI is True: UC6 KPI IP 10 | UC6_F19 | OTHER:NON-FUNCTIONAL- >PLATFORM->OS->LINUX |

Figure 38 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Features

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.6 Implementation Plan

5.6.1 Big Picture in UC6

Figure 39 shows the FRACTAL big picture with respect to the UC6 implementation. In particular, it shows the functionalities that are currently not used (pink boxes) or not supported at all (gray boxes). With respect to the other functionality, especially for those related to the CLOUD part, we are currently evaluating which ones to effectively use. Therefore, we leave all of them enabled for now.



Figure 39 – Big Picture customization for UC6

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 67 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.6.2 Tasks

Figure 40 shows the implementation plan tasks and chronogram for UC6. The table contains the following information:

- Task ID, structured as UC<X>_T<N>, in which X represents the use case number, 6 in this case and N is a progressive number to have a unique ID for each task
- Task name
- Task duration



Figure 40 - Chrono program of the Implementation Plan

All Tasks are described in the next subsections.

5.6.2.1 Density Estimator Implementation

This task consists in estimating the rate of people that are on the roof node field of view of the UC6.

The people density is estimated using a CNN-based detector, which has been deployed on a Xilinx ZUS+ FRACTAL Edge. The application is accelerated using Vitis-AI and a porting to the Xilinx Versal platform is planned as well.

The density estimator application provides output to the rest of the system via MQTT (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport). Configuration parameters can be changed at runtime, using MQTT, in order to match the computational load of the system and to exploit fractality.

5.6.2.2 People detector Implementation

This task consists of training a neural network (People Object Detector) on a cloud server (offline training outside the FRACTAL environment) and exporting it into ONNX format to be deployed in FRACTAL Edge (Roof Node) in combination with a component that can generate MQTT alarms based on the neural network outputs.

5.6.2.3 Face Detector Implementation

The aim of the face detector task is to extract the face of a person in front of the smart totem of the UC6.

The Face Detector application runs on top of a Xilinx ZUS+ FRACTAL edge. The application takes advantage of the Vitis-AI acceleration and a porting to the Xilinx Versal platform is planned as well.

| Copyrigh | © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 68 of 193 |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|----------|------------------------------|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The output of the Face Detector is forwarded to the other AI-based tasks using shared memory.

5.6.2.4 Age Estimator Implementation

This task consists of training a CNN for age estimation on a host computer (offline training outside the FRACTAL environment) and cross-compiling it to execute the inference phase on two targets edge-computing platforms. The network is based on Tensorflow and the trained model is processed with Xilinx Vitis AI; the two targets are the Xilinx Zynq Ultrascale+ and the Xilinx Versal. In both cases, the workload is managed by the ARM application cores and it is accelerated on a dedicated processor. In the case of Zynq Ultrascale+, the dedicated processor is the DPU, while in the case of Versal the dedicated processor is the AI Engine. ARM starts the application by configuring the accelerator, setting it to automatically fetch input data from external RAM memory. Then, the DPU/AI Engine executes the CNN and triggers an interrupt to ARM when the inference ends. It is worth noting that input is provided by the Face Detector application, and outputs are sent to the Rule-based Recommender application through shared external memory. The application is executed on the totem node, and it can share the workload with a roof node with available computing resources.

5.6.2.5 Gender Classifier Implementation

This task consists of training a CNN for gender classification on a host computer (offline training outside the FRACTAL environment) and cross-compiling it to execute the inference phase on two targets edge-computing platforms. The network is based on Tensorflow and the trained model is processed with Xilinx Vitis AI; the two targets are the Xilinx Zynq Ultrascale+ and the Xilinx Versal. In both cases, the workload is managed by the ARM application cores and it is accelerated on a dedicated processor. In the case of Zynq Ultrascale+, the dedicated processor is the DPU, while in the case of Versal the dedicated processor is the AI Engine. ARM starts the application by configuring the accelerator, setting it to automatically fetch input data from external RAM memory. Then, the DPU/AI Engine executes a part of the CNN, up to a hard sigmoid layer of the CNN; then, it triggers an interrupt to the ARM core that performs the hard sigmoid and sends back the control to the accelerator. The process repeats four times, and the result is stored in external memory to be available for the rulebased recommender module. The input is provided by the face detector module. The application is executed on the totem node, and it can share the workload with a roof node with available computing resources.

5.6.2.6 Idiom Recognizer Implementation

This task tackles the problem of recognizing the language (or idiom) spoken by a person who is approaching the intelligent totem. Namely, the Idiom Recognition (IR) algorithm works as follows: (i) it converts the spoken audio into a series of words through a Speech-To-Text (STT) engine and successively (ii) it recognizes the uttered language by following a word-by-word dictionary comparison approach. The outcome of the IR task is then propagated by using a MQTT-based protocol.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 69 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.6.2.7 Runtime Manager Implementation

The aim of the task is to develop a component, called Runtime Manager, capable of managing the communication and interaction between several nodes or modules. Regarding communication management, it uses MQTT protocol for totem-roof nodes communication whereas it uses Rest API and Socket API for modules communication. For what concern the interaction management, the component plays the role of master scheduling the tasks based on inputs received.

5.6.2.8 Rule-based Recommender Implementation

The aim of the task is to develop a component that receives the data of the person and suggests the corresponding content to be reproduced to get the attention of the user. The component is developed as a REST server which accepts the data in the form of a JSON dictionary {"age", "gender", "language"}, and responds with the index of the media file that should be reproduced. This index is computed by a rulebased explainable AI algorithm which should be previously trained on a suitable dataset.

5.6.2.9 Data Compression

This component performs data compression and decompression operations using the universal lossless data compression algorithm LZW, with the aim to investigate an energy-aware solution to reduce data transfer for low-power services. The component is developed as a software library written in C++, with a single entry-point for both actions of compression and decompression. As a part of the internal device services, it can be integrated into any component needing compression and decompression features. In the context of UC6, the component can be used in conjunction with the Load Balancing module to improve the efficiency of the managing loads operation.

5.6.2.10 UC Components Integration

This task is related to the integration of all the components developed in the other tasks. It is performed in an iterative way in order to allow incremental verification as soon as the different components are verified individually. Finally, it will allow to validate the whole UC integration.

5.6.3 Components

This section summarizes the components involved in the Implementation Plan. Such components are:

- **Components produced by the UC** resulting from executing the Implementation Plan.
- **Common FRACTAL Components** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are needed to execute the Implementation Plan.

5.6.3.1 Components produced by the Implementation Plan

The Figure 41 contains the following information:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 70 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Components ID, structured as UC_<X>_COMP<N>, in which X represents the use case number, 6 in this case and N is a progressive number to have a unique ID for each component
- Name of the component
- Description of the component

| UC Compone | UC Components | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Name | Description | | | |
| UC6_CMP_01 | DE – Density Estimator | The DE component is a CNN-based system for people density estimation. The DE component takes as input an IP-camera video flow and using the neural accelerators of the ZUS+, outputs the density estimation. The rate of people is sent to the other components using an MQTT-based communications. Configuration parameters can be changed at runtime using MQTT. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_02 | PD – People Detector | SW component that implements People detection algorithms (WP5T56_01), alarm generation module (analyzing metadata generated by people detector) and communication interfaces. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_03 | FD – Face Detector | The FD component allows to extract a face crop of a person in front of the smart totem. The face bounding box is computed using a CNN-based detector, that takes advantage of a Vitis-AI acceleration. The output of the component is an image that contains a face. The output image is forwarded to the other components using shared memory. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_04 | AE – Age Estimator | Age estimator based on a convolutional neural network. The system takes as input the picture of a person and outputs an estimation of the age. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_05 | GC – Gender Classifier | Gender classifier based on a convolutional neural network. The system takes as input the picture of a person and classifies it as female or male. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_06 | IR – Idiom Recognizer | Automatic language recognition based on speech processing. The system outputs the language of the current speaker by processing the pre-recorded audio. If necessary, the IR component can also elaborate "live" audio. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_07 | RM - Runtime Manager | Component that manages node-to-node communications, interaction between components and task scheduling based on input received | | | |
| UC6_CMP_08 | RBR - Rule-based Recommender | The component is implemented as a REST server which receives the data of the people as a JSON dictionary {"age", "gender", "language"} and it responds with the suggestion computed using the already trained rule-based clear-box algorithm. | | | |
| UC6_CMP_09 | DC - Data Compression | Component that perform Data compression and decompression operations through a energy-aware version of the LZW algorithm. | | | |

Figure 41 – Component produced by execution of UC6 Implementation Plan

5.6.3.2 Common FRACTAL Components

Common FRACTAL components defined and developed in technical Work Packages (i.e., WP3, 4, 5 and 6) and used in UC6 are listed in the figure below. Figure 42 contains the following information:

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 71 of 193 | |
|--|--|-----------|--|
|--|--|-----------|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | | |

- Components ID, structured as WP<X>T<Y>-<N>, in which X and Y represent respectively WP and task in which the component has been defined and N is a progressive number to have a unique ID for each task of each WP;
- Component Name;
- Short description about the components.

| FRACTAL Components needed by the UC | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Name | Description | | | | |
| WP3T32-07 | Age and Gender identifier at the edge | CNN development and integration on Zynq Ultrascale+ and VERSAL for UC6 | | | | |
| WP3T32-09 | Runtime Bandwidth Regulator | Memory bandwidth regulator that can be integrated on FPGA-based accelerator clusters, to improve main memory QoS and interference mitigation. This is a joint innovation with UNIVAQ. | | | | |
| WP3T35-05 | Idiom Recognizer | Idiom/Language regonition system based on speech signal registration through Speech-t Text ML solutions. | | | | |
| WP3T36-02 | Load Balancing Module | Software module designed to collect computational loads from nodes and, in case of overload, able to distribute computional load. | | | | |
| WP4T41-01 | Data Compression for Low-Power Services | Data compression technique for low-power devices, to be applied at system level. | | | | |
| WP4T44-06 | GDPR Compliance | Data Protection Impact Analysis | | | | |
| WP5T56_01 | People detector example | Video Content Analysis algorithms (based on CNN) for people detection inside the monitored area. Focus on UC6: customer detection and estimation of the position with respect to the totem. | | | | |
| WP6T61-03-02 | End-to-end machine learning toolkit | end-to-end open-source platform for machine learning (Tensorflow) | | | | |
| WP6T61-03-06 | Computer vision toolkit | open-source computer vision and machine learning software library (OpenCV) | | | | |
| WP6T61-03-11 | Machine learning training accelerator | cross-platform inference and training machine-learning accelerator (ONNX) | | | | |
| WP6T61-13 | Deep learning toolkit | deep learning framework (Caffe) | | | | |
| WP6T62-03 | Run time Manager | Management of component interaction, task sheduling and node-to-node communication | | | | |

Figure 42 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6 needed to execute UC6 Implementation Plan

5.6.4 Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs

Finally, this section *links together tasks*, *components* and *KPIs*. For each task, the following traceability-relationships are given:

- Components
 - IN Components Input components needed by the task.
 - OUT Components Output components produced by the task.

• KPIs for UC Implementation Plan

- o KPI ID
- KPI Description
- o Value
- o **Test**

• KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives and Features

- o KPI ID
- KPI Description
- o Value
- o **Test**

Following subsections detail this information for each task.

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 72 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|
| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.6.4.1 Density Estimator Implementation

Figure 43 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_01:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for U | C Implementa | ation P | lan | KPIs for Frac | tal Objectives | & Fea | atures |
|--|------------|---------------|--|---------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| WP4T44-06 WP6T61-03-11 WP6T61-12 | UC6_CMP_01 | UC6_KPI_IP_01 | KPI description, val reported in Se | lue and te ection 5.7. | st are 1 | UC6_KPI_FO_01 UC6_KPI_FT_00 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_02 UC6_KPI_FT_03 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_06 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_11 UC6_KPI_FT_11 UC6_KPI_FT_15 UC6_KPI_FT_16 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 43 - Task UC6_CMP_01 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.2 People Detector Implementation

Figure 44 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_02:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | an KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Featu | | | atures |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| WP4T44-06 WP5T56_01 WP6T61-03-11 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-03-02 | UC6_CMP_02 | UC6_KPI_IP_02 | KPI description, val reported in Se | ue and te ection 5.7. | st are 1 | UC6_KPI_FO_01 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_02 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_06 UC6_KPI_FT_06 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_108 UC6_KPI_FT_13 UC6_KPI_FT_14 UC6_KPI_FT_15 UC6_KPI_FT_16 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 44 - Task UC6_CMP_02 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.3 Face detector Implementation

Figure 45 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_03:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | KPIs for Frac | or Fractal Objectives & Featur | | atures |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| WP4T44-06 WP6T61-03-11 WP6T61-13 | UC6_CMP_03 | UC6_KPI_IP_03 | KPI description, val reported in Se | ue and te | st are 1 | UC6_KPI_FO_00 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_02 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_07 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_11 | KPI description, va reported in S | lue and t ection 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 45 - Task UC6_CMP_03 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium 73 of 193 |
|--|
|--|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.6.4.4 Age Estimator Implementation

Figure 46 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_04:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | KPIs for Frac | or Fractal Objectives & Feature | | |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| WP4T44-06 WP5T56_01 WP3T32-07 WP6T61-03-01 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-03-02 WP6T61-13 | UC6_CMP_04 | UC6_KPI_IP_04 | KPI description, va reported in Se | lue and te ection 5.7. | st are 1 | UC6_KPI_FO_00 UC6_KPI_FT_00 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_02 UC6_KPI_FT_03 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_07 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_11 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 46 - Task UC6_CMP_04 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.5 Gender Classifier Implementation

Figure 47 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_05:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Feat | | | atures |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| WP4T44-06 WP5T56_01 WP3T32-07 WP6T61-03-11 WP6T61-03-06 WP6T61-03-02 WP6T61-13 | UC6_CMP_05 | UC6_KPI_IP_05 | KPI description, va reported in Se | lue and te ection 5.7. | est are 1 | UC6_KPI_FO_00 UC6_KPI_FT_00 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_02 UC6_KPI_FT_03 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_07 UC6_KPI_FT_08 UC6_KPI_FT_11 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 47 - Task UC6_CMP_05 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.6 Idiom Recognizer Implementation

Figure 48 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_06:

| Components | | KPIs for U | C Implementa | ation F | Plan | KPIs for Frac | al Objectives & Feature | | |
|------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FO_00 | | | |
| | | UC6_KPI_IP_06 | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_01 | KPI description, value and test are | | |
| WP4T44-06 | | | KPI description, val | KPI description, value and test are | | | | | |
| WP3T35-05 | | | reported in Section 5.7.1 | | | UC6_KPI_FT_05 | reported in Section 5.7.1 | | 7.1 |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_06 | | | |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_12 | | | |

Figure 48 - Task UC6_CMP_06 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.7 Runtime Manager Implementation

Figure 49 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_07:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 74 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|------------|----------|--|---------------------------|-----------|--------|---|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FO_02 | | | |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FO_03 | | | |
| WP3T36-02 | | | | | | | | | |
| WP3T32-09 | | | KPI description, val | ue and te | st are | UC6_KPI_FT_09 | KPI description, value and test are | | test are |
| WP6T62-03 | | 0C0_KPI_IP_07 | reported in Section 5.7.1 | | | UC6_KPI_FT_10 | reported in Section 5.7.1 | | 7.1 |
| WP4T41-01 | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_14 | | | |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_15 | | | |
| | | | | | | UC6_KPI_FT_16 | | | |

Figure 49 - Task UC6_CMP_07 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.8 Rule-based Recommender Implementation

Figure 50 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_08:

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | |
|------------|------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| none | UC6_CMP_08 | UC6_KPI_IP_08 | KPI description, val reported in Se | lue and te ection 5.7 | est are .1 | UC6_KPI_FO_03 UC6_KPI_FT_01 UC6_KPI_FT_04 UC6_KPI_FT_05 UC6_KPI_FT_06 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5. | test are 7.1 |

Figure 50 - Task UC6_CMP_08 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.9 Data Compression

Figure 51 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_09:

| Compo | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|------------|------------|--|------------------------|------------|--------|---|------------------------|------------|----------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| W/P4T41-01 | UC6_CMP_09 | | KPI description, val | ue and te | st are | UC6_KPI_FO_04 | KPI description, va | alue and t | test are |
| VVP4141-01 | | 000_KPI_IP_09 | reported in Se | ection 5.7 | .1 | UC6_KPI_FT_09 | reported in S | Section 5. | 7.1 |

Figure 51 - Task UC6_CMP_09 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

5.6.4.10 UC Component Integration

Figure 52 shows traceability relationships for Task UC6_CMP_10:

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
| UC6_CMP_01 UC6_CMP_02 UC6_CMP_03 UC6_CMP_04 UC6_CMP_04 UC6_CMP_06 UC6_CMP_06 UC6_CMP_07 UC6_CMP_08 UC8_CMP_09 | none | UC6_KPI_IP_10 | KPI description, val reported in Se | lue and te ection 5.7 | est are .1 | UC6_KPI_FT_09 UC6_KPI_FT_14 UC6_KPI_FT_15 UC6_KPI_FT_15 UC6_KPI_FT_16 UC6_KPI_FT_17 UC6_KPI_FT_18 UC6_KPI_FT_19 | KPI description, va reported in S | alue and t Section 5.7 | est are 7.1 |

Figure 52 - Task UC6_CMP_10 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 75 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7 Justification plan

This section describes the justification methods that will be used for:

- KPIs evaluation;
- Use Case requirements validation and components validation.

5.7.1 KPIs evaluation method

This section describes the method that will be used to evaluate:

- KPIs for Implementation Plan;
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives.

5.7.1.1 KPIs for Implementation Plan

5.7.1.1.1 UC6_KPI_IP_01

- **Description**: Density Estimator Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_01) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.1

5.7.1.1.2 UC6_KPI_IP_02

- **Description**: People Detector Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_02) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.2

5.7.1.1.3 UC6_KPI_IP_03

- **Description**: Face Detector Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_03) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.3

5.7.1.1.4 UC6_KPI_IP_04

- **Description**: Age Estimator Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_04) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.4

5.7.1.1.5 UC6_KPI_IP_05

- **Description**: Gender Classifier Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_05) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.5

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 76 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.1.1.6 UC6_KPI_IP_06

- **Description**: Idiom Recognizer Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_06) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.6

5.7.1.1.7 UC7_KPI_IP_07

- **Description**: Runtime Manager Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_07) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.7

5.7.1.1.8 UC6_KPI_IP_08

- **Description**: Rule-based Recommender Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_08) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.8

5.7.1.1.9 UC6_KPI_IP_09

- **Description**: Data Compressor Correctness
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the related component (UC6_CMP_09) is correct. The detailed way to assess components correctness is specified in 5.7.3.1.9

5.7.1.1.10 UC6_KPI_IP_10

Description: UC Components Integration Correctness Result type: True/False Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True: UC6_KPI_FO_00; UC6_KPI_FO_01; UC6_KPI_FO_02; UC6_KPI_FO_03; UC6_KPI_FO_04.

5.7.1.2 KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives

5.7.1.2.1 UC6_KPI_FO_00

- **Description**: The nodes are able to detect users' features.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors.
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 3 (WP5) Cognitive & Autonomous Node.
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC6_KPI_IP_03;
- UC6_KPI_IP_04;
- UC6_KPI_IP_05;
- UC6_KPI_IP_06.

5.7.1.2.2 UC6_KPI_F0_01

- **Description**: The nodes are able to detect users' activities.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors.
- Relates to FRACTAL Pillar: Pillar 3 (WP5) Cognitive & Autonomous Node.
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related IP KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02.

5.7.1.2.3 UC6_KPI_FO_02

- **Description**: The nodes are able to monitor their status.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O1 Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity.
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 1 (WP3) Open-Safe-Realiable and low power node architecture.
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_07.

5.7.1.2.4 UC6_KPI_FO_03

- **Description**: The nodes are able to monitor their performances.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O2 Guarantee extra functional properties (dependability, security, timeliness and energyefficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems).
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 2 (WP4) Low power, safety, security and high-performance trade-off.
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.2.5 UC6_KPI_FO_04

- **Description**: The nodes are able to share the workload among them.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O4 To integrate FRACTAL communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes.
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 4 (WP6) Mutable and FRACTAL communications.
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_09.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 78 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.1.3 KPIs for FRACTAL Features

5.7.1.3.1 UC6_KPI_FT_00

- **Description:** The nodes are able to accelerate AI/ML models.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->HW->AI/ML_ACCELERATOR
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.

5.7.1.3.2 UC6_KPI_FT_01

- **Description:** The nodes are able to perform inference in real-time.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->INFERENCE->REAL-TIME
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04,;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.3.3 UC6_KPI_FT_02

- **Description:** The nodes are able to import and execute ONNX models
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->HW->AI/ML_ACCELERATOR
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.

5.7.1.3.4 UC6_KPI_FT_03

- **Description:** The nodes are able to import and execute Versal models.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->INFERENCE->MODEL->FORMAT->VERSAL
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.

5.7.1.3.5 UC6_KPI_FT_04

- **Description:** The nodes are able to perform inference.
- **Result type:** True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 79 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->INFERENCE->MODEL->LOCATION->NODE
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - \circ UC6_KPI_IP_05;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - \circ UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.3.6 UC6_KPI_FT_05

- **Description:** The nodes are able to exploit offline learning/training.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->LEARNING/TRAINING->LOCATION->OTHER
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - o UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.3.7 UC6_KPI_FT_06

- **Description:** The nodes are able to exploit supervised learning/training. **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->LEARNING/TRAINING->PARADIGM->SUPERVISED
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - o UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.3.8 UC6_KPI_FT_07

- **Description:** The nodes are able to exploit CNN.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->LEARNING/TRAINING->ALGORITHMS->CNN
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.

| 244 1743 A | Project | FRACTAL | |
|------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.1.3.9 UC6_KPI_FT_08

- **Description:** The nodes are able to exploit TensorFlow/Keras libraries.
- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY->AI->SW->LIBRARY->TENSORFLOW/KERAS
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.

5.7.1.3.10 UC6_KPI_FT_09

- **Description:** The nodes are able to perform load balancing.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: RELIABILITY->AVALABILITY->LOAD_BALANCING
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_09;
 - \circ UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.1.3.11 UC6_KPI_FT_10

- **Description:** The nodes are able to monitor their performances.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY->MONITORING->PERFORMANCES
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08.

5.7.1.3.12 UC6_KPI_FT_11

- **Description:** The nodes can acquire video streams from a camera.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT->AWARENESS->SENSORS->CAMERA
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - o UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04.

5.7.1.3.13 UC6_KPI_FT_12

- **Description:** The nodes can acquire audio streams from a microphone.
- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT->AWARENESS->SENSORS->MICROPHONE
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPI is True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_06.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 81 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 24×1242 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.1.3.14 UC6_KPI_FT_13

- **Description:** The nodes can generate and transmit alarms.
- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT->AWARENESS->ACTIONS->ALARM
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPI is True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_02.

5.7.1.3.15 UC6_KPI_FT_14

- **Description:** The nodes have an Ethernet interface.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY-> COMMUNICATIONS /CONNECTIVITY->TECHNOLOGIES->ETHERNET
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.1.3.16 UC6_KPI_FT_15

- **Description:** The nodes have a WI-FI interface.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY-> COMMUNICATIONS /CONNECTIVITY->TECHNOLOGIES->WI-FI
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - \circ UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.1.3.17 UC6_KPI_FT_16

- **Description:** The nodes support MQTT communication
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY-> COMMUNICATIONS /CONNECTIVITY -> DATAPROTOCOLS->MQTT
- **Evaluation method:** KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01,
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02,
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07,
 - \circ UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.1.3.18 UC6_KPI_FT_17

- **Description:** The nodes are implemented on Versal.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER:NON-FUNCTIONAL->PLATFORM->VERSAL-ARM
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPI is True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_10.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 82 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| 244 12 42 A | Project | FRACTAL | |
|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.1.3.19 UC6_KPI_FT_18

- **Description:** The nodes are implemented on Zynq UltraScale+.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER:NON-FUNCTIONAL->PLATFORM->ZYNQ_ULTRASCALE+
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPI is True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.1.3.20 UC6_KPI_FT_19

- **Description:** The nodes execute Linux OS.
- **Result type:** True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL->PLATFORM->OS->LINUX
- Evaluation method: KPI is True if the following related KPI is True:
 UC6_KPI_IP_10.

5.7.2 Use Case Requirements Validation methods

Use case requirements validation methods are defined under the KPI defined for Use Case Requirements:

5.7.2.1 KPIs for Use Case Requirements

5.7.2.1.1 UC6_KPI_IP_Req_01

- **Description**: Cognitiveness Requirements
- **Result type**: True/False.
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05.
- **Comment**: Related to REQ_UC6_01, REQ_UC6_03, REQ_UC6_04, REQ_UC6_05, REQ_UC6_06, REQ_UC6_07, REQ_UC6_08, REQ_UC6_09, REQ_UC6_16, REQ_UC6_21 defined in WP2.

5.7.2.1.2 UC6_KPI_IP_Req_02

- **Description**: Monitoring & Management Requirements
- **Result type**: True/False.
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_01;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_02;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_03;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_04;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_05;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_06;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08;

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC6_KPI_IP_09;
- UC6_KPI_IP_10.
- Comment: Related to REQ_UC6_02, REQ_UC6_11, REQ_UC6_12, REQ_UC6_13, REQ_UC6_14, REQ_UC6_15, REQ_UC6_17, REQ_UC6_18, REQ_UC6_19, REQ_UC6_20, REQ_UC6_22, REQ_UC6_23, REQ_UC6_24, REQ_UC6_25, REQ_UC6_27, REQ_UC6_28.

5.7.2.1.3 UC6_KPI_IP_Req_03

- **Description**: User Experience Requirements.
- **Result type**: True/False.
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True if the following related KPIs are True:
 - UC6_KPI_IP_07;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_08;
 - UC6_KPI_IP_10.
- **Comment**: Related to REQ_UC6_10, REQ_UC6_26 defined in WP2.

5.7.3 Components Validation

As already said, components used by each FRACTAL Use Case can be grouped as:

- *Specific* components produced by the Use Case partially validated by corresponding KPI defined in Section 5.5;;
- General *common* FRACTAL Components defined during the project used by the Use Case fully validated by corresponding KPI.

5.7.3.1 Case Specific Components

5.7.3.1.1 UC6_CMP_01

Density estimator (DE) is a CNN-based system for people density estimation. The DE component takes as input an IP-camera video flow and using the neural accelerators of the ZUS+, outputs the density estimation. The rate of people is sent to the other components using MQTT-based communications. Configuration parameters can be changed at runtime using MQTT.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. THROUGHTPUT [multithread, multi-dpu] > 18 img/sec;
- 2. THROUGHTPUT [single thread, single dpu] > 5 img/sec;
- 3. ACCURACY > 60%;
- 4. RESPONSE TIME (Real-Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP) acquisition + preprocessing + inference + post-processing) < 0.3 s.

5.7.3.1.2 UC6_CMP_02

The People Detector (PD) is a SW component that implements People Detection algorithms (WP5T56_01), alarm generation module (analyzing metadata generated by people detector) and communication interfaces.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 84 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- 1. Accuracy [mAP] > 85%;
- 2. Throughtput> 8 img/sec;
- 3. Inference Time < 120 ms;
- 4. Response Time(image acquisition+ inference (3 consecutive detections) + MQTT alarm publishing) < 500ms.

5.7.3.1.3 UC6_CMP_03

The Face Detector (FD) component allows to extract a face crop of a person in front of the smart totem. The face bounding box is computed using a CNN-based detector, that takes advantage of a Vitis-AI acceleration. The output of the component is an image that contains a face. The output image is forwarded to the other components using shared memory.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Throughtput[single thread, single dpu] > 20 img/sec;
- 2. Accuracy [mAP] > 0.8925;
- 3. Response Time (RTSP acquisition + pre-processing + inference + postprocessing) < 0.05 s.

5.7.3.1.4 UC6_CMP_04

Age Estimator (AE) is based on a convolutional neural network. The system takes as input the picture of a person and outputs an estimation of the age.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Mean Square Error < 50;
- 2. Response Time < 500ms.

5.7.3.1.5 UC6_CMP_05

Gender Classifier (GC) is based on a convolutional neural network. The system takes as input the picture of a person and classifies it as female or male.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Accuracy > 90%;
- 2. Response Time < 500ms.

5.7.3.1.6 UC6_CMP_06

Idiom Recognition (IR) is based on speech processing. The system outputs the language of the current speaker by processing the pre-recorded audio. If necessary, the IR component can also elaborate "live" audio.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Accuracy > 90%;
- 2. Response time < 2s.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

5.7.3.1.7 UC6_CMP_07

Runtime Manager (RM) is a Component that manages node-to-node communications, interaction between components and task scheduling based on input received.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

 Latency time < 300ms based on worst case scenario. Several test case cases will be defined to test the component in different situations. The more complex one will be defined as "worst case scenario".

5.7.3.1.8 UC6_CMP_08

Rule-based Recommender is a component implemented as a REST server which receives the data of the people as a JSON dictionary {"age", "gender", "language"} and it responds with the suggestion computed using the already trained rule-based clear-box algorithm.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Accuracy > 70%;
- 2. Response Time < 100ms.

5.7.3.1.9 UC6_CMP_09

Data Compressor (DC) is a component that performs data compression and decompression operations through an energy-aware version of the LZW algorithm.

Validation is considered done if the following conditions are verified:

- 1. Saved Space > 10%
- 2. Accuracy = 100%
- 3. Response Time < 100ms

5.7.3.2 FRACTAL Common Components

Such components are partially validated thanks to the validation of the case specific components in which they are included.

For example, FRACTAL Common component WP3T32-07 is used by case specific components UC6_CMP_04 and UC6_CMP_05. So WP3T32-07 can be partially validated thanks to UC6_CMP_04 and UC6_CMP_05 validation (done in Sections 5.7.3.1.4 and 5.7.3.1.5 respectively).

For case specific components validation, please consider section 5.7.3.1. For the link between Case Specific components and FRACTAL Common components, please consider section 5.6.4.

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6 VAL-UC7 Autonomous robot for implementing safe movements

The "Smart Physical Demonstration and Evaluation Robot" (SPIDER) [48] is an autonomous robot prototype. Within this Use Case, the Cognitive Edge Node developed in FRACTAL will be integrated into the autonomous robot SPIDER and evaluated against its applicability for performing computationally intensive relevant vehicle functions of variable complexity at the edge of the network (near the source of the data) while still being able to guarantee extra-functional properties (dependability, timeliness) for preserving safety- and security operational behaviors.



Figure 53 - Smart Physical Demonstration and Evaluation Robot (SPIDER)

The SPIDER is a prototype robot developed completely by Virtual Vehicle Research. This includes the planning of the chassis, mechanical construction, electronics, and software system. This deep insight into the architecture makes it possible to integrate the FRACTAL components into the vehicle in a targeted manner. The SPIDER robot is used as a Mobile Hardware-in-the-Loop (HIL) platform for testing and verification of sensors and automated driving functions in the automotive sector. The cooperation with ALP. Lab GmbH enables a commercial use of the robot, while Virtual Vehicle uses the SPIDER for research purposes.

An adaptable, open hardware and software architecture enables the testing of software architectures, perception, and control systems. The SPIDER can imitate the system under test. The easily adaptable mounting rod system, visualized in Figure 54, on the chassis allows sensor positions to be quickly and easily adapted to the target system.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 87 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |



Figure 54 – SPIDER matching sensor positions

Four individually controllable wheels enable almost omni-directional movement. As shown in Figure 55, the SPIDER's software system controls these wheels in a way to precisely mimic the movements of the target vehicle, with a completely different geometry. Integrated safety functions ensure that these tests can be carried out without risk already at the prototype stage of the system under test.



Figure 55 – SPIDER target movement imitation

| | | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 88 of 193 | |
|--|--|--|-----------|--|
|--|--|--|-----------|--|

| 24 ⁴ 2742 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.1 Before FRACTAL

This section describes the state of the SPIDER Platform before the integration of the FRACTAL components. Subsections 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 describe the hardware components and the system architecture. In subsections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4, the Collision Avoidance Function (CAF) and the Path Tracking Function (PTF), which are the central elements of the UC7, are examined in more detail.

6.1.1 SPIDER Hardware

The base of the SPIDER is about 380 kg and can be extended easily using mounting rods. Figure 56 shows the SPIDER with an optional sensor box mounted. The vehicle is battery-powered with a top speed of 50 km/h. All four wheels can be controlled individually with a level of freedom of 270 degrees, enabling a pseudo omni-directional movement.



Figure 56 – SPIDER Hardware Overview

The SPIDER is controlled by two computing platforms, described in Table 4.

| Low | Level | 32-Bit | TriCore | CPU | Infineon | The LLCU is used for |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|----------|-------------------------------|
| Control | Unit | AURIX | | | | controlling and monitoring |
| (LLCU) | | | | | | SPIDER driving hardware, |
| | | | | | | such as the high voltage |
| | | | | | | batteries, motor controllers, |
| | | | | | | and servos. |
| | | | | | | |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 89 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| 195 <u>1</u> 722 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|------------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| High Lev | 'el | Industrial PC with x64 quad | The HCLU is connected via |
|-----------|-----|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Control U | hit | core processor and Nvidia | ethernet to the LLCU. It is |
| (HLCU) | | graphics card with CUDA. | responsible for all SPIDER |
| | | | functions on application level, |
| | | | like user interaction, path |
| | | | planning tracking, sensor |
| | | | integration and fusion, and |
| | | | localization. |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Table 4 - SPIDER computing platforms

Further, the core sensor setup of the platform is described in Table 5.

| Inertia | Xsense MTi-630 sensor delivers | The velocity and acceleration |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Measurement | data on acceleration and | measurements are fused with |
| Unit (IMU) | velocity for all 6 degrees of | odometry information from |
| | freedom with a high frequency | the motor controllers and |
| | up to 100 Hz. | position information from the |
| | | GPS sensors to calculate a |
| | ns | precise localization (robot |
| | XSE | position) with a high |
| | | frequency of at least 50 Hz. |
| | - | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Differential | Two u-blox F9P modules with | By triangulating the position |
| Global | two separate antennas provide | information from the two |
| Positioning | GPS positioning information at | antennas the system can |
| System (dGPS) | cm-level. | calculate an absolute |
| system | | orientation in the world. The |
| | | GPS position and orientation |
| | | is the base of the SPIDER |
| | 👔 🔁 blox | localization system and |
| | | further improved by fusing |
| | ZED-E9P | odometry and IMU data. |
| | | |
| | | |

| 29952°22 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|----------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Light detection | Four Ouster OSU 360° lidar | The pointciouds of the four |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| and ranging | sensors with 16 lines provide a | sensors are filtered, fused, |
| (Lidar) sensors | pointcloud with a resolution of | and translated to an |
| | 1024 points at 10 Hz. | occupancy grid. This grid is |
| | | used for detecting obstacles on the planned path of the robot. |

Table 5 - SPIDER sensor setup

6.1.2 SPIDER System Architecture

As described in the last section, the SPIDER has a two-tier system architecture. Figure 57 gives an overview of the functions of the SPIDER system.



Figure 57 – SPIDER System Architecture

The central node is the HLCU, an industrial PC with powerful computing capacity. The sensors are connected to the HLCU via Ethernet or USB. The HLCU reads the commands from the operator, determines the optimal trajectories, or calculates robot and obstacle positions from the sensor data. A GPU is used for computationally intensive operations. The software stack of the HLCU runs on an Ubuntu Linux distribution and uses the Robot Operating System (ROS) [49] which is available as open source [50]. ROS includes many ready-made libraries and tools in the robotics field and offers a uniform interface to external systems. In the ROS framework at the

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 91 of 193 | |
|--|-----------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

HLCU are also running the CAF (section 6.1.3) and PTF (section 6.1.4), which will be mainly adapted for the FRACTAL use-case.

The LLCU provides the connection via CAN interface to the base hardware components like motors and batteries. It uses a safety certified Infineon Aurix microprocessor. The LLCU receives the target values for the motors via an UDP interface from the HLCU, performs safety checks on them and passes those to the corresponding hardware components. Further the LLCU monitors the connection to the HLCU and can initiate a safe stop if the connection is broken, or in case of a hardware failure.

6.1.3 Collision Avoidance Function



Figure 58 - Cost map showing obstacles (black), the planned path (green), the chassis zone (blue) representing the physical dimensions of the robot and the danger zone (red).

The task of the Collision Avoidance Function (CAF) is to detect obstacles and initiate measures to avoid a collision. Four Lidar sensors, which are mounted on the outer corners of the robot, enable an all-round view with at least double redundancy at about 50 meters. In a preprocessing step the point cloud data from the sensors is filtered and fused using the Point Cloud Library (PCL) [51]. The resulting fused point cloud is mapped onto a two-dimensional grid, called cost map, with occupancy values for each grid cell. Figure 58 shows a visualization of the cost map. Based on the cost map, an algorithm calculates the distance to the closest obstacle in the movement direction, and triggers and emergency brake if the obstacle distance is inside a defined danger zone around the robot.

6.1.4 SPIDER Path Tracking Function

The Path Tracking Function (PTF) is intended to follow a pre-defined global path in a precise manner. A global path is an ordered list of waypoints, which shall be touched by the robot. A waypoint is defined by the coordinates, target speed and orientation

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 92 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

of the vehicle. When the PTF is activated by the human operator, the function calculates a trajectory to the next waypoint from the current location of the robot. From this trajectory the required speed and direction is computed and forwarded to the motion control unit of the SPIDER. The underlying control algorithm, used by the PTF, is a Stanley [52] control approach.

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 93 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.2 Use Case Objectives

Currently, the SPIDER is only available as a prototype. The goal of Virtual Vehicle Research, in cooperation with its partners, is clearly to develop the SPIDER as a product. This also affects the computing units used, as described in subsection 6.1.1.

To perform rapid tests in the automotive field, the SPIDER has a modular design which is easily expandable. Nevertheless, the functions of the test platform must ensure a safe ride. This requires the implementation of a safety and security concept that includes computationally intensive and at the same time safety-critical algorithms such as obstacle avoidance or path planning. For the execution of these algorithms, an industrial PC with GPU support is required on the SPIDER prototype, which is too maintenance-intensive and expensive for use in product development.

The FRACTAL platform based on RISC-V offers interesting concepts for safety, security, and processing of AI algorithms with hardware support. In addition, the architecture of the FRACTAL nodes enables a similarly modular structure of the software architecture (fractality).

In the implementation of UC7, relevant parts are removed from the existing SPIDER architecture and implemented as FRACTAL nodes. The first function is the CAF, which is of relevance for the safety concept due to its task. By replacing the CAF, see subsection 6.1.3, as a FRACTAL node, it should be shown that it is possible to implement safety and security-relevant deterministic functions with high computing effort using the FRACTAL platform. As a second function, the existing PTF, see subsection 6.1.4, is embedded in a FRACTAL node with a new AI-based concept. With this new concept, we expect not only an improvement of the algorithm with reduced computing power, but also the integration of an AI function into the safety and security concept.

6.2.1 Collision Avoidance Function Objectives

The aim of the CAF is to avoid damage and most importantly human harm by preventing collisions or reducing the impact speed in the event of an unavoidable crash. The CAF uses environmental sensors, which constantly measure the distance to surrounding objects, and initiates speed limits or emergency stops in case of objects getting too close to the SPIDER.

Due to its function, the CAF is an important component in the safety concept of the SPIDER. In the FRACTAL context, the function is used as an example to show how safety-relevant functions can be implemented as FRACTAL nodes. For this, time-critical behavior, monitoring, and redundant execution are required. The successful porting of the CAF is a necessary step to drive the development of the SPIDER functions towards certification and series development.

6.2.2 Path Tracking Function Objectives

The objective for the PTF of the Use Case is to develop a path tracking controller for the SPIDER incorporating a reactive obstacle avoidance strategy. The latter means

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 94 of 193 |
|--|--|-----------|
|--|--|-----------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

that if an obstacle is detected in the vehicle's surrounding an evasion maneuver must be initiated to avoid a collision.

The scientific literature knows several non-data-driven methods for the solution of the path tracking and obstacle avoidance problem. Popular and well-known path tracking controllers are for example the "Pure pursuit controller", the "Carrot chasing controller", or the "Stanley controller". For details on the functioning of these algorithms see for example the works of Gutièrrez et al. [53], Perez-Leon et al. [54] Samuel et al. [55], and Hoffmann et al. [56] Approaches for the design of obstacle avoidance controllers, such as the artificial potential field method, can be found in the works of Rostami et al. [57], Wiig et al. [58] and Leca et al. [59] However, we decided to follow an ML approach to solve the task. The reason for this decision is twofold. On one hand, it seemed to us to be difficult to appropriately tune and coordinate a combined controller consisting of a path tracking and collision avoidance component. On the other hand, an ML solution promises a lower computational effort at runtime.

The controller, which is represented by means of an artificial neural network, is designed in such a way that it takes vehicle state data and cost maps as input and outputs control values affecting the linear and angular velocities of the vehicle. The vehicle state comprises information on the current position of the vehicle, its heading, and its linear and angular velocities. The cost map is a 2D occupancy grid representing the immediate surrounding of the SPIDER provided by the vehicle's perception system.

The underlying neural network is composed of two input streams. These streams process the two different kinds of input data, vehicle state and cost map, by means of at most two network layers and are merged in a common network layer. The overall network consists of these input streams, one output layer and no more than three hidden layers. The use of convolutional layers is omitted. The training of the neural network is based on a Python driving simulation and was implemented using the Python packages Keras [60] and Tensorflow [61]. Even though the network is comparable small a GPU supported computer is used to speed up the training procedure.

Besides the scientific and technical appeal of implementing a ML controller on the FRACTAL platform, we expect a cost saving from implementing this kind of a controller on a RISC V based system compared to implementing it on an industrial PC with GPU. This point is essential for the further development of SPIDER to a commercial product.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.3 State of the art

The SPIDER platform is used as mobile hardware-in-the-loop test platform for testing automated driving systems. Schwarzl et al. [62] introduce the topic of testing in the automotive industry with focus of the SPIDER robot. The focus of UC7 is on the development of safe and secure functions, especially AI functions. Accordingly, the following subchapters provide an insight into standards and technologies in the field of safety, security, and AI in the context of SPIDER in the automotive testing area.

6.3.1 Functional Safety

Functional Safety is the part of the overall safety of a system or piece of equipment that depends on the system or equipment operating correctly in response to its inputs. This includes the safe management of likely operator errors, hardware and software failures and any changes in the environmental conditions.

Looking at Functional Safety standards the IEC 61508 "Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic (E/E/PE) safety-related systems" [63] is a basic Functional Safety standard applicable to all kinds of industry. IEC 61508 is a domain independent, generic standard for Functional Safety of these E/E/PE safety-related systems, which provides a basic guidance how to deal with and how to achieve Functional Safety for such systems.

From this basic standard numerous domain specific standards have been derived. The ISO 26262 "Road vehicles – Functional safety" [64] represents the automotive specialization of IEC 61508 and provide a framework for achieving Functional Safety for electrical and/or electronic(E/E) systems in road vehicles. The spider is developed according to the standard ISO 26262.

Another standard that is considered for the development of the spider is ISO/FDIS 21448 "Road vehicles — Safety of the intended functionality" [65]. The Safety of the intended functionality (SOTIF) is defined as "the absence of unreasonable risk due to hazards resulting from functional insufficiencies of the intended functionality, or by reasonably foreseeable misuse by persons". The standard ISO 21448 provides guidance on the applicable design and verification and validation measures needed to achieve the SOTIF. It does not apply to cases covered by the ISO 26262 or to hazards directly caused by the system technology.

For the autonomous robot SPIDER also the technical report ISO/TR 4804 "Road vehicles — Safety and cybersecurity for automated driving systems — Design, verification and validation" [66] is relevant and must be considered. The standard provides an overview and guidance of the steps for developing and validating an automated vehicle equipped with a safe automated driving system. It considers safety by design, verification and validation methods for automated driving focused on SAE level 3 and level 4 vehicles according to ISO/SAE PAS 22736 [67]. The ISO/TR 4804 is an informative technical report, and it will be elaborated as a normative standard technical specification in the WG13 in the new document ISO/AWI TS 5083 "Road vehicles — Safety for automated driving systems — Design, verification and

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

validation" [68], which is under elaboration. Virtual Vehicle is an active participant and contributor in several ISO working groups related to functional safety and automated driving.

6.3.2 Security

In the past security in the automotive industry was mainly focused on the security of electronic control units (ECU). With first reports on vulnerabilities [69], the whole system got attraction in the evaluation of security. To cover these recent developments, the standard ISO/SAE 21434 [70] was introduced. The standard specifies guidelines for cybersecurity risk management of series production road vehicle E/E systems. The document describes the relevant security tasks for the whole development pipeline of the vehicle. For the execution of UC7 we are primarily interested in the *Threat Analysis and Risk Assessment Methods* and the related phases *Concept* and *Product Development*.

6.3.3 Artificial Intelligence

In recent years, a trend towards the development of AI-based control units has been evident in the automotive sector. In this context, approaches from the field of machine learning (ML) have attracted particular interest.

According to Russell and Horvig [71], Nilsson [72] there is no clear definition of the term artificial intelligence (AI). Based on the definitions given in these textbooks, AI may be described as the scientific field dealing with the development of entities which function appropriately and with foresight in their environment. According to this definition, systems that can perceive their environment and perform actions or make decisions based on this perception can be identified as AI-systems. As an example, consider a system for the autonomous detection and recognition of traffic signs – see instance the approach provided by Tabernik and for Skocaj [73]. Recently, so called machine learning (ML) solutions or more general data-driven AI solutions have attracted particular attention. Following Jordan and Mitchell [74], these approaches are characterized by their ability to automatically extract correlations or generate knowledge from large amounts of data to improve performance in regard of a complex decision or control problem. Systems of this type are often referred to as learning systems. This process of generating knowledge or learning is often represented by a numerical minimization problem of an error or cost functional. If a learning system is modeled by means of artificial neural network (ANN), it can be interpreted as a parameter-dependent function. Consequently, learning from data means in this context to fit the network parameters best to the available data in terms of the underlying minimization problem.

The field of ML can be roughly divided into the three subfields 'supervised learning', 'unsupervised learning' and 'reinforcement learning'. The area of supervised learning basically deals with deriving a classifier from a set of labeled data. In contrast, approaches from the area of unsupervised learning dispense with the assumption that classified data are available and aim to learn patterns and structures away from a known classification or data noise. The third area, reinforcement learning, can be

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

seen as a hybrid of the above paradigms. Like in the setting of unsupervised learning, RL methods don't make use of labeled data. However, through repeated interaction of the learning system with its environment, the system receives positive or negative feedback in terms of numerical rewards. RL-algorithms seek to derive a control strategy that generates optimal feedback over a given time horizon. This basic principle motivates the use of RL methods especially for finding control units in robotics. According to Kiran et al. [75], the character and the requirements of autonomous driving scenarios encourages the usage of RL approaches also in the automotive sector. The use of an RL approach to develop a data-driven controller for the SPIDER thus appears to be an appropriate choice. However, as shown in the work of Bojarski et al [76], not only RL approaches are suitable for this purpose.

The choice to use RL for the development of the sought controller requires to define a numerical reward function which is aligned with its intensions. In the given case this means that actions which avoid collision with obstacles and let the vehicle follow the given path should be rewarded. An appropriate and balanced choice of reward strategy is critical. If too much emphasis is placed on collision avoidance, then the incentive to move towards waypoints along the path may be too low. Conversely, if path following is prioritized too much, there is a risk that the collision avoidance task will be neglected. The issue of aligning the reward function to the developers intend is well known and is called value alignment – see for example the work of Taylor et al. [77] and as well Amodei et al. [78]

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 98 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.4 Main contributions expected from FRACTAL

The contributions expected from FRACTAL can be derived from the Use Case objectives described in section 6.2. For the productive use of an adaptive mobile robot (such as the SPIDER), a framework is needed that supports computationally intensive algorithms and AI functions while still allowing safe execution. The FRACTAL project provides such a framework with the pillars and objectives listed in Table 2. The Use Case is not connected to a cloud and therefore focused on Pillars 1-3.

The main impact to the SPIDER application is expected from Pillar 1. UC7 is planned to be implemented on a RISC-V based NOEL-V processor model running Linux operating system. The platform shall replace an industrial PC in the SPIDER hardware setup. Various FRACTAL components provided within Pillar 1 will support the realization of UC7. Those components include monitoring units and a diverse redundancy library for safety needs of the functions, and an AI accelerator for performance needs of the used AI models.

Pillar 2 contributes to UC7 with further components to the safety and security requirements of the functions by adding services at FPGA and application level.

The components coming from Pillar 3 are providing services for deployment, like Docker, and validation, like Jupyter, to the Use Case.

6.5 Evaluation of the implementation results

This section defines the KPIs defined for UC7 implementation. These KPIs are classified into three groups:

- KPIs for Implementation Plan Task;
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars;
- KPIs for UC Features.

For each KPI, an *Identifier*, a *Description* and the type of result *Value* is defined. The *Test* to be performed for the KPI will be defined later in the Justification Plan, therefore is marked as TBD (To Be Defined).

Next subsections describe in some detail the three groups of KPIs.

6.5.1 KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks

This section defines the *KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks*. Figure 59 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks of UC7.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 99 of 193 |
|--|-----------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI UC for Imple | KPI UC for Implementation Plan | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | Comment | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_01 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_02 | Linux on NOEL-V is booting on FPGA | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Simple publisher/subscriber example is running | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_03 | on target platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_04 | Max data transfer rate deviation of 10 Hz | 1 Hz | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_05 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Simulated robot is following trajectory and | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_06 | avoiding obstacles | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_07 | Avg. Path Proximity in meter | < 1m | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_08 | Collision free rate | > 95% | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_09 | Valid ONNX model | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_10 | Unit test coverage of PTF | > 75 % | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_11 | Unit test coverage of CAF | > 75 % | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_12 | Loop rate of CAF function | >= 10 Hz | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Resource monitoring tests in simulation | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_13 | sucessfull | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_14 | Redundancy library tests in simulation sucessfull | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_15 | All subtask success | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Functions on target platform running with | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_16 | sensor data from 3d simulation | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Functions on target platform running with | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_17 | sensor data from real world test | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | | Proximity, | | | | | | | |
| | | Collision | | | | | | | |
| | | rate, Time | | | | | | | |
| | | consumptio | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_18 | Metrics calculated with Jupyter available | n, Loop rate | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_01 | Processing time of costmap distance | < 100ms | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_02 | SPIDER stops in defined emergency situation | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 | Avg. Path Proximity in meter of the PTF node | < 1m | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 | Collision free rate of the PTF node | > 95% | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_05 | SPIDER stops at connection loss to edge nodes | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_06 | SPIDER stops at timeout of edge nodes | 200 ms | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Update rate of costmap input data to edge | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07 | nodes | > 9 Hz | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Edge nodes can exchange data via TCP/UDP with | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_08 | SPIDER | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_09 | ROS2 stack installed on target platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Library for diverce redundancy is build on target | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_10 | platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_11 | LEDEL library build for target platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Resource monitoring library build for target | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_12 | platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |
| | Hardware accelerator for NN model of UC7 | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_KPI_IP_Req_13 | integrated to target platform | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks | | | | | |

Figure 59 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan Tasks

The KPIs are divided into two subgroups:

• **KPIs specifically defined for each Task** – These KPIs have been defined to check the success of the task. When possible, they are defined as a numerical criterion (i.e., inference time < 100 ms), otherwise they are defined as a True/False indicating that the task finished successfully.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

 KPIs related to Tasks, allowing checking the Requirements defined by the UC in the general Excel defined in WP1 FRACTAL -Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx (see Tab Requirements) - These KPIs have been defined taking into account the general requirements posted by the Use Case. These KPIs are defined as a True/False value indicating that the task finished and allows checking whether the requirements are met.

6.5.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the FRACTAL Objectives** (Related to Pillars and found in the FRACTAL proposal, Section 1.1.2.). Figure 60 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| KPI for Fract | al Objective (an related Pillar) | | | Helps to demonstrate the following Fractal Specific Objective | | |
|----------------------|--|-----------------|------|---|---|---|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Ob | Description | Relates to Pillar |
| UC7_KPI_FO_01 | FRACTAL path tracking node accelerated to perform with a high frequency. | >= 10 Hz | TBD | 01 | Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | Pillar 1 (WP3) - Open-Safe- Realiable and low power node architecture. |
| UC7_KPI_FO_02 | Tests in simulation for redundant execution and monitoring succeed. | True / False | TBD | 02 | Guarantee extra-functional properties (dependability, security, timeliness and energy- efficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems). | Pillar 2 (WP4) - Low power, safety, security and high- preformance trade-off. |
| UC7_KPI_FO_03 | FRACTAL path tracking nodes AI model generates a collision free path with at an acceptable path proximity. | < 1m | TBD | 03 | Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. |
| UC7_KPI_FO_04 | Framework for platform independent development and verification of node functions availaible. | True / False | TBD | 04 | To integrate fractal communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes | Pillar 4 (WP6) - Mutable and fractal communications. |

Figure 60 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Objectives

6.5.3 KPI for UC Features

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the UC Features** (defined in the Tab *FRACTAL Features* in the general Excel defined in *WP1 FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx*). Figure 61 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 101 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI for UC Fe | ature | | | Helps to | demonstrate the following UC Feature |
|----------------------|---|---------|------|-----------|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Feat | Description |
| | Target platform supports ONNY | True / | трр | | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - MODEL - |
| 0C7_KFI_FI_01 | | False | 160 | LT_AIL | FORMAT - ONNX |
| | Path tracking function AI model | True / | TRD | | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - |
| UC7_KPI_FT_02 | executed at node level. | False | 100 | F2_VIF | LOCATION-NODE |
| | Reinforcement learning approach | < 1m | TBD | | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING / |
| UC7_KPI_FT_03 | trained model path proxitimy | | | F3_VIF | TRAINING - |
| | | < 1m | TBD | | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING / |
| UC7_KPI_FT_04 | CNN path proxitimy | _ , | | F4_VIF | TRAINING - |
| | LEDEL library available for target | Irue / | TBD | FF \4F | |
| UC7_KPI_FI_05 | platform | Faise | | F5_VIF | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LIBRARY - LEDEL |
| | stored on bard drive | Falco | TBD | | ADAPTABILITY - DATA ORCHESTRATION -DATA |
| 0C7_KFI_F1_00 | Frame rate of collision avoidance | raise | | FO_VIF | SET - STORAGE |
| LICT KPL FT 07 | function | >= 10Hz | TBD | EZ VIE | RELIABILITY - RESPONSE TIME - FRAME RATE |
| 007_01_07 | Switch to emergency state at time | True / | | · /_ • ·· | |
| UC7 KPI FT 08 | exceedance of AI function | False | TBD | F8 VIF | SAFETY - MONITORING - AI ENGINES |
| | Switch to emergency state at time | True / | | | |
| UC7 KPI FT 09 | exceedance of safety relevant function | False | TBD | F9 VIF | SAFETY - MONITORING - PERFORMANCE |
| | Safety relevant processes run redundant | True / | TOD | - | |
| UC7_KPI_FT_10 | on different cores | False | IBD | F10_VIF | SAFETY - REDUNDANCY - PROCESSES |
| | Switch to emergency state at fault | True / | | | |
| | detected by diverse | False | TBD | | |
| UC7_KPI_FT_11 | redundancy model | T disc | | F11_VIF | SAFETY - REDUNDANCY - DIVERSE REDUNDANCY |
| | Switch to emergency state at fault | True / | | | |
| | detected in the | False | TBD | | SAFETY - REDUNDANCY - COMMUNICATION |
| UC7_KPI_FT_12 | communication messages | - (| | F12_VIF | MESSAGES |
| | Safety concept according IS 26262 | True / | TBD | F12 \//F | |
| UC7_KPI_FI_I3 | available | Faise | | F13_VIF | SAFETY - REGULATION - ISO 26262 |
| LICT KPL FT 14 | Target platform supports ONNX | False | TBD | E14 VIE | LOW POWER - AL-LIBRARY - MODELS - ONNX |
| 007_01_11_14 | Lidar sensor messages available at | T disc | | 114_011 | LOW FOWER AF LIDRART MODELS ONRA |
| UC7 KPI FT 15 | target platform at data rate. | 10 Hz | TBD | F15 VIF | CONTEXT AWARENESS - SENSORS - LIDAR |
| | Path planning node tested in target | True / | | | CONTEXT AWARENESS - ACTIONS - AI |
| UC7 KPI FT 16 | platform on proving ground | False | TBD | F16 VIF | TRIGGERED - PATH PLANNING |
| | Security assesment according ISO SAE | True / | | _ | |
| UC7_KPI_FT_17 | 21434 availaible | False | IBD | F17_VIF | SECURITY - REGULATION - ISO SAE 21434 |
| | | | | | |
| | Max data transfer rate with ethernet, | 1 Hz | TBD | | FRACTALITY - COMMUNICATION / |
| UC7_KPI_FT_18 | deviation of 10 Hz | | | F18_VIF | CONNECTIVITY - TECHNOLOGIES - ETHERNET |
| | Target RISC-V hardware platform based | True / | TBD | | OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - PLATFORM - NOEL- |
| UC7_KPI_FT_19 | on NOEL-V availaible | False | | F19_VIF | V RISC-V |
| | Linux operating system running on | True / | TBD | 520 1/15 | |
| UC7_KPI_FI_20 | largel platform | Faise | | F20_VIF | UTHER: NUN-FUNCTIONAL - US - LINUX |

Figure 61 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Features

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 102 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| autra . | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6 Implementation plan

6.6.1 Architecture

6.6.1.1 FRACTAL Big Picture



Figure 62 - FRACTAL Big Picture Instantiation for UC7

The contribution of UC7 is to be allocated in the FRACTAL SW edge and HW edge. ML/AI tools are used to deploy a decision-making function on a NOEL-V platform for the control the mobile robot SPIDER. In addition, the redundancy and monitoring libraries are used to redundantly execute and monitor safety relevant functions.

| | |
|--|------------|
| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 103 of 193 |
| | |
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |



Figure 63 - Architecture of the SPIDER

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 104 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| + FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.2 Tasks

6.6.2.1 Chronogram

| | Implementation Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Task ID | Description | M19 | M20 | M21 | M22 | M23 | M24 | M25 | M26 | M27 | M28 | M29 | M30 | M31 | M32 | M33 | M34 | M35 | M36 |
| UC7_T1 | Environment Setup (NOEL-V node) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T1_1 | Setup hardware (NOEL-V) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T1_2 | Install sample C++ ROS2 node | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T1_3 | Connect node to SPIDER via ethernet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2 | Function Implementation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_1 | Development of NN for PTF with Python Keras | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_2 | Training of model | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_3 | Port NN to ONNX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Implementation of PTF with ROS2 and LEDDL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_4 | on NOEL-V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_5 | Porting CAF to NOEL-V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_6 | Integration of resource monitoring | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T2_7 | Integratinon of Redundancy library | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T3 | System Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T3_1 | Build simulation and test functions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T3_2 | Real world tests | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC7_T3_3 | Evaluation with Jupiter (metrics calulation) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 64 - UC Implementation Plan Chronogram

6.6.2.2 Task UC7_T1 - Environment Setup (NOEL-V node)

6.6.2.2.1 Sub Task UC7_T1_1 - Setup hardware (NOEL-V)

This task deals with the preparation of the target platform (Xilinx VCU118) by flashing the corresponding bitfile from the SELENE [79] project.

6.6.2.2.2 Sub Task UC7_T1_2 - Install sample C++ ROS2 node

This task deals with the installation of the operating system (Linux) including ROS 2 packages based on the ISAR [80] layer. Dummy nodes are used to check the communication on the target platform.

6.6.2.2.3 Sub Task UC7_T1_3 - Connect node to SPIDER via ethernet

This task deals with the integration of the target platform (configured as in the previous tasks) into the SPIDER network environment. Dummy nodes are used to check if the communication works in the SPIDER network environment.

6.6.2.3 Task UC7_T2 – Function Implementation

6.6.2.3.1 Sub Task UC7_T2_1 - Development of NN for PTF with Python Keras

Based on a Python driving simulation a neural network-based controller for the SPIDER incorporating the capability of following a predefined path and evading static as well as dynamic obstacles shall be developed. The neural network is implemented using the Python library Keras.

6.6.2.3.2 Sub Task UC7_T2_2 – Training of model

For the training of the model algorithms from the field of Reinforcement Learning are used. Thus, due to repeated interaction of the virtual model of the robot with its simulated environment a control strategy maximizing the robot's performance (measured by means of a suitable reward function) is extracted.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 105 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.2.3.3 Sub Task UC7_T2_3 - Port NN to ONNX

Using Python libraries like keras2onnx [81] the Keras implementation of the neural network, the controller is based on, is exported into the ONNX format. This allows the usage of the neural network in EDDL, respectively LEDEL.

6.6.2.3.4 Sub Task UC7_T2_4 - Implementation of PTF with ROS2 and LEDEL on NOEL-V

The neural network-based path tracking function (represented by the ONNX model generated in the previous task) is integrated into the ROS environment. Additionally, the evaluation of the function is offloaded to the hardware accelerator.

6.6.2.3.5 Sub Task UC7_T2_5 - Porting CAF to NOEL-V

The CAF is ported from ROS 1 to ROS 2 (running on RISC-V/NOEL-V).

6.6.2.3.6 Sub Task UC7_T2_6 - Integration of resource monitoring

Using the FRACTAL PMU the safety relevant functions of the SPIDER are monitored.

6.6.2.3.7 Sub Task UC7_T2_7 - Integration of Redundancy library

Using the FRACTAL redundancy library the safety relevant functions of the SPIDER are spawned redundantly.

6.6.2.4 Task UC7_T3 – System Evaluation

6.6.2.4.1 Sub Task UC7_T3_1 – Build simulation and test functions

With the target platform in the loop (HiL), using Gazebo [82] on the host system, the robot is simulated, and tests of the path tracking function (PTF) and the collision avoidance function (CAF) are performed.

6.6.2.4.2 Sub Task UC7_T3_2 - Real world tests

Provided the performance of the FPGA board is good enough, the same tests as in Sub Task UC7_T3_1 are performed on the robot.

6.6.2.4.3 Sub Task UC7_T3_3 - Evaluation with Jupyter (metrics calculation)

For the evaluation of the CAF and the PT function suitable metrics are defined. Given a dataset stemming from the real world tests, the metric values are computed in a reproduceable manner using Jupyter notebooks.

In the given context the following metrics are considered:

- Average proximity to path: See UC7_KPI_IP_07.
- Collision free rate: See UC7_KPI_IP_08.
- Time consumption: Measurement of execution time of particular functions.
- Loop rate: Analysis of the frequency at which particular functions get called.

6.6.3 Components

This section summarizes the components involved in the Implementation Plan.

Components are divided into two groups:

• **Components produced by the Implementation Plan** *resulting from executing the Implementation Plan*.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 106 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **FRACTAL components needed to execute the Implementation Plan** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are needed to execute the Implementation Plan.

The following two subsections list these components.

| 6.6.3.1 | Components | produced | by th | e Impleme | entation | Plan |
|---------|------------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|------|
|---------|------------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|------|

| UC Components | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Name | Description | | | | |
| | Path Ontimization | Neural network for calulating optimal path, based on a planned trajectory and | | | | |
| | Path Tracking Function | Control algorithm to follow a planned trajectory and avoid obstacles including | | | | |
| UC7 CMP 03 | Collision Avoidance Function | Safety relevant function for switching to failsafe mode in case of obstacles in danger zone | | | | |
| UC7_CMP_04 | 3D Simulation | 3D simulation based on Gazebo for testing of developed and integrated functions | | | | |
| UC7_CMP_05 | Integrated Demonstration Software on Target | Demonstrating software running on NOEL-V platform integrated to SPIDER | | | | |

Figure 65 - Components created in UC 7

6.6.3.2 FRACTAL components needed to execute the Implementation Plan

| FRACTAL Co | mponents needed by the | e UC |
|--------------|---|---|
| KPI ID | Name | Description |
| WP3T31-01 | Edge-oriented monitoring unit | AXI-compliant statistics unit to support safety measures and validation in the context of edge systems. |
| WP3T34-01 | Driver for the edge-oriented monitoring unit | Driver for the statistics unit supporting safety measures and validation in edge systems. |
| WP3T34-02 | Drivers for the SW diverse redundancy library | Driver to read PMCs (Performance Monitoring Counters) from a remote core, and to issue SIG_STOP and SIG_CONT signals to remote cores. |
| WP3T31-02 | Interconnect to support Accelerators integration | Interconnect: AXI pulp library Integration. |
| WP3T31-03 | Safety and security hardware support | Extensions to the interconnect and other NOEL-V components for Security and Safety. |
| WP3T32-06 | Redundant Acceleration Scheme | Integration of a redundant AI inference accelerator in the platform. |
| WP3T35-02 | Accelerator Adaptation to Al library | Implementing support for missing functionalities/layers and data formats. |
| WP3T35-03 | LEDEL (Low Energy EDDL) | EDDL integration on NOEL-V. |
| WP4T43-03 | SW diverse redundancy library | Library allowing to run a task redundantly in two RISC-V cores enforcing some staggering among them to avoid common cause faults. |
| WP4T43-01 | Performance monitoring services | Services to configure the multicore-aware monitoring unit and retrieve information on the multicore interference observed. |
| WP4T44-03 | Safety Analysis | Safety concept by performing a Hazard and Risk Analysis (HARA) within the scope of the concept phase of ISO 26262 (item definition, hazard analysis, risk assessment and functional safety concept), in context of VAL_UC7. |
| WP4T44-04 | Security Assessment | Security assesment by performing a Threat Analysis and Risk Assessment (TARA), covered by the ISO SAE 21434 standard, in context of VAL_UC7. |
| WP6T61-03-04 | Jupyter | Jupyter Notebook is a web-based interactive computing platform. |

Figure 66 - Components needed to execute the implementation plan of UC 7

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 107 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.4 Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs

This section links together tasks, components and KPIs. For each Task, the following traceability-relationships are given:

Components

- \circ $\;$ IN Components Input components needed by the task.
- \circ $\;$ OUT Components Output components produced by the task.
- KPIs for UC Implementation Plan
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives & Features

6.6.4.1 UC7_T1 - Environment Setup (NOEL-V node)

The traceability relationships in regard of the Sub Tasks belonging to Task UC7_T1 are listed in this section.

6.6.4.1.1 Sub Task UC7_T1_1 - Setup hardware (NOEL-V)

| Comp | onents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | | |
| | | | | | | Target RISC-V hardware platform | | | |
| | | | | | | based on NOEL-V availaible | | | |
| | | | | | UC7_KPI_FT_19 | Linux operating system running on | True/False | | |
| | | UC7_KPI_IP_02 | Linux on NOEL-V is booting on FPGA | True/False | UC7_KPI_FT_20 | target platform | True/False | | |

6.6.4.1.2 Sub Task UC7_T1_2 - Install sample C++ ROS2 node

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|------------|----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| | | UC7_KPI_IP_03 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_09 | Simple publisher/subscriber example is running on target platform ROS2 stack installed on target platform | True/False True/False | UC7_KPI_FT_19 UC7_KPI_FT_20 | Target RISC-V hardware platform based on NOEL-V availaible Linux operating system running on target platform | True/False True/False |

6.6.4.1.3 Sub Task UC7_T1_3 - Connect node to SPIDER via ethernet

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|------------|----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|---|------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| | | UC7_KPI_IP_04 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_08 | Max data transfer rate deviation of 10 Hz Edge nodes can exchange data via | 1 Hz True/False | UC7_KPI_FT_18 | Max data transfer rate with ethernet, deviation of 10 Hz | True/False |

Figure 69 - Sub Task UC7_T1_3 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2 UC7_T2 - Function Implementation

The traceability relationships in regard of the Sub Tasks belonging to Task UC7_T2 are listed in this section.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 108 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| CET 2 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.4.2.1 Sub Task UC7_T2_1 - Development of NN for PTF with Python Keras

| Components KPIs for U | | C Implementation Plan KPIs for Fractal Objectives | | ctal Objectives & Feat | tures | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------|---|-------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| | UC7_CMP_01 | UC7_KPI_IP_06 | Simulated robot is following trajectory and avoiding obstacles. | True / False | UC7_KPI_FT_03 | Reinforcement learning approach trained model path proxitimy. | < 1m |

Figure 70 - Sub Task UC7_T2_1 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2.2 Sub Task UC7_T2_2 - Training of model

| Components KPIs | | KPIs for | UC Implementation Pla | Is for Fractal Objectives & Feat | | | |
|-----------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| | UC7_CMP_01 | UC7_KPI_IP_07 UC7_KPI_IP_08 | Avg. Path Proxitimy in meter Collision free rate | <1m > 95% | UC7_KPI_FO_03 UC7_KPI_FT_04 | PTF AI model path proximity UC7_KPI_FT_04 | < 1m < 1m |

Figure 71 - Sub Task UC7_T2_2 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2.3 Sub Task UC7_T2_3 - Port NN to ONNX

| Compo | nents | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------------|------------|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI ID KPI Description Va | | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | |
| | | | | | | Target platform supports | | |
| UC7_CMP_01 | | | Valid ONNY model | True / | UC7_KPI_FT_01 | ONNX. | True/False | |
| WP3T35-02 | 2 | | False | UC7_KPI_FT_14 | Target platform supports | True/False | | |
| | | | | | | ONNX. | | |

Figure 72 - Sub Task UC7_T2_3 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2.4 Sub Task UC7_T2_4 - Implementation of PTF with ROS2 and LEDDL on NOEL-V

| Comp | onents | KPIs for | UC Implementation Plan |] | KPIS for Fractal Objectives & Features | | |
|--|------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| UC7_CMP_01 UC7_CMP_04 WP3T31-02 WP3T35-03 WP4T44-03 WP4T44-04 | UC7_CMP_02 | UC7_KPI_IP_10 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_11 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_13 | Unit test coverage of PTF Avg. Path Proxitimy in meter of the PTF node Collision free rate of the PTF node Update rate of costmap input data to edge nodes LEDEL library build for target platform Hardware accelerator for NN model of UC7 integrated to target platform | > 75% < 1 m > 95 % > 49 Hz True/False True/False | UC7_KPI_FO_01 UC7_KPI_FO_03 UC7_KPI_FT_02 UC7_KPI_FT_05 UC7_KPI_FT_05 UC7_KPI_FT_15 UC7_KPI_FT_17 | FRACTAL path tracking node accelerated to perform with a high frequency. PTF AI model path proximity Path tracking function AI model executed at node level. LEDEL library availaible for target platform Switch to emergency state at time exceedance of AI function Lidar sensor messages availaible at target platform at data rate. Security assesment according ISO SAE 21434 availaible | >= 10 Hz < 1m True/False True/False True/False 10 Hz True/False |

Figure 73 - Sub Task UC7_T2_4 traceability relationship

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 109 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| Citiz. | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.4.2.5 Sub Task UC7_T2_5 - Porting CAF to NOEL-V

| Comp | onents | KPIs for | UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs fo | r Fractal Objectives & Featu | ires |
|---|------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| UC7_CMP_04 WP3T32-06 WP4T43-03 WP4T43-01 WP4T44-03 WP4T44-04 | UC7_CMP_03 | UC7_KPI_IP_11 UC7_KPI_IP_12 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_01 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_02 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07 | Unit test coverage of CAF Loop rate of CAF function Processing time of costmap distance SPIDER stops in defined emergency situation Update rate of costmap input data to edge nodes | > 75% >= 10 Hz < 100 ms True/False > 49 Hz | UC7_KPI_FT_07 UC7_KPI_FT_09 UC7_KPI_FT_13 UC7_KPI_FT_15 UC7_KPI_FT_17 | Frame rate of collision avoidance function Switch to emergency state at time exceedance of safety relevant function Safety concept according IS 26262 availaible Lidar sensor messages availaible at target platform at data rate. Security assesment according ISO SAE 21434 availaible | >= 10Hz True/False True/False 10 Hz True/False |

Figure 74 - Sub Task UC7_T2_5 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2.6 Sub Task UC7_T2_6 - Integration of resource monitoring

| Components | | KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | |
| UC7_CMP_02 UC7_CMP_04 WP3T31-01 WP3T34-01 WP4T43-01 | | UC7_KPI_IP_13 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_12 | Resource monitoring tests in simulation sucessfull Resource monitoring library build for target platform | True/False True/False | UC7_KPI_FT_09 UC7_KPI_FT_12 UC7_KPI_FT_13 | Switch to emergency state at time exceedance of safety relevant function Switch to emergency state at fault detected in the communication messages Safety concept according IS 26262 availaible | True/False True/False True/False | |

Figure 75 - Sub Task UC7_T2_6 traceability relationship

6.6.4.2.7 Sub Task UC7_T2_7 - Integration of Redundancy library

| Compo | Components KPIs for UC Implementation Plan | | | Components KPIs for UC Implementation Plan KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Feature | | | ures |
|------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| | | | | | | Safety relevant processes run | |
| UC7_CMP_02 | | | Redundancy library tests in | | | redundant on different cores | |
| UC7_CMP_04 | | | simulation sucessfull | True /Falco | UC7_KPI_FT_10 | Switch to emergency state at | True/False |
| WP3T34-02 | | UC7_KPI_IP_14 | Library for diverce | | UC7_KPI_FT_11 | fault detected by diverse | True/False |
| WP3T32-06 | | OC7_KPI_IP_Keq_10 | redundancy is build on | TTUE/Faise | UC7_KPI_FT_13 | redundancy model | True/False |
| WP4T43-03 | | | target platform | | | Safety concept according IS | |
| | | | | | | 26262 availaible | |

Figure 76 - Sub Task UC7_T2_7 traceability relationship

6.6.4.3 UC7_T3 – System Evaluation

The traceability relationships in regard of the Sub Tasks belonging to Task UC7_T3 are listed in this section.

6.6.4.3.1 Sub Task UC7_T3_1 - Build simulation and test functions

| Components KPIs f | | | r UC Implementation Plan | KPIs for Fractal Objectives & Features | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| UC7_CMP_01 | | | | | | | |
| UC7_CMP_02 | | | Functions on target platform | | | | |
| UC7_CMP_03 | | | running with sensor data from | | | Tests in simulation for | |
| WP3T31-01 | | UC7_KPI_IP_17 | 3d simulation | True/False | | redundant execution | Truo/Ealco |
| WP3T34-01 | UC7_CMP_04 | UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 | Avg. Path Proxitimy in meter of | < 1 m | | and monitoring succeed. | < 1m |
| WP3T34-02 | | UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 | the PTF node | > 95 % | 0C7_KPI_F0_03 | PTF AI model path | < 100 |
| WP3T35-03 | | | Collision free rate of the PTF | | | proximity | |
| WP4T43-03 | | | node | | | | |
| WP4T43-01 | | | | | | | |

Figure 77 - Sub Task UC7_T3_1 traceability relationship

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 110 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.6.4.3.2 Sub Task UC7_T3_2 - Real world tests

| Comp | onents | KPIs f | or UC Implementation Plan | | KPIs for Fr | actal Objectives & Fe | atures |
|---|------------|---|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| UC7_CMP_01 UC7_CMP_02 UC7_CMP_03 WP3T31-01 WP3T34-02 WP3T31-02 WP3T31-03 WP3T32-06 WP3T35-02 WP3T35-02 WP3T35-03 WP4T43-03 | UC7_CMP_05 | UC7_KPI_IP_18 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_05 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_06 | Functions on target platform running with sensor data from real world test Avg. Path Proxitimy in meter of the PTF node Collision free rate of the PTF node SPIDER stops at connection loss to edge nodes SPIDER stops at timeout of edge nodes | True/False < 1 m > 95 % True/False 200 ms | UC7_KPI_FO_03 UC7_KPI_FT_06 UC7_KPI_FT_16 | PTF AI model path proximity Sensor data from test drives can be stored on hard drive Path planning node tested in target platform on proving ground | < 1m True/False True/False |

| Figure 78 - | Sub Task | UC7_T3_ | 2 traceability | relationship |
|-------------|----------|---------|----------------|--------------|

6.6.4.3.3 Sub Task UC7_T3_3 - Evaluation with Jupiter (metrics calculation)

| Compone | ents | KPIs fo | or UC Implementation P | lan | KPIs for Fr | actal Objectives & Fe | atures |
|---|----------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| IN Comp O | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
| UC7_CMP_01 UC7_CMP_02 UC7_CMP_03 WP3T31-01 WP3T34-01 WP3T34-02 WP3T31-03 WP3T32-06 WP3T35-02 WP3T35-03 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-01 WP6T61-03-04 | | UC7_KPI_IP_19 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 | Metrics calculated with Jupyter availaible Avg. Path Proxitimy in meter of the PTF node Collision free rate of the PTF node | Proximity, Collision rate, Time consumption, Loop rate < 1 m > 95 % | UC7_KPI_F0_01 UC7_KPI_F0_03 UC7_KPI_FT_06 UC7_KPI_FT_07 | FRACTAL path tracking node accelerated to perform with a high frequency. PTF AI model path proximity Sensor data from test drives can be stored on hard drive Frame rate of collision avoidance function | >= 10 Hz < 1m True/False >= 10Hz |

Figure 79 - Sub Task UC7_T3_3 traceability relationship

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 111 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| ALX X Y | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7 Justification plan

6.7.1 KPI evaluation method

6.7.1.1 KPI for Implementation Plan

6.7.1.1.1 UC7_KPI_IP_01

- **Description**: All subtask success.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task of environment setup succeed.

6.7.1.1.2 UC7_KPI_IP_02

- **Description**: Linux on NOEL-V is booting on FPGA.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if the target platform is flashed with the SELENE system on chip (SOC) and Linux OS is booted with GRMON and ready for log in.

6.7.1.1.3 UC7_KPI_IP_03

- **Description**: Simple publisher/subscriber example is running on target platform.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: ROS2 has to be installed on the target platform. Its correct installation is verified by means of a simple publisher/subscriber example provided in package demo_nodes_cpp [83]. The KPI is True if the C++ nodes implementing the publisher and the subscriber from the abovementioned package can be compiled and are running on the target platform. The communication between these nodes is verified in the terminal window.

6.7.1.1.4 UC7_KPI_IP_04

- **Description**: Max data transfer rate deviation of 10 Hz
- **Result type**: 1 Hz
- **Evaluation method**: The nominal value for the frequency of the data transmission, i.e., the rate with which ROS nodes exchange data, is 10 Hz. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the frequency with which nodes in the ROS network communicate with a frequency larger than 9 Hz and less than 11 Hz. For the test the quality of service [84] functionality of ROS 2 is used.

6.7.1.1.5 UC7_KPI_IP_05

- **Description**: All subtask success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task of function implementation succeed.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.1.6 UC7_KPI_IP_06

- **Description**: Simulated robot is following trajectory and avoiding obstacles.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method:** The KPI is True, if in predefined Gazebo scenarios the robot, controlled by the ML decision-making function, can autonomously follow a path and avoid collisions with obstacles by initiating appropriate evasion maneuvers.

6.7.1.1.7 UC7_KPI_IP_07

- **Description**: Avg. Path Proximity in meter
- **Result type**: <1 m
- **Evaluation method**: A fixed set of Gazebo test scenarios is used to test this KPI. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if the ML decision-making function creates in each of the test scenarios a trajectory such that the average distance of the robot's position to way points along a path is less than 1 m.

6.7.1.1.8 UC7_KPI_IP_08

- **Description**: Collision free rate
- **Result type**: > 95%
- **Evaluation method**: A fixed set of obstacle-rich Gazebo test scenarios is used to test this KPI. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if the ratio of the number of controls provided by the ML decision-making function and executed by the robot leading to a collision and the total number of applied controls provided by the ML decision-making function is less than 0.05.

6.7.1.1.9 UC7_KPI_IP_09

- **Description**: Valid ONNX model
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if the conversion of the Keras neural network model into a ONNX neural network model is successful, i.e., on a set of predefined input data both models generate the same output.

6.7.1.1.10 UC7_KPI_IP_10

- **Description**: Unit test coverage of PTF
- **Result type**: > 75 %
- **Evaluation method**: For the evaluation of the unit test coverage the tool GNU gcov [85] is used. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if the unit test coverage of the code package incorporating the ML path tracking function is larger than 75 %.

6.7.1.1.11 UC7_KPI_IP_11

- **Description**: Unit test coverage of CAF
- **Result type**: > 75 %
- **Evaluation method**: As in the case of UC7_KPI_IP_10 the tool GNU gcov is used to determine the unit test coverage. The test regarding this KPI is passed if the unit test coverage of the code package incorporating the collision avoidance function is larger than 75 %.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.1.12 UC7_KPI_IP_12

- **Description**: Loop rate of CAF function
- **Result type**: >= 10 Hz
- **Evaluation method**: With the collision avoidance function operating on maximal frequency and the inputs to the collision avoidance function sent with fixed frequency, the quality-of-service [86] functionality of ROS 2 (on the host system) is used to evaluate the actual loop rate on the target platform. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the measured loop rate of the collision avoidance is not less than 10 Hz.

6.7.1.1.13 UC7_KPI_IP_13

- **Description**: Resource monitoring tests in simulation successful
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The evaluation is based on the monitoring of the impact of an artificial generated computation load. For this purpose, the CAF and the PTF are each executed in one core. In a third core an artificial computational effort is generated. The KPI is True if the impact of this computational effort (in regard of timing inference) can be monitored by means of the FRACTAL monitoring node.

6.7.1.1.14 UC7_KPI_IP_14

- **Description**: Redundancy library tests in simulation successful
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: According to the implementation of the functional safety requirements the safety relevant functions shall be spawned redundantly. The KPI is True if the monitor of the redundancy library shows that in simulation all the safety relevant functions are spawned redundantly.

6.7.1.1.15 UC7_KPI_IP_15

- **Description**: All subtask success
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task of system evaluation succeed.

6.7.1.1.16 UC7_KPI_IP_16

- **Description**: Functions on target platform running with sensor data from 3d simulation
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: By means of the Gazebo simulation of the robot, data (e.g., occupancy grid, odometry) is generated and sent to the target platform vis a ROS 2 bridge. The KPI is True if the tests regarding the CAF and the ML decision-making function, given the data collected in Gazebo, are passed.

6.7.1.1.17 UC7_KPI_IP_17

- Description: Functions on target platform running with sensor data from real
 world test
- **Result type**: True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 114 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **Evaluation method**: Data measured by the sensors (mounted on the robot) is sent to the target platform. The KPI is True if the tests regarding the CAF and the ML decision-making function, given data collected by the robot, are passed.

6.7.1.1.18 UC7_KPI_IP_18

- **Description**: Metrics calculated with Jupyter available
- **Result type**: "Proximity, Collision rate, Time consumption, Loop rate"
- **Evaluation method**: The data collected during the execution of the tests corresponding to UC7_KPI_IP_ and UC7_KPI_IP_ is processed using Jupyter notebooks. Proximity to path (see UC7_KPI_IP_07), collision rate (see UC7_KPI_IP_08), average time consumption of the execution of CAF and PTF, and loop rate (see UC7_KPI_IP_12) are computed.

6.7.1.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives

6.7.1.2.1 UC7_KPI_FO_01

- **Description**: FRACTAL path tracking node accelerated to perform with a high frequency.
- **Result type**: >= 10 Hz
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O1 Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 1 (WP3) Open-Safe-Reliable and low power node architecture.
- **Evaluation method**: By offloading the ML path tracking function to the hardware accelerator, a performance increase is expected. The loop time of the execution of the function on CPU is compared with the loop time of the execution of the function on the accelerator. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the application of the ML path tracking function on the hardware accelerator leads to an increase in performance corresponding to a frequency not less than 10 Hz.

6.7.1.2.2 UC7_KPI_FO_02

- **Description**: Tests in simulation for redundant execution and monitoring succeed.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O2 Guarantee extrafunctional properties (dependability, security, timelines and energyefficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems).
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 2 (WP4) Low power, safety, security and high-performance trade-off.
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI is tested by means of software fault injection in one of the instances of the redundant threads. The KPI is True if the redundancy monitor successfully reports these fault injections.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 115 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.2.3 UC7_KPI_FO_03

- **Description**: FRACTAL path tracking nodes AI model generates a collision free path with an acceptable path proximity.
- Result type: < 1 m
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors
- Relates to FRACTAL Pillar: Pillar 3 (WP5) Cognitive & Autonomous Node.
- **Evaluation method**: Provided the path to be followed is free of obstacles, the ML path tracking function shall have a sufficient path tracking accuracy. For this purpose, this path tracking function is applied to a variety of obstacle free Gazebo scenarios. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if in each of the test scenarios the average distance of the robot's position to way points along a path is less than 1 m (see UC7_KPI_IP_07).

6.7.1.2.4 UC7_KPI_FO_04

- **Description**: Framework for platform independent development and verification of node functions available.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate FRACTAL Objective: 04 To integrate FRACTAL communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes
- **Relates to FRACTAL Pillar:** Pillar 4 (WP6) Mutable and FRACTAL communications.
- **Evaluation method**: The development, the execution and as well the performance analysis of ML path tracking function shall be independent of the underlying platform. The KPI is True, if the training and evaluation suite of the machine learning model can be deployed in a docker container and if the training progress and the training results can be evaluated by means of Jupyter notebooks.

6.7.1.3 KPI for FRACTAL Features

6.7.1.3.1 UC7_KPI_FT_01

- **Description**: Target platform supports ONNX.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F1_VIF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE MODEL FORMAT ONNX
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if a dummy ONNX model can be loaded and is runnable.

6.7.1.3.2 UC7_KPI_FT_02

- **Description**: Path tracking function AI model executed at node level.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F2_VIF ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE LOCATION NODE
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the ROS node incorporating the ML decision-making function can be executed at the target platform.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 116 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.3.3 UC7_KPI_FT_03

- Description: Reinforcement learning approach trained model path proximity
- **Result type**: < 1 m
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F3_VIF ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING / TRAINING PARADIGM REINFORCEMENT LEARNING
- **Evaluation method**: Reinforcement Learning techniques are used to develop a controller (based on a neural network) for the SPIDER enabling the robot to follow a predefined path avoiding collisions with obstacles. This ML decisionmaking function is evaluated in a variety of Gazebo scenarios. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the ML decision-making function creates in each of the test scenarios trajectories such that the average distance of the robot's position to way points along the path is less than 1 m.

6.7.1.3.4 UC7_KPI_FT_04

- **Description**: Reinforcement learning approach trained collision avoidance
- **Result type**: >95 %
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F4_VIF ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING / TRAINING ALGORITHMS REINFORCEMENT LEARNING
- **Evaluation method**: The decision-making function based on a neural network and trained by means of Reinforcement Learning shall react on static and dynamic obstacles appearing in the surrounding of the robot. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if in predefined set of obstacle rich Gazebo scenarios, the decision-making function reaches a collision rate which is less than 5 % (see UC7_KPI_IP_08).

6.7.1.3.5 UC7_KPI_FT_05

- **Description**: LEDEL library available for target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F5_VIF ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY LEDEL
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the neural network model of the decision-making function (incorporating path following and collision avoidance) can be deployed on the target platform and application on test data set gives the same result as the corresponding Keras model on CPU level.

6.7.1.3.6 UC7_KPI_FT_06

- **Description**: Sensor data from test drives can be stored on hard drive
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F6_VIF ADAPTABILITY DATA ORCHESTRATION -DATA SET STORAGE
- **Evaluation method**: Sensor data from test drives can be stored using the rosbag2 [87] package. The KPI is True if the sensor data can be stored as rosbags using the rosbag2 package on the hard drive of the SPIDER.

6.7.1.3.7 UC7_KPI_FT_07

- **Description**: Frame rate of collision avoidance function
- **Result type**: >= 10 Hz

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F7_VIF RELIABILITY RESPONSE TIME - FRAME RATE
- **Evaluation method**: As in the evaluation of UC7_KPI_IP_12 the quality-ofservice functionality of ROS 2 is used to test this KPI. With the collision avoidance function operating on maximal frequency on the target platform and the inputs to the collision avoidance function are sent with fixed frequency, the quality-of-service functionality of ROS 2 (on the host system) is used to evaluate the actual loop rate on the target platform. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the measured loop rate of the collision avoidance is not less than 10 Hz.

6.7.1.3.8 UC7_KPI_FT_08

- **Description**: Switch to emergency state at time exceedance of AI function
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F8_VIF SAFETY MONITORING AI ENGINES
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if stalling the execution of the ML decision making function results in a timeout, which triggers the safety mechanism and causes an emergency brake.

6.7.1.3.9 UC7_KPI_FT_09

- **Description**: Switch to emergency state at time exceedance of safety relevant function
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F9_VIF SAFETY MONITORING PERFORMANCE
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if stalling the PTF or CAF (independent of each other) results in a timeout, which, triggered by the safety mechanism, causes an emergency brake.

6.7.1.3.10 UC7_KPI_FT_10

- **Description**: Safety relevant processes run redundant on different cores
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F10_VIF SAFETY REDUNDANCY PROCESSES
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI is True if safety relevant processes (CAF and PTF) can be spawned redundantly on different cores.

6.7.1.3.11 UC7_KPI_FT_11

- **Description**: Switch to emergency state at fault detected by diverse redundancy model
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F11_VIF SAFETY REDUNDANCY DIVERSE REDUNDANCY
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if stalling of a redundant process is detected by the redundancy monitor, the safety mechanism is triggered, and an emergency brake is initiated.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.3.12 UC7_KPI_FT_12

- **Description**: Switch to emergency state at fault detected in the communication messages
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F12_VIF SAFETY REDUNDANCY COMMUNICATION MESSAGES
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if communication in the middle layer is interrupted by fault injection the safety mechanism is triggered and an emergency brake initiated.

6.7.1.3.13 UC7_KPI_FT_13

- **Description**: Safety concept according to ISO 26262 available
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F13_VIF SAFETY REGULATION ISO 26262
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if the functional safety concept for the SPIDER according to ISO 26262 is available.

6.7.1.3.14 UC7_KPI_FT_14

- **Description**: Target platform supports ONNX.
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F14_VIF LOW POWER AI LIBRARY
 MODELS ONNX
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True, if a dummy ONNX model can be loaded and is runnable.

6.7.1.3.15 UC7_KPI_FT_15

- **Description**: Lidar sensor messages available at target platform at data rate.
- Result type: 10 Hz
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F15_VIF CONTEXT AWARENESS SENSORS LIDAR
- **Evaluation method**: For the evaluation of this KPI the quality-of-service (QoS) functionality of ROS2 is used. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if the lidar data arrives with a frequency of 10 Hz on the target platform, provided the data arrives at a frequency of 10 Hz at the host system.

6.7.1.3.16 UC7_KPI_FT_16

- **Description**: Path tracking node tested in target platform on proving ground
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F16_VIF CONTEXT AWARENESS ACTIONS AI TRIGGERED PATH PLANNING
- **Evaluation method**: The path tracking node based on the ML approach shall be tested in real world scenarios. For this purpose, a fixed set of paths to be followed is introduced. The KPI is True, if the ML based path tracking function deployed on the target platform can be applied in real world scenarios and provides a trajectory satisfying UC7_KPI_IP_07.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.1.3.17 UC7_KPI_FT_17

- **Description**: Security assessment according ISO SAE 21434 available
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F17_VIF SECURITY REGULATION -ISO SAE 21434
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the security assessment according to ISO SAE 21434 for the SPIDER is available.

6.7.1.3.18 UC7_KPI_FT_18

- **Description**: Max data transfer rate with ethernet, deviation of 10 Hz
- Result type: 1 Hz
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F18_VIF FRACTALITY COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY TECHNOLOGIES ETHERNET
- **Evaluation method**: The CAF and the PTF shall work with a frequency of 10 Hz. Thus, the input data to these functions has to be provided with the same rate. The test in regard of this KPI is passed, if data between host system and target platform can be exchanged with a rate larger than 9 Hz and less than 11 Hz. For the evaluation of the exchange rate the quality-of-service functionality is used.

6.7.1.3.19 UC7_KPI_FT_19

- **Description**: Target RISC-V hardware platform based on NOEL-V available
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F19_VIF OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL PLATFORM NOEL-V RISC-V
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the target RISC-V platform based on NOEL-V is available and bitfile from SELENE can be flashed.

6.7.1.3.20 UC7_KPI_FT_20

- **Description**: Linux operating system running on target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: F20_VIF OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL -OS - LINUX
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the Linux OS can be booted and is ready for log in.

6.7.2 Use Case Requirement Validation methods

6.7.2.1.1 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_01

- **Description**: Processing time of costmap distance.
- **Result type**: < 100 ms
- **Evaluation method**: Costmaps are generated due to sensor fusion on the host system and then sent to the target platform, where the costmap is used to compute the distances to obstacles. The test is passed in regard of this KPI if the computation of the distance to obstacles takes less than 100 ms. For the evaluation of the computation time the quality-of-service functionality of ROS 2 is used.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 120 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

6.7.2.1.2 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_02

- **Description**: SPIDER stops in defined emergency situation.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: To evaluate this KPI, the robot is steered towards a wall in the simulation. The KPI is True if the robot, triggered by the safety mechanism, initiates an emergency brake in the previously described situation.

6.7.2.1.3 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03

- **Description**: Avg. Path Proximity in meter of the PTF node
- **Result type**: < 1 m
- **Evaluation method**: The test in regard of this KPI is True if the ROS node incorporating the neural network based decision-making function passes the test corresponding to UC7_KPI_IP_07.

6.7.2.1.4 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04

- **Description**: Collision free rate of the PTF node.
- **Result type**: > 95 %
- **Evaluation method**: The test in regard of this KPI is passed if the ROS node incorporating the neural network based decision-making function passes the test corresponding to UC7_KPI_IP_08.

6.7.2.1.5 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_05

- **Description**: SPIDER stops at connection loss to edge nodes.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if, triggered by the safety mechanism, an emergency brake is initiated after the connection to the target platform is interrupted software-wise.

6.7.2.1.6 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_06

- **Description**: SPIDER stops at timeout of edge nodes.
- **Result type**: 200 ms
- **Evaluation method**: For the evaluation of this KPI a power loss is simulated. The KPI is True, if triggered by the safety mechanism, an emergency brake is initiated after the edge node is shut down.

6.7.2.1.7 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07

- **Description**: Update rate of costmap input data to edge nodes.
- **Result type**: > 9 Hz
- **Evaluation method**: Given the sensor data as input, the costmap shall be computed and provided at a rate larger than 9 Hz. The test in regard of this KPI is passed if for a given test set of sensor data costmaps can be generated at a rate larger than 9 Hz. For the evaluation the quality-of-service functionality of ROS 2 is used.

6.7.2.1.8 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_08

- **Description**: Edge nodes can exchange data via TCP/UDP with SPIDER
- **Result type**: True/False

| PRACTAL Project Consortium 121 of 193 | Copyright © FRAC |
|--|------------------|
|--|------------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **Evaluation method**: This KPI is evaluated using the command line function netstat [88]. The KPI is True if the output of netstat (terminal window) confirms the existence of a TCP or UDP connection between the edge node and the SPIDER.

6.7.2.1.9 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_09

- **Description**: ROS2 stack installed on target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the ROS 2 software stack [89] is installed on the target platform and UC7_KPI_IP_03 is satisfied.

6.7.2.1.10 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_10

- **Description**: Library for diverse redundancy is built on target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI is True if the FRACTAL redundancy library is available and is built on the target platform.

6.7.2.1.11 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_11

- **Description**: LEDEL library is built for target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the neural network model of the decision-making function (incorporating path following and collision avoidance) can be deployed on the target platform and application on the test data set gives the same result as the corresponding Keras model on CPU level.

6.7.2.1.12 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_12

- **Description**: Resource monitoring library build for the target platform.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: This KPI is True if the FRACTAL resource monitoring library is available and is built on the target platform.

6.7.2.1.13 UC7_KPI_IP_Req_13

- **Description**: Hardware accelerator for NN model of UC7 integrated to target platform
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True if the hardware accelerator is integrated to the target platform and the neural network of UC7 is deployed on the hardware accelerator.

6.7.3 Components Validation

6.7.3.1 Case Specific Components

6.7.3.1.1 UC7_CMP_01- Path Optimization

Neuronal network for calculating optimal path based on a planned trajectory and obstacles

UC7_KPI_IP_06 - Simulated robot is following trajectory and avoiding obstacles

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC7_KPI_IP_07 Avg. Path Proximity in meter
- UC7_KPI_IP_08 Collision free rate

6.7.3.1.2 UC7_CMP_02- Path Tracking Function

Control algorithm to follow a planned trajectory and avoid obstacles including UC7_CMP_01

- UC7_KPI_IP_10 Unit test coverage of PTF
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 Avg. Path Proximity in meter of the PTF node
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 Collision free rate of the PTF node
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07 Update rate of costmap input data to edge nodes
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_11 LEDEL library build for target platform
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_13 Hardware accelerator for NN model of UC7 integrated to target platform

6.7.3.1.3 UC7_CMP_03- Collision Avoidance Function

Safety relevant function for switching to failsafe mode in case of obstacles in danger zone

- UC7_KPI_IP_11 Unit test coverage of CAF
- UC7_KPI_IP_12 Loop rate of CAF function
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_01 Processing time of costmap distance
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_02 SPIDER stops in defined emergency situation
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_07 Update rate of costmap input data to edge nodes

6.7.3.1.4 UC7_CMP_04- 3D Simulation

3D simulation based on Gazebo for testing of developed and integrated functions

- UC7_KPI_IP_17 Functions on target platform running with sensor data from 3d simulation
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 Avg. Path Proximity in meter of the PTF node
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 Collision free rate of the PTF node

6.7.3.1.5 UC7_CMP_05- Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

Demonstrating software running on NOEL-V platform integrated to SPIDER

- UC7_KPI_IP_18 Functions on target platform running with sensor data from real world test
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_03 Avg. Path Proximity in meter of the PTF node
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_04 Collision free rate of the PTF node
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_05 SPIDER stops at connection loss to edge nodes
- UC7_KPI_IP_Req_06 SPIDER stops at timeout of edge nodes

6.7.3.2 FRACTAL Common Components

6.7.3.2.1 WP3T31-01 - Edge-oriented monitoring unit

AXI-compliant statistics unit to support safety measures and validation in the context of edge systems.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortiu | 123 of 193 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
|---------------------------------------|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.2 WP3T34-01 - Driver for the edge-oriented monitoring unit

Driver for the statistics unit supporting safety measures and validation in edge systems.

- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.3 WP3T34-02 - Drivers for the SW diverse redundancy library

Driver to read PMCs (Performance Monitoring Counters) from a remote core, and to issue SIG_STOP and SIG_CONT signals to remote cores.

- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.4 WP3T31-02 - Interconnect to support Accelerators integration

Interconnect: AXI pulp library Integration.

- UC7_CMP_02 Path Tracking Function
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.5 WP3T31-03 - Safety and security hardware support

Extensions to the interconnect and other NOEL-V components for Security and Safety.

• UC7_CMP_05 - Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.6 WP3T32-06 - Redundant Acceleration Scheme

Integration of a redundant AI inference accelerator in the platform.

- UC7_CMP_03 Collision Avoidance Function
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.7 WP3T35-02 - Accelerator Adaptation to AI library

Implementing support for missing functionalities/layers and data formats.

- UC7_CMP_02 Path Tracking Function
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.8 WP3T35-03 - LEDEL (Low Energy EDDL)

EDDL integration on NOEL-V.

- UC7_CMP_02 Path Tracking Function
- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.9 WP4T43-03 - SW diverse redundancy library

Library allowing to run a task redundantly in two RISC-V cores enforcing some staggering among them to avoid common cause faults.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 124 of 193 | |
|--|------------|--|
| | | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.10 WP4T43-01 - Performance monitoring services

Services to configure the multicore-aware monitoring unit and retrieve information on the multicore interference observed.

- UC7_CMP_03 Collision Avoidance Function
- UC7_CMP_04 3D Simulation
- UC7_CMP_05 Integrated Demonstration Software on Target

6.7.3.2.11 WP4T44-03 - Safety Analysis

Safety concept by performing a Hazard and Risk Analysis (HARA) within the scope of the concept phase of ISO 26262 (item definition, hazard analysis, risk assessment and functional safety concept), in context of UC7.

- UC7_CMP_02 Path Tracking Function
- UC7_CMP_03 Collision Avoidance Function

6.7.3.2.12 WP4T44-04 - Security Assessment

Security assessment by performing a Threat Analysis and Risk Assessment (TARA), covered by the ISO SAE 21434 standard, in context of UC7.

- UC7_CMP_02 Path Tracking Function
- UC7_CMP_03 Collision Avoidance Function

6.7.3.2.13 WP6T61-02-01 - Docker

Platform-as-a-Service product that uses OS-level virtualization to deliver software in packages called containers.

6.7.3.2.14 WP6T61-03-04 - Jupyter

Jupyter Notebook is a web-based interactive computing platform.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 125 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7 VAL-UC8 Improve the performance of autonomous shuttles for moving goods in a warehouse

Shuttle technologies are gaining increasing interest as an automated storage and retrieval system (AS/RS) solution in the intralogistics industry. Customer requirements are variable and require expertise, especially in the application of their variaty of storage goods and physical properties like size and weight. These requirements demand a flexible and stable system for consistent throughput, which a shuttle system can provide.

A look at the shuttle as component shows that it is an automated guided vehicle used

in a sheet metal high bay warehouse. The movement is limited in the horizontal to the guide rails in one axis and in the other axis to store and retrieve containers by the two belts of the load handling device, like in Figure 80 shown.

In this use case, the test setup, shown in Figure 81 is set up in-house and consists of a small warehouse, two shuttles and two elevators. This warehouse has 7 levels obstructed and a maximum



Figure 80 - CAD rendering of a shuttle

storage capacity of 210 storage places distributed over a length of 7 m.



Figure 81 - 1. CAD rendering of the test setup - side view

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 126 of 193 |
|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

On both sides of the warehouse, the lifts are placed in front of the aisle. The shuttles can travel in one axis within this warehouse through guided rails in a level and can switch the current level by the lifts, so the system offers the possibility for the shuttles to move in two axes. To move containers into or out of the system, conveyor sections will be placed near the hoist frame of the lifts. This moving process of picking up or depositing a container is accomplished directly from the shuttles to the conveyor sections and has no buffering capability planned.

From the top view of the test setup, shown in Figure 82, the material flow between inbound and outbound is presented by the yellow arrows at the associated conveyor sections left from both lifts. The path between the two conveyor sections is connected by a technically slimmed down version of a shuttle and consists of a workplace to pick up or store items in the containers. For ease of use, the workplace is equipped with a workstation, where orders for the system can be sent.

The commonly used industrial grade single board computers in UC8 will be replaced in the equipment by the FRACTAL nodes. The previous platform is based on a Windows CE/IPC and will be ported to the new nodes. Due to the gain in computing power, services will be offloaded on the FRACTAL edges. The use of the FRACTAL project is intended to improve adaptability and reliability regarding functional safety, usability, and especially performance. Nodes with AI resources form the basis for later developments in the field of swarm intelligence as part of the exploitation of project results.



Figure 82 - Top view of the test setup for UC8

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.1 Before FRACTAL

This section examines the configuration of the underlying shuttle technology and explains the behavior about control and communication. Firstly, the system architecture will be explained and then the base concepts for the message flow,



Figure 83 - State of the art - shuttle technology from the field level perspective

functional safety with maintenance levels, and the electrical parts of the two core components, i.e., the shuttle and the lift.

The system architecture of the field level is shown in Figure 83, where the components are listed and the connections between them are pointed out. Almost

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 128 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

every component of this architecture can appear multiple times and it is described in singular for an explanation.

From top to down, the network structure begins with a network switch, which is isolated from the internet, and remote access is only provided by a VPN for the material handling equipment manufacturer. Warehouse-related orders are shared over the local network and consist of tasks for shuttle and lift. Wireless communication is commonly realized by Wi-Fi in the 5 GHz frequency band; other components are connected via ethernet or proprietary bus systems. The typical telegram flow starts with the material flow controller, which gets an order for a specific container with the instruction for storage or retrieval. The controller generates jobs of that order for the system and distributes them in the local network.

7.1.1 Hardware

A look at the shuttle block diagram reveals the typical electrical components listed in Table 6 with a brief description.

| <u>Capacitor pack</u> Energy source for the shuttle. Image exemplary. | |
|--|--|
| IPC with enclosure Single board computer with Wi-Fi and CAN interface for the shuttle or lift control service. Originally used with <i>Windows</i> <i>Embedded CE</i> operating system. | |
| Motors Brushless DC motors with controller and CAN interface for shuttle and load handling device motion. | |
| Safety PLC The failsafe programmable logic controller monitors the status of the shuttle and misbehavior leads to a power supply shutdown for the motors. Image exemplary. | |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 129 of 193 |
|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Power control Power contactors are used to control the power supply of the rotary equipment by the safety plc. | |
|--|--|
| IO System Node Modular IO system with CAN interface for flexible extension of inputs and outputs. Image exemplary. | |
| <u>Sensors</u> Commonly light barriers and inductive probes are built into the shuttles. Positioning in terms of any motion tasks requires sensors. | |

Table 6 - List of electrical components of a shuttle

Lift components are similar to the shuttle components and only differ in some points, which are listed in Table 7 with a brief description.

| Motor The motor moves the hoist frame in vertical direction and is used to change the shuttle level position. | |
|---|--|
| Safety Safety relay for the safe operation of the motor. The main task is the initiation into the controlled stop of the machine in case of an emergency stop. Image exemplary. | |

Table 7 - Lift of electrical components of a lift

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.2 Use Case Objectives

FRACTAL technology will be implemented in a warehouse to develop intelligent shuttles based on cognitive computing for swarm intelligence, improving availability, throughput, and reliability.

Following long-term goals are expected from the project:

- Adaptivity: The shuttle system should adapt autonomously to new situations within the warehouse.
- Energy optimization and improved strategy for warehouse locations: By optimizing the location of high-speed goods and their distribution; jams shall be avoided and the efficiency of retrieving goods improved.
- Route optimization: Aggregated data on route patterns and delivery efficiency will be used by the AI application to achieve higher throughput for the warehouse.
- Increase pickup order productivity: Use of optimized strategies for system-driven picking based on the accumulated picking list.
- Defined bulk order fulfillment: Mass dispatch information, including the expected schedule is passed to the swarm. The swarm resolves the solutions to be delivered as specified.

The goal is to improve the warehouse throughput, as delays in warehouse operations are undesirable and potentially critical, because they have a domino effect on the whole supply chain. The handling, storage and retrieval of warehouse goods by automated shuttles will be optimized using artificial intelligence techniques. AI will organize and analyze the generated data sets in an optimal way to improve warehouse throughput.

The automated shuttle systems will operate as agents of an intelligent swarm system to improve its reliability. Real-time information (e.g., diagnosis, battery status, task) hosted on the shuttle operations will be registered and flow into the evaluation. The FRACTAL node will thereby meet the computational requirements at high energy efficiency.

The shuttles will be based on edge nodes that process real-time information at high speed via integrated filters. Task processing will be shifted from the material flow controller to the edge nodes with local decision-making capabilities (e.g., routing and sequencing), and the system will minimize human interruptions due to errors.

The warehouse system is expected to use new data flows to optimize warehouse throughput. The following benefits are expected from swarm functions:

1. Autonomy and adaptivity:

The shuttles in the swarm are expected to cooperate autonomously to achieve a common goal of providing high and reliable warehouse throughput

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 131 of 193 |
|--|--|------------|
|--|--|------------|

| 299222 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

for the transported goods. The FRACTAL-based shuttle adapts to pending orders and failures so that tasks are completed even in the event of resource failure (e.g., shuttle failure, elevator failure, track failure).

- Obstacle avoidance and removal: The swarm adapts by avoiding obstacles, selecting alternative paths, and contributing to the removal of obstacles when possible.
- 3. Improved availability:

Path planning algorithms based on swarm intelligence are introduced to ensure fast delivery of goods in the overall warehouse in case of faulty and degraded resources (e.g. faulty lane, faulty lift, faulty shuttle).

4. Safety:

The shuttles in the swarm will cooperate to support safety-critical scenarios, e.g. avoiding collisions between shuttles and human engineers during online maintenance, which is also highly desirable.

By endowing the shuttle system with swarm intelligence, the system is not limited to fixed routes and the resource constraints of the central computing servers. The shuttles interact autonomously with each other and with infrastructures such as elevators and conveyors. The swarm capabilities enable the overall system to adapt its capacity to fluctuations between storage and transport processes.

The need for the FRACTAL node is justified by the high computational requirements of the AI-based swarm intelligence algorithms. These tasks consume resources, especially energy and memory. The proposed FRACTAL nodes provide a secure and energy-efficient solution with the ability to host cognitive functions, making them a suitable candidate for use. The following inputs from the project are relevant or serve to demonstrate this Use Case:

- Specification and Methodology.
- Cognitive computing capabilities of the FRACTAL node (for swarm intelligence).
- Techniques for functional safety, energy efficiency, reliability, and real-time capability.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 132 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| 24 ² 222 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.3 State of the art

According to the state of the art, the system is first described from the point of view of warehouse logistics and a clear boundary to the customer-oriented solution from the material handling equipment manufacturer is shown. Common practices in consideration of electronics platforms, as well as communication and functional safety are described here.

7.3.1 System architecture

For AS/RS solutions based on shuttle technology, the system architecture for every project is nearly identical and can be illustrated in a pyramid (Figure 84), whereby



Figure 84 - Shuttle system adapted from automation pyramid

the dependencies in terms of complexity and the degree of automation define, which of these levels were used and how.

Depending on the system size and customer requirements the WMS layer is part of the ERP or works in big size wholesale and distribution businesses with extended functionalities as a standalone system. In general, levels 2-4 in real software solutions are blurred from an external point of view and do not have a hard separation.

The WMS layer is used to manage within a warehouse and is a part of whole supply chain management. The supervisory level is only used in medium-complex distribution centers with a high degree of automation when the basic routing of the goods up to the conveyor systems can no longer be handled by the control level (MFC). In the last level the hardware components are located, which can be divided

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

in two major groups, the shuttles, and the lifts. Both groups include a variety of configurations depending on customer requirements, especially throughput as the major decision factor for the best configuration.

The shuttles used in today's high-bay warehouses can be divided into three categories: one-dimensional (1-D), two-dimensional (2-D) and three-dimensional (3-D) shuttles. The 1-D shuttles travel in a single aisle in the warehouse. In a warehouse, a given aisle often contains multiple levels. A shuttle that travels only in a particular aisle on a fixed level is called level captive. In 2D, an elevator system is used to switch between levels. This causes the shuttle system to move in both horizontal and vertical directions. The 3D system allows the shuttle to travel through multiple lanes during operation and change levels simultaneously. The 3D system refers to the entire warehouse.

Analogous to the shuttle categories, the tray depth is typically in three grades applicable. Single, doubleand triple deep storages are offered in the market and are decisive for the load handling device in the shuttle and lift.

As a further adjusting screw, the number of storages and hoist frames can vary regarding the lift, as e.g. in another setup the shuttles stay level captive and only container lifts are used. The number of storages per hoist frame can be single and double deep, just as the hoist frame itself can be single or twice per lift.

In the case of the FRACTAL project, the most probable solution was chosen, so that single-deep storage with 2-D shuttles and relatively low throughput serves as a reference.

7.3.2 Electronic platforms in shuttles

The requirements for the electronics platform of a shuttle must provide the necessary interfaces to input/output devices, e.g., to control the motor, read signals, and for user interfaces. Real-time capability must be supported in order to control the shuttle in real-time and to ensure functional safety (e.g., no collisions between shuttles). In addition, wireless interfaces are required to realize communication with the material flow controller as well as with the user interface. Other relevant non-functional properties include availability, reliability, and energy efficiency.

Existing platforms use various single-board computers usually with ARM processors and embedded operating systems such as Windows CE as well as bus systems to interact with input/output devices. There also exists solutions in the market with microprocessors that directly control the inputs/ outputs. Various communication protocols such as CAN, Ethernet, Wi-Fi and SRD-based protocols (868-MHz) are used in shuttles.

Today, order packages for shuttles are mainly planned and managed centrally. Central control is handled by the warehouse management system, which coordinates orders to the shuttles via the material flow controller.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 134 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.3.3 Communication

Current systems use various wireless communication technologies in warehouse applications such as Wi-Fi (2.4 or 5 GHz) or other IEEE 802.15.4 based technologies in the ISM radio band (2.4 GHz or 868 MHz for Europe). In order to ensure sufficient coverage in the storage rack, access points with partially directional antennas are usually distributed in a high density. Wireless solutions, especially Wi-Fi, have several disadvantages such as high installation costs, maintenance costs and errorproneness, with consequences of telegram loss during operation. In many cases, the same frequency bands/channels are shared between the shuttles and other operating departments of the customer. This raises security concerns and makes it difficult to diagnose faults in the network and requires coordination with the customer regarding channel overlaps. Roaming between access points in turn means, that continuous roaming behavior is associated with a high risk of communication disruptions. Shuttles are in motion and continuously generate different network topologies. Strict latency requirements are necessary for safety-critical functions, and the shuttles operate in a demanding environment subject to vibration, dust, signal interference from motors and stored goods, and so on. Assurance of fault tolerance as well as determinism in terms of latency and jitter is a mandatory requirement for functional safety and ensuring high plant availability. The wireless communication network of a warehouse shuttle system requires consideration of dynamic topologies of mobile nodes, signal interference, path loss, limited energy, and changing positions of shuttles.

In the warehouse environment, network topology changes and sensor node failures can cause fluctuations in network connectivity. In addition, sensors are often exposed to interference, high humidity, vibration, dirt, and dust. These harsh environmental conditions also cause variations in network connectivity with variable link capacity. Interference detection techniques have been developed previously to counteract harsh industrial environments.

7.3.4 Functional safety

Another challenge today is limited availability due to various types of errors. These include wedged stored goods, communication breakdowns, defective hardware components in shuttles and contamination. Thus, access to the system must be created and certain parts of the warehouse must be blocked. Today, fault diagnosis is carried out via sequence control and manual maintenance by an operator.

For manual maintenance, the system access concept is described for better understanding by means of Figure 83. On the right side of the network switch is the gatekeeper, which supervises all functional safety-related actions, this includes the safety door monitors and parts below in that structure. The safety door monitors, which can manage a certain number of door locks, communicate with the gatekeeper when an access is requested. Door monitors are placed in the front and in the back zone. An access depends on the location and is provided from both sides of the rack. The front zone is defined by the position of the lift and differs only in connection to the safety relay of the lift block. In the back zone is typically the direct access to the

| 1982 P. | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

shuttle area. That concept allows battery-powered AGVs to exclude from a certain block in the system for maintenance purposes, while the rest of the system stays operational, as one block per aisle covers up to 7 shuttle levels and is called maintenance level.

To gain access, users have to make a request at the desired maintenance level. In the case of the back zone, this request is used to check the location of the shuttles near or in the maintenance area from the gatekeeper. These shuttles get a message on the safety level, to leave the area. As the system utilize a time delay for the access, the shuttles have a defined time to finish a task and then leave the area. When the time has passed and the affected shuttles are still in the area, the safety PLC in the shuttle triggers the power control and interrupts the supply of the motors by the message of the gatekeeper. The shuttle state is submitted back to the gatekeeper. After this process, the monitor gets a message from the gatekeeper, releases the door lock and the user gains the requested access.

Accessing from the front zone depends on the configuration of the passageway to the shuttle area and turn off in a controlled manner the whole aisle or only the affected lift.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 136 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.4 Main contributions expected from FRACTAL

Two advanced functions have emerged from the FRACTAL components, which will be explained in the following sub chapters. Regarding the FRACTAL Pillars, the implementation finds its approach from the supervisory level down to the field level in form of the targeted swarm intelligence and the extension of the safety concept. The connection between the pillars and the functions will be justified, after the introduction of the hardware implementation.

In the test setup, FRACTAL edge nodes will be implemented in the control cabinet for the lifts, but also in each shuttle, like shown in Figure 85. The size of the Versalboard was unsuitable for the shuttle, therefore there was a deviation in the board selection and the choice was made to use the Kria KV260 boards instead of Versal. These FPGAs use a Zynq® UltraScale+[™] MPSoC with enough power for the shuttle control services and for additional computational capabilities for the FRACTAL components. For communication between the edge nodes, a time-triggered network will be used, which is set up on Wi-Fi technology. To utilize low power services from the FRACTAL, the time-triggered network on chip will be implemented in both boards



with predefined scenarios and access to dynamic voltage frequency scaling and the option to disable unused hardware blocks.

The shuttle edge nodes will be extended with cameras to become cognitive and autonomous nodes and will be implemented in the functional safety extension under consideration of the applied harmonized standard DIN EN ISO 3691-4:2020-11.

Regarding the hardware setup, both boards provide an open, safe, and reliable node architecture with low power capabilities and still high performance. The real-time capable time-triggered on- and off-chip communication covers a lot of the desired

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 137 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

use case objectives and offers a lot of possibilities, especially in new approaches, like the first steps in decentralization of warehouse applications.

7.4.1 Swarm intelligence

Shuttles with AI resources and FPGAs that would support the stated goals in terms of swarm intelligence with neural networks in an energy-efficient, real-time environment are not known yet. Existing solutions for swarm intelligence concepts (e.g., Knapp [90]) are only limited to route planning.

Tasks/ jobs are generated centralized in the control level for all field components. Future requirements like flexibility, scalability and robustness could be improved by decentralization and customization of the state-of-the-art topology. The AI supported swarm intelligence concentrates tasks from the supervisory and control level in the field level. Offloading computationally intensive tasks like path planning of the MFC in the edge nodes and the segmentation in swarm blocks shall improve the performance of the system. A synergy effect is created in terms of scalability of such systems, as the coordination of optimized paths and storage strategies will be calculated in a lower level and distributed for each swarm.

In concrete terms, this approach means to gain more capabilities regarding adaptivity and reliability by applying WP4's meta scheduler from the node level to the application level. In UC8, this scheduler will be implemented in the Versal board, to deal with different scenarios as a solution model with hybrid approach, where exactly this separation and offloading is described in [91]. Due to the high amount of possible scenarios, during the FRACTAL project there will be a limitation of three scenarios with the greatest added value or the highest probability, as listed below.

• Weight distribution – weight flow optimization

To optimize the energy consumption, it can be helpful to store containers with the max. specified weight near the outbound to shorten the transportation path of the shuttles or distribute these containers in the lower level near the conveyor section heights, to reduce the lift movements. The weight flow of containers over the rack shall be minimized and the capacitor pack charging time kept low as possible. Especially in long systems the capacitor pack as energy source discharges to its lower design limits.

- Priority flag sorting
 Sorting the containers by the deposited priority flags when entering the system could gain the throughput. E.g., Containers get a flag with numbers from 1 to 3, the higher the value of the flag, the higher the priority. So, the highest value will be stored near the outbound lane of the rack, to get the fastest pick of recurrent items ordered from the operators in the shortest physical process time. This kind of sorting containers can also be applied to the inbound.
 Obstacle avoidance
- Obstacle avoidance

By detecting an obstacle, the orchestrator reschedules the tasks of the swarm and keeps that path blocked until the error is corrected. This scenario has a high probability and occurs often in already implemented systems. Typically,

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

a shuttle has a misbehavior and could not pick a container out of the rack, or the container was stored misaligned and now blocks the path, just like another shuttle, which already turned off for energy saving purposes. Additionally, maintenance staff could enter the system and shall be identified as an obstacle. Verification will be included in the communication between the shuttle edge nodes and the lift node.

The task allocation is based on the meta-heuristic population method "Ant Colony Optimization" and shall find the optimal path to the desired destination for each shuttle in a short inference time. In a failure scenario the best possible solution will be computed every time for every shuttle. This behavior is required due to overlapping shuttle time frames for each location in the warehouse, if only one shuttle would get the correction.

By applying the meta scheduler in different levels of the edge node, the potential fractality of UC8 is demonstrated.

7.4.2 Functional safety extension

In the functional safety extension, an approach is chosen that allows under the requirements of ISO 61508 the implementation of safety-related building blocks in the edge nodes, as envisaged by the FRACTAL project. Compared to the old concept, it will be possible to access to the rack without safety door locks, nor physical barrier ahead of each maintenance level will be installed.

The time-triggered network-on-chip (TTNoC) for the edge nodes provides the ability for time-triggered off-chip communication. Functional safety relevant communication between the edge nodes utilizes this function and exchanges telegrams of the current status from each edge node. The degradation of single edge nodes will be used, to decrease specific functions in operational mode on application level, as well for onchip operations in the node level. Restrictions in function or limitation of velocity or acceleration are possible solutions and shall extend overall availability in the warehouse.

On the other side, the shuttles will be extended with camera systems to use person detection, more precisely human body detection on the edge node. To accomplish this approach, it is crucial to implement a fail-safe evaluation algorithm and connection this to the existing failsafe PLC. In the case of the detection model, neural networks in an embedded system with restricted energy sources will be implemented and evaluated. This evaluation algorithm is used to calculate the distance between the detected object and the shuttle in two zones, the danger, and the warning zone. The behavior in case of detection will be predefined. If the object is in the warning zone, the target velocity will be degraded to 0.3 m/s as specified in the standard DIN EN ISO 3691-4 and monitored by the built-in failsafe-PLC. By entering in the danger zone, the power supply of the motors from the affected shuttle will be turned off. To avoid entering the danger zone, the shuttle edge nodes with the detected object send a request to reschedule the tasks. When the request is rejected, the shuttle edge node will go in a kind of waiting mode to save energy, until the detected object

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

disappears again and will acknowledge the orchestrator about the change of status to schedule new tasks for the swarm.

A more detailed description of this subsection can be found in D4.4, where the risk assessment according to the standard DIN EN ISO 3691-4:2020-11 was done for implementation purposes. The applied standard is used for safety requirements and verification of driverless industrial trucks since the shuttle is treated in Germany under this standard. Regarding the pillars, a safe and reliable node with cognitive capabilities is required to accomplish this extension and will be provided in the FRACTAL project. The communication between the edge nodes is mutable through its underlying hardware architecture and complies with the pillar of FRACTAL communication by time-triggered components.

7.4.3 Cloud services

The implementation of a service orchestrator in the cloud enables the benefits of a fleet management system. As updates of control services were done in the past manually, it would be possible to manage equipment control services and AI models as well from the cloud with FRACTAL components. Especially AI models require version controlling and fast reaction times to prevent or fix misbehavior in customer systems. Individual system properties from the customer specifications must be assigned to the respective project, as this information is required for the control services and the swarm intelligence to make physically feasible tasks. The initiation of an update could be realized via VPN and would reduce downtimes significantly, as well as the supporting time of employees.

Implementation in the test setup will be realized by a locally installed server with ethernet access to the FRACTAL network. After the verification of AI models and control services, the migration of data to the cloud will start. Summarized, the management of services, models, and data sets shall be accomplished in the cloud services as projects will reflect single or multiple swarms per customer. In that configuration, single core components will be mutable provided in a high flexible environment with small management effort.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.5 Evaluation of the implementation results

This section defines the KPIs defined for UC8 implementation. These KPIs are classified into three groups:

- KPIs for Implementation Plan Task
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars
- KPIs for UC Features

For each KPI, an *Identifier*, a *Description* and the type of result *Value* is defined. The *Test* to be performed for the KPI will be defined later in the Justification Plan, therefore is marked as TBD.

The next subsections describe in some detail the three groups of KPIs.

7.5.1 KPI for Implementation Plan Tasks

This section defines the *KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks*. Figure 86 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for the Implementation Tasks of UC8.

The KPIs are divided into two subgroups, which are derived from the implementation plan and the requirements:

| KPI UC for Impleme | entation Plan | | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------|------|----------------------------------|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | Comment |
| UC8_KPI_IP_01 | All subtask success - Versal node | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_02 | Duty cycle of control software (Versal) with target design | < 20 ms | TBD | duty cycle |
| UC8_KPI_IP_03 | Build AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM) | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_04 | Inference time of predictions - shuttle orchestrator (Versal - ARM) | < 2 s | TBD | avg. job execution time |
| UC8_KPI_IP_05 | All subtask success - Kria node | True/False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_06 | Duty cycle of control software (Kria) with target design | < 20 ms | TBD | duty cycle |
| UC8_KPI_IP_07 | Build OpenCV for target (Kria - ARM) success | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_08 | Build demonstration software on target success | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_09 | Build object detection application success | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_10 | Model accuracy of the object detection | > 95 % | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_11 | Build zone evaluation logic application success | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_12 | Inference time of object detection | < 100 ms | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_13 | Failure rate of connection between FPGA and safety plc | % | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| UC8_KPI_IP_14 | Setup cloud service orchestrator success | True/ False | TBD | Defined for Implementation Tasks |
| | | | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_01 | The edge node should have following hardware specification: | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| | - at least 2 cores @ 800 MHz | | | |
| | - at least 4 GB RAM | | | |
| | - at least eMMC Memory or similar. | _ ~. | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_02 | These communication protocols shall be used from Linux OS: | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| | - MQTT over WiFi mesh network for communication between nodes | | | |
| | - CAN Bus for internal communication. | | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_03 | The edge node shall provide enough interfaces for two cameras. | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_04 | The edge node shall be capable to detect objects (human body and other | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| | obstacles) from video input stream of the provided cameras and | _ / | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_05 | The edge node shall be able to use an adaptive orchestrator (scheduler) | Irue/False | IBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| | for storing strategies and optimized pathfinding for each shuttle | | | |
| | depending on material (weight, type), frequency of requests, division of | _ / | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_06 | The edge node shall offer optimized pathfinding: Improving path of the | I rue/False | IBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| | shuttles, for different scenarios; obstacle in same layer; malfunction of | | | |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_07 | The node shall feature Linux operating system with real time capability | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_08 | Safety wireless communication should be over a black channel (ASIL 3, | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_09 | For the edge nodes a cross compiler shall be available to port control | True/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |
| UC8_KPI_IP_Req_10 | The edge node shall support libraries, like Tensorflow/ Keras. | Irue/False | TBD | Comming from UC Requirements |

Figure 86 - KPIs for UC8 Implementation Plan Tasks

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 141 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL |
|---------|-----------|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 |

- **KPIs specifically defined for each Task** These KPIs have been defined to check the success of the task. *When possible, they are defined as a numerical criterion* (i.e., inference time < 100 ms), otherwise they are defined as a True/False indicating that the task finished successfully.
- KPIs related to Tasks, allowing checking the Requirements defined by the UC in the general Excel defined in WP1 FRACTAL -Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx (see Tab Requirements) - These KPIs have been defined taking into accounts the general requirements posted by the Use Case. These KPIs are defined as a True/False value indicating that the task finished and allows checking whether the requirements is met.

7.5.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives related to FRACTAL Pillars

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the FRACTAL Objectives** (Related to Pillars and found in the FRACTAL proposal, Section 1.1.2.). Figure 87 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| KPI for Fracta | KPI for Fractal Objective (an related Pillar) Helps to demonstrate the following Fractal Specific Objective | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|------|---|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Description | Value | Test | ID Ob Description | Relates to Pillar | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_00 | Fractal technology helps to improve the state of the art in the intralogistics industry | True/False | TBD | | | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_01 | Cycle time of services on edge node with accelerated orchestrator implemented and running. (VERSAL) | < 20 ms | TBD | O1 Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | Pillar 1 (WP3) - Open-Safe-Realiable and low power node architecture. | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_02 | Cycle time of services on edge node with accurate cognitive AI application implemented and running. (KRIA) | < 20 ms | TBD | O1 Design and Implement an Open-Safe-Reliable Platform to Build Cognitive Edge Nodes of Variable Complexity | Pillar 1 (WP3) - Open-Safe-Realiable and low power node architecture. | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_03 | Self-sufficient decisions for each shuttle in respect to functional safety and additional degradation steps. High accuracy in detection is required. | > 95 % | TBD | O2 Guarantee extra-functional properties (dependability, security, timeliness and energy-efficiency) of FRACTAL nodes and systems built using FRACTAL nodes (i.e., FRACTAL systems). | Pillar 2 (WP4) - Low power, safety, security and high-preformance trade-off. | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_04 | Real-time inference for meta scheduler, which can react on various pre-defined events and make safe decisions for pathfinding and storage strategies for different goods. | < 2 s | TBD | O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_05 | Real-time inference for object detection on edge node with all services and accelerators implemented. | 10 fps | TBD | O3 Evaluate and validate the analytics approach by means of AI to help the identification of the largest set of working conditions still preserving safe and secure operational behaviors | Pillar 3 (WP5) - Cognitive & Autonomous Node. | | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_06 | Safe wireless communication between nodes. | % telegram losses | TBD | O4 To integrate fractal communication and remote management features into FRACTAL nodes | Pillar 4 (WP6) - Mutable and fractal communications. | | |

Figure 87 - KPIs for UC8 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to FRACTAL Objectives

7.5.3 KPI for UC Features

KPIs defined to measure **how the Implementation Tasks contribute to demonstrate the UC Features** (defined in the Tab FRACTAL Features in the general Excel defined in WP1 FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx). Figure 88 shows the complete list of KPIs defined for this purpose.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 142 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

Project FRACTAL

Title

FRACTAL

Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases

Del. Code D8.1

| KPI for UC Feature | | | | Helps to de | monstrate the following UC Feature |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|
| KPLID | Description | Value | Test | ID Feat | Description |
| UC8_KPI_FT_01 | Edge node has CAN Bus connectivity | True/False | TBD | F1_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - EXTENSIBILITY - PORT CONNECTION - CAN BUS |
| UC8_KPI_FT_02 UC8_KPI_FT_03 | Edge node has AI/ ML accelerator Edge node is capable of real time applications and | True/False True/False | TBD TBD | F2_BEEA F3_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - AI - HW - AI/ ML ACCELERATOR ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - REALTIME |
| | process camera streams in real-time | - (| | | |
| UC8_KPI_FI_04 | The All model are located in the node | True/False | TBD | F4_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - LOCATION - NODE |
| UC8_KPI_FI_US | I ne Al models will be prepared for the VERSAL | True/False | TBD | F5_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - INFERENCE - MODEL - FORMAT - |
| | Al models will be trained in the cloud and then deployed on the node | True/False | TBD | FO_BEEA | ADAPTABLETTY - AL - SW - LEARNING/ TRAINING - LOCATION - CLOUD |
| UC8_KPI_FT_07 | deployed on the node The Al models use supervised learning for training | True/False | TRD | F7_DEEA | ADAPTABLETT - AL - SW - LEARNING/ TRAINING - LOCATION - OTHER ADAPTABLITY - AL - SW - LEARNING / TRAINING - |
| UC8 KPL FT 09 | Vitis is able to import and execute YOLO algorithms for | True/False | TBD | F9 BEEA | PARADIGM - SUPERVISED LEARNING ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING / TRAINING - |
| UC8 KPI FT 10 | KRIA platform Vitis is able to import and deploy convolutional neural | True/False | TBD | F10 BEEA | ALGORITHMS - YOLO ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING/ TRAINING - |
| UC8_KPI_FT_11 | networks for KRIA platform Vitis is able to import and deploy artificial neural | True/False | TBD | F11_BEEA | ALGORITHMS - CNN ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING/ TRAINING - |
| UC8_KPI_FT_12 | networks for Versal platform Vitis is able to import and deploy graph neural | True/False | TBD | F12_BEEA | ALGORITHMS - ANN ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LEARNING/ TRAINING - |
| UC8_KPI_FT_13 | networks for Versal platform Edge node provides the library Tensorflow - Keras | True/False | TBD | F13_BEEA | ALGORITHMS - GNN ADAPTABILITY - AI - SW - LIBRARY - TENSORFLOW - KERAS |
| | Edge node provides the library Carroll | True /E-las | TPD | F14 DE54 | |
| UC8_KPI_FI_14 | Edge node provides the library OpenCV | True/False | TRD | F14_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - AL - SW - LIBRARY - UPENCV |
| UC8_KPI_FI_15 | Edge node provides the library PuTerch | True/False | TPD | F15_BEEA | |
| UC8 KPL FT 17 | Service orchestration part of the fleet management | True/False | TRD | F17 REEA | |
| 000_KFI_FI_1/ | system | riue/raise | 100 | TT/_DECA | ADALTADETT - JENVICES ONCRESTRATION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_18 | Edge node adapts to various predefined scenarios | True/False | TBD | F18_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - OPERATION MODE CHANGE - METASCHEDULING - SYSTEM RECONFIGURATION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_19 | Edge node is fault tolerant | True/False | TBD | F19_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - OPERATION MODE CHANGE - METASCHEDULING - FAULT TOLERANCE |
| UC8_KPI_FT_20 | Edge node adapts to required load level with different low power approaches | True/False | TBD | F20_BEEA | ADAPTABILITY - OPERATION MODE CHANGE - METASCHEDULING - LOW POWER |
| UC8_KPI_FT_21 | Al model for object detection have to be validated concerning the accuracy | > 95 % | TBD | F21_BEEA | RELIABILITY - AI MODEL - ACCURACY / VALIDATION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_22 | TT off chip comm. required for safe communication between the edge node | True/False | TBD | F22_BEEA | SAFETY - TIME TRIGGERED COMMUNICATION - OFF CHIP |
| UC8_KPI_FT_23 | TT on chip comm. required for safety monitoring the node level of an edge node | True/False | TBD | F23_BEEA | SAFETY - TIME TRIGGERED COMMUNICATION - ON CHIP |
| UC8_KPI_FI_24 | detection | True/False | TBD | F24_BEEA | |
| UC8_KPI_FT_25 | Scheduling services on node level to provide fail-safe | True/False | TBD | F25_BEEA | TIME TRIGGERED NOC |
| UC8 KPL FT 27 | operation Safe wireless communication between nodes | True/False | TBD | F27 BEFA | SAFETY - REDUNDANCY - COMMUNICATION MESSAGES |
| UC8_KPI_FT_28 | Safety service is required for evaluation of the object detection | True/False | TBD | F28_BEEA | SAFETY - REALTIME AWARE - NON-INTERRUPTABLE PROCESSES |
| UC8_KPI_FT_29 | Scheduling services on node level to provide fail-safe operation | True/False | TBD | F29_BEEA | SAFETY - REALTIME AWARE - HW FAILURE INTERRUPTS |
| UC8_KPI_FT_30 | Edge node must provide a degration level for processes | True/False | TBD | F30_BEEA | SAFETY - PROCESS SCHEDULING - SYSTEM DEGRADATION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_31 | Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Generic | True/False | TBD | F31_BEEA | SAFETY - REGULATION - ISO 61508 - Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety- related Systems |
| UC8_KPI_FT_32 UC8_KPI_FT_33 | Part of the meta scheduling approach Battery level of the shuttle will be tracked for data | True/False True/False | TBD TBD | F32_BEEA F33_BEEA | LOW POWER - SCHEDULING SERVICES CONTEXT-AWARENESS - SENSORS - BATTERY LEVEL |
| UC8_KPI_FT_34 | collection Shuttle edge node requires cameras for environmental | 10 fps | TBD | F34_BEEA | CONTEXT-AWARENESS - SENSORS - CAMERA |
| UC8_KPI_FT_35 | awareness Shuttle edge node utilizes sensors for positioning in the | True/False | TBD | F35_BEEA | CONTEXT-AWARENESS - SENSORS - POSITION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_36 | Shuttle edge node utilizes sensors for fine positioning | True/False | TBD | F36_BEEA | CONTEXT-AWARENESS - SENSOR NETWORK - RELATIVE |
| UC8_KPI_FT_37 | Al model for object detection via cameras for the shuttles | True/False | TBD | F37_BEEA | CONTEXT-AWARENESS - ACTIONS - OBJECT DETECTION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_38 | Al model for object detection triggers on detection and generates an alarm | True/False | TBD | F38_BEEA | CONTEXT-AWARENESS - ACTIONS - AI TRIGGERED - ALARM |
| UC8_KPI_FT_39 | Deployed design and models has to be verified during boot process | True/False | TBD | F39_BEEA | SECURITY - BOOT - FIRMWARE VERIFICATION |
| UC8_KPI_FT_40 | Connection to higher-level processes, such as the mfc or for downloading diagnose data | True/False | TBD | F40_BEEA | FRACTALITY - COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY - TECHNOLOGIES - ETHERNET |
| UC8_KPI_FT_41 | Connection between nodes, Versal <> Kria | True/False | TBD | F41_BEEA | FRACTALITY - COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY - TECHNOLOGIES - WIFI |
| UC8_KPI_FT_42 | Data protocoll between nodes will be MQTT | True/False | TBD | F42_BEEA | FRACTALITY - COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY - DATA PROTOCOLS - MQTT |
| UC8_KPI_FT_43 | Fleet management system service orchestration | True/False | TBD | F43_BEEA | FRACTALITY - ORCHESTRATION - SERVICES |
| UC8_KPI_FT_44 | Fleet management system data orchestration | True/False | TBD | F44_BEEA | FRACTALITY - ORCHESTRATION - DATA |
| UC8_KPI_FT_45 | Fleet management system model orchestration | True/False | TBD | F45_BEEA | FRACTALITY - ORCHESTRATION - MODEL |
| UC8_KPI_FT_46 | Hierarchical architecture on system level of the edge nodes | True/False | TBD | F46_BEEA | FRACTALITY - HIERARCHICAL ARCHITECTURE |
| UC8_KPI_FT_47 | Versal node will be implemented in the lift node | True/False | TBD | F47_BEEA | OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - PLATFORM (SELECT ONE) - VERSAL - ARM |
| UC8_KPI_FT_48 | Kria node (Zynq Ultrascale + MPSoC) will be implented in the shutte nodes | True/False | TBD | F48_BEEA | OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - PLATFORM (SELECT ONE) - ZYNQ ULTRASCALE+ (VERSAL ALTERNATIVE) |
| UC8_KPI_FT_49 | Edge nodes execute a Linux OS | True/False | TBD | F49_BEEA | OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL - OS - LINUX |
| Figure 88 | - KPIs for UC8 Implementation I | Plan to | mea | sure the | contribution to FRACTAL Features |

Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | | |

7.6 Implementation plan

7.6.1 Architecture

7.6.1.1 FRACTAL Big Picture

The use case integrates several FRACTAL components from the edge and from the cloud. Those components can be seen in the Figure 89 within the context of FRACTAL Big Picture representation.


| 1982 P.2. | Project | FRACTAL | |
|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

The main components for UC8 are implemented in the edge nodes and related to the swarm intelligence and the extended functional safety aspect. On the other side cloud components bring the opportunity for a fleet management system, to manage single or multiple swarms per customer with custom specifications. An architecture for the test setup is shown in Figure 90 with green boxes for the FRACTAL components.





7.6.2 Tasks

7.6.2.1 Chronogram

Figure 91 shows the implementation plan tasks and chronogram for UC8. It is basically divided into four main tasks with subtasks. Sections to follow describe the tasks in some detail.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 145 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL |
|---------|-----------|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 |

| | Implementation Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Task ID | Description | M19 | M20 | M21 | M22 | M23 | M24 | M25 | M26 | M27 | M28 | M29 | M30 | M31 | M32 | M33 | M34 | M35 | M36 |
| UC8_T1 | Basic target environment setup (Versal node and Kria node) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_1 | Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Versal node) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_2 | Build AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_3 | Test AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_4 | Build shuttle orchestrator application | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_5 | Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Kria node) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_6 | Build object detection model for target (Kria - ARM) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_7 | Test object detection model on target | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_8 | Build zone evaluation logic application | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_9 | Setup cloud service orchestrator | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T1_10 | Build demonstration software for test setup | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T2 | Preparation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T2_1 | Model training (Versal node) - Orchestrator | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T2_2 | Model training (Kria node) - Object detection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T3 | Integration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T3_1 | Integration of HW and SW base functionalities in the test setup | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T3_2 | Test basic functionalities (shuttle control, lift control, interfaces) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T3_3 | Test extended functionalities (FRACTAL edge components) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T3_4 | Test cloud services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T4 | System Evaluation/ Benchmark | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UC8_T4_1 | Metrics Calculation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100_14_1 | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |

Figure 91 - UC8 implementation plan

7.6.2.2 Task UC8_T1 - Basic target environment setup (Versal node and Kria node)

The sub tasks under this task are devoted to implement the prime basic scenario of UC8 that involves only the Edge Versal based node of FRACTAL.

7.6.2.2.1 Sub Task: UC8_T1_1 - Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Versal node)

This task consists of preparing the hardware design and then testing for the versal edge node in UC8. The focus is on preparing hardware interfaces of the board in Vivado, which are required for the basic operation and the successful import in Vitis AI.

7.6.2.2.2Sub Task: UC8_T1_2 - Build AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM)Build and deploy of the orchestrator on versal board.

7.6.2.2.3 Sub Task: UC8_T1_3 – Test AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM) Test of the orchestrator and predictions check.

7.6.2.2.4 Sub Task: UC8_T1_4 – Build shuttle orchestrator application Finalizing orchestrator model and merging with hardware build, including control services.

7.6.2.2.5 Sub Task: UC8_T1_5 – Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Kria node) This task consists of preparing the hardware design and then testing for the kria edge node in UC8. The focus is on preparing hardware interfaces of the board in Vivado, which are required for the basic operation and the successful import in Vitis AI.

7.6.2.2.6 Sub Task: UC8_T1_6 – Build object detection model for target (Kria - ARM) Build and deploy object detection model on the kria board.

7.6.2.2.7 Sub Task: UC8_T1_7 – Test object detection model on target

Test of the object detection model on the kria board. Internal comparison of YOLO and CNN.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 146 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.2.2.8 Sub Task: UC8_T1_8 – Build zone evaluation logic application Build zone evaluation logic for object detection model on the kria board.

7.6.2.2.9 Sub Task: UC8_T1_9 – Setup cloud service orchestrator

Provide private cloud and setup of the fleet management system.

7.6.2.2.10 Sub Task: UC8_T1_10 - Build demonstration software for test setup Merging of components.

- Versal board: Orchestrator and control services based on own hardware design.
- Kria board: Object detection + evaluation and control services based on own hardware design.
- Cloud: Fleet management system preparation for the test setup.

7.6.2.3 Task UC8_T2 – Preparation

7.6.2.3.1 Sub Task: UC8_T2_1 - Model training (Versal node) – Orchestrator

Training of the model with self-generated data over the test setup and the scenario generator.

7.6.2.3.2 Sub Task: UC8_T2_2 - Model training (Kria node) - Object detection Training of the model with public datasets.

7.6.2.4 Task UC8_T3 – Integration

7.6.2.4.1 Sub Task: UC8_T3_1 - Integration of HW and SW base functionalities in the test setup Integration of demonstration software and implementation of versal board in the control cabinet. Same for kria board, regarding the shuttles. Integration of the fleet management system in the edge nodes as well.

7.6.2.4.2 Sub Task: UC8_T3_2 - Test basic functionalities (shuttle control, lift control, interfaces) Testing of all basic functionalities, to ensure the core functions are implemented successfully before exploring the extended functionalities.

7.6.2.4.3 Sub Task: UC8_T3_3 - Test extended functionalities (FRACTAL edge components) Testing of FRACTAL edge components in the test setup.

7.6.2.4.4 Sub Task: UC8_T3_4 - Test cloud services

Testing of FRACTAL cloud components in the test setup.

7.6.2.5 Task UC8_T4 - System Evaluation/ Benchmark

7.6.2.5.1 Sub Task: UC8_T4_1 - Metrics Calculation

This task consists of calculating the metrics results. The Test of the KPI associated to this task will consist of several criterions, not only accuracy and fps, but also criterions such as safety, updating from cloud, model management, system throughput etc.

| Сору | right © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 147 of 193 |
|------|------------------------------------|------------|
|------|------------------------------------|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.3 Components

This section summarizes the components involved in the Implementation Plan. All the components listed here have been extracted from Tab *Components* in the general Excel defined in WP1 *FRACTAL - Requirements_KPIs_Components.xlsx.*

Components are basically divided into two groups:

- **Components produced by the UC** resulting from executing the Implementation Plan.
- **Common FRACTAL Components** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are needed to execute the Implementation Plan.

Following two sub sections list these components.

7.6.3.1 Components produced by the Implementation Plan

These components (Figure 92), *are produced by executing the tasks* of the Implementation Plan.

| UC Components | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| KPI ID | Name | Description | | | | | | |
| UC8_CMP_01 | Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (VERSAL and KRIA) | FPGA Hardware design ready for Internal communication between components like IOs and motors. | | | | | | |
| UC8_CMP_02 | Evaluation of object detection | Safety relevant evaluation logic and connection to the safety plc | | | | | | |
| UC8_CMP_03 | Al accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler | Warehouse optimization and pathfinding based on the metascheduler | | | | | | |
| UC8_CMP_04 | Cloud service orchestration | Fleet management system | | | | | | |
| UC8_CMP_05 | Integrated demonstration software on target | Demonstration of software running in the test setup | | | | | | |

Figure 92 - Components produced by the execution of UC8 Implementation Plan

7.6.3.2 FRACTAL components needed to execute the Implementation Plan

These components (Figure 93), *are* **Common FRACTAL Components** (from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6) that are **needed to execute the Implementation Plan**.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 148 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |



| FRACTAL Com | ponents needed by the UC |
|--------------|---|
| KPI ID | Name |
| WP3T32-10 | VERSAL accelerator building-blocks |
| | |
| WP3T34-03 | Versal Model deployment layer |
| | |
| WP4T41-02 | HATMA |
| WP4T41-04 | Versal RPU access for Power Services |
| WP4T41-05 | Agreement protocol for Low-Power Services |
| WP4T41-06 | Versal Isolation Design - Functional Safety |
| | |
| WP4T42-02 | Versal RPU access to AI acceleration |
| WP4T42-03 | Scenario Generator |
| WP4T42-04 | GA-Scheduler |
| WP4T42-05 | AI-Scheduler Model |
| WP4T42-06 | Schedule Verifier |
| WP4T42-07 | Hierarchical Metascheduler |
| | |
| WP4T43-04 | ATTNoC |
| WP4T43-06 | FPGA Fault-injector |
| WP4T43-08 | Seamless redundancy for ATTNoC |
| WP4T43-11 | Time-Triggered Extension Layer for VERSAL NoC |
| WP4T43-13 | Safety Analysis |
| | |
| WP4T44-02 | |
| 1111102 | OS Security Layer |
| | |
| WP5T52-04-05 | Datasets version control |
| WP5T52-04-07 | Images repository |
| WP5T52-05-02 | Data pipelines and workflows orchestrator |
| WP5T52-06-01 | Model preparation for Fractal Edge (Versal Xilin |
| | |
| WP5T54-01-01 | MLBuffet |
| WDETEA 02.02 | We have a teacher and Constational Oracle a stantage from t |

WP5T54-02-02 Kubernetes-based Container Orchestrators for the

Figure 93 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6 needed to execute UC8 Implementation Plan.

7.6.4 Traceability relationships of Tasks-Components-KPIs

Finally, this section *links together tasks*, *components* and *KPIs*. For each Task, the following traceability-relationships are given:

- Components
 - \circ IN Components Input components needed by the task.
 - OUT Components Output components produced by the task.
- KPIs for UC Implementation Plan
- KPIs for FRACTAL Objectives & Features

Following sub sections detail this information for each task.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 149 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.1 T1 - Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Versal node)

7.6.4.1.1Sub Task: UC8_T1_1 - Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Versal node)Figure 94 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_1:

| IN Comp | OUT Comp | KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| WP3T32-10 WP3T34-03 WP4T41-02 WP4T41-04 WP4T41-05 WP4T42-02 WP4T42-03 WP4T42-04 WP4T42-05 WP4T42-06 | UC8_CMP_01 | UC8_KPI_IP_02 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_01 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_02 | Duty cycle of control software (Versal) with design "The edge node should have following hard specification: - at least 2 cores @ 800 MHz - at least 4 GB RAM - at least eMMC Memory or similar." "These communication protocols shall be us Linux OS: - MQTT over WiFi mesh network for commu between nodes | target ware sed from nication | < 20 ms True/False True/False |
| WP4T42-07 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 | | UC8_KPI_IP_Req_07 | CAN Bus for internal communication." The node shall feature Linux operating systemed time capability (e.g. time-triggered communication capabilities). Safety wireless communication should be operational structure in the st | em with | True/False True/False |
| WP4T43-13 WP4T44-02 | | UC8_KPI_IP_Req_09 | black channel (ASIL 3, ISO 26262) between n For the edge nodes a cross compiler shall be available to port control software. | odes. | True/False |
| KPI ID | KPI Descri | iption | * | Value | _ Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_ | 01Edge node h05The AI mode11Vitis is able t12Vitis is able t13Edge node a19Edge node a20Edge node a21Required for23Required for24Scheduling s25Scheduling s30Edge node m32Part of the m33Deployed de40Connection t41Connection t42Data protoco44Edge nodes a49Edge nodes a41Cycle time o42implemente | as CAN Bus connectivi Is will be prepared fo o import and deploy a o import and deploy a dapts to various prede fault tolerant dapts to required load safe communication is afety monitoring the or the TTNOC on the e s communication between ervices on node level nust provide a degratic net a scheduling appro- sign and models has t to higher-level proces a between nodes, Versa all between nodes will architecture on syster will be implemented execute a Linux OS f services on edge noo d and running. (VERSA | ty r the VERSAL platform artificial neural networks for Versal platform graph neural networks for Versal platform effined scenarios I level with different low power approaches between the edge node e node level of an edge node edge veen nodes to provide fail-safe operation on level for processes ach o be verified during boot process ses, such as the mfc or for downloading al <> Kria I be MQTT n level of the edge nodes in the lift node de with accelerated orchestrator AL) | True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals Crue/Fals True/Fals True/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals Crue/Fals | e e e e e e e e e e e e duty cycle e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |

Figure 94 - Task UC8_T1_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.1.2 Sub Task: UC8_T1_2 – Build AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM)Figure 95 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_2:

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| WP4T41-02 WP4T42-02 WP4T42-03 WP4T42-04 WP4T42-05 WP4T42-06 WP4T42-07 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 WP5T54-02-02 | | UC8_KPI_IP_03 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_05 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_06 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_10 | Build AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (V ARM) The edge node shall be able to use an adap orchestrator (scheduler) for storing strateg optimized pathfinding for each shuttle dep material (weight, type), frequency of reque division of same type in different levels for alternative access/ faster access on big orde amount. The edge node shall offer optimized pathfi Improving path of the shuttles, for different scenarios; obstacle in same layer; malfunct shuttle; avoiding crossing in same level. The node shall feature Linux operating syst real time capability (e.g. time-triggered communication capabilities). The edge node shall support libraries, like Tensorflow/ Keras. | 'ersal - tive ies and vending on ests, r er nding: it tion of a sem with | True/False True/False True/False |
| KPI ID | KPI | Description | | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_C UC8_KPI_FT_C UC8_KPI_FT_C UC8_KPI_FT_C UC8_KPI_FT_C UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 | 22 Edge 24 The A 25 The A 26 Al mc 27 Al mc 28 The A 208 The A 201 Vitis i 201 platfc 212 Vitis i 213 Edge 214 Edge 22 Requi 23 Requi 211 Conni 212 Data 216 Hiera | node has AI/ ML accel I model are located in I models will be prepa odels will be trained in odels will be trained on I models use supervise s able to import and d orm s able to import and d orm node provides the libr node provides the libr node provides the libr node adapts to variou ired for safe communid red for safety monitor ection between nodes protocoll between nodes | erator the node red for the VERSAL platform the cloud and then deployed on the node of a device and then deployed on the node ed learning for training eploy artificial neural networks for Versal eploy graph neural networks for Versal ary Tensorflow - Keras ary NumPy ary PyTorch s predefined scenarios cation between the edge node ing the node level of an edge node , Versal <> Kria des will be MQTT o system level of the edge nodes | True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa True/Falsa | True/False |

Figure 95 - Task UC8_T1_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.1.3 Sub Task: UC8_T1_3 – Test AA - shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal - ARM) Figure 96 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_3:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 151 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| IN Comp | UT Com | KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| WP4T41-02 WP4T41-04 WP4T42-02 WP4T42-03 WP4T42-04 WP4T42-05 WP4T42-05 WP4T42-07 WP4T43-06 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 WP5T54-02- 02 | | UC8_KPI_IP_04 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_05 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_06 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_10 | Inference time of predictions - shuttle orch (Versal - ARM) The edge node shall be able to use an adap orchestrator (scheduler) for storing strateg optimized pathfinding for each shuttle dep material (weight, type), frequency of reque division of same type in different levels for alternative access/ faster access on big ord amount. The edge node shall offer optimized pathfi Improving path of the shuttles, for different scenarios; obstacle in same layer; malfunct shuttle; avoiding crossing in same level. The node shall feature Linux operating syst real time capability (e.g. time-triggered communication capabilities). The edge node shall support libraries, like Tensorflow/ Keras. | estrator tive ies and ending on ests, r er nding: it tion of a em with | < 2 s True/False True/False True/False |
| KPI ID | КРІ | Description | | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_0 UC8_KPI_FT_0 UC8_KPI_FT_0 UC8_KPI_FT_0 UC8_KPI_FT_0 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_1 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_2 UC8_KPI_FT_4 UC8_KPI_FT_4 UC8_KPI_FT_4 | 2 Edge 4 The A 15 The A 16 Al mo 17 Al mo 18 The A 108 The A 108 The A 11 Vitis i platfc 12 Vitis i platfc 13 Edge 14 Edge 15 Edge 16 Edge 18 Edge 12 Requi 13 Requi 11 Connu 12 Data 16 Hiera | node has AI/ ML accel I model are located in I models will be prepar idels will be trained in idels will be trained on I models use supervise is able to import and do form node provides the libra node provides the libra node provides the libra node adapts to various red for safe communication red for safety monitor ection between nodes, protocoll between noo rchical architecture on | erator the node red for the VERSAL platform the cloud and then deployed on the node a device and then deployed on the node ed learning for training eploy artificial neural networks for Versal eploy graph neural networks for Versal ary Tensorflow - Keras ary NumPy ary PyTorch s predefined scenarios cation between the edge node ing the node level of an edge node , Versal <> Kria des will be MQTT a system level of the edge nodes | True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False True/False | True/False |

Figure 96 - Task UC8_T1_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.1.4Sub Task: UC8_T1_4 - Build shuttle orchestrator application

Figure 97 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_4:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 152 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | * | Value |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| WP4T41-02 WP4T41-04 WP4T42-02 WP4T42-03 WP4T42-04 WP4T42-05 | UC8_CM P_03 | UC8_KPI_IP_01 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_05 | All subtask success - Versal node The edge node shall be able to use an adap orchestrator (scheduler) for storing strateg optimized pathfinding for each shuttle dep material (weight, type), frequency of requ division of same type in different levels fo alternative access/ faster access on big ord amount. The edge node shall offer optimized pathfi | tive ies and ending on ests, r er nding: | True/False True/False |
| WP4T42-07 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 | | UC8_KPI_IP_Req_06 | Improving path of the shuttles, for different scenarios; obstacle in same layer; malfunc shuttle; avoiding crossing in same level. The node shall feature Linux operating syst real time capability (e.g. time-triggered communication capabilities). | tion of a | True/False |
| KPI ID | | Description | v | Value | Test |
| _KPI_FO_ | Real- 04 pre-c stora | time inference for m lefined events and m ge strategies for diffe | eta scheduler, which can react on various ake safe decisions for pathfinding and erent goods. | <2s | Meassure inference time |

Figure 97 - Task UC8_T1_4 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.1.5Sub Task: UC8_T1_5 - Prepare hardware setup for Vitis AI on target (Kria node)Figure 98 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_5:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 153 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | PI ID KPI Description | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| WP3T34-03 WP4T41-04 WP4T41-05 WP4T41-06 | | UC8_KPI_IP_06 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_01 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_02 | C8_KPI_IP_06 Duty cycle of control software (Kria) with target design. C8_KPI_IP_Req_01 "The edge node should have following hardware specification: - at least 2 cores @ 800 MHz - at least 4 GB RAM - at least eMMC Memory or similar." "These communication protocols shall be used from | | |
| WP4141-00 WP4T42-02 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-06 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 WP4T43-13 | UC8_CM P_01 | UC8_KPI_IP_Req_07 | Linux OS: - MQTT over WiFi mesh network for commun between nodes - CAN Bus for internal communication." The node shall feature Linux operating system time capability (e.g. time-triggered communi- capabilities). | ication n with real cation | True/False |
| WP4144-02 | | UC8_KPI_IP_Req_08 | Safety wireless communication should be over channel (ASIL 3, ISO 26262) between nodes. | er a black | True/False |
| | | | port control software. | | True/Faise |
| KPI ID | ▼ KPI | Description | - | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_ | 01 Edge 03 Edge 13 Edge 13 Edge 14 Edge 15 Requ 20 Requ 21 Requ 22 Requ 23 Requ 24 Safe 25 Self 26 Sche 27 Safe 28 Safe 30 Edge 31 Safe 32 Schut 33 Batta 34 Shut 35 Shut 36 Shut 37 Data 48 Kria shut Edge 40 Edge 41 Cort 42 Sage | node has CAN Bus co node is capable of re mus in real-time node provides the li uired for safe commun uired for safety monit ty service is required testing for the TTNOC duling services on no wireless communicat ty service is required duling services on no node must provide a ty Regulation ISO 615 ery level of the shuttl the edge node required the edge node utilizes oyed design and mode protocoll between node protocoll between node archical architecture of node (Zynq Ultrascale the nodes nodes execute a Linu e time of services on of ication implemented | onnectivity eal time applications and process camera brary Tensorflow - Keras nication between the edge node for evaluation of the object detection C on the edge de level to provide fail-safe operation tion between nodes for evaluation of the object detection de level to provide fail-safe operation to between nodes for evaluation of the object detection de level to provide fail-safe operation a degration level for processes 08 Generic e will be tracked for data collection es cameras for environmental awareness s sensors for positioning in the racking s sensors for fine positioning to the totes dels has to be verified during boot process es, Versal <> Kria odes will be MQTT on system level of the edge nodes e + MPSoC) will be implemented in the ux OS edge node with accurate cognitive Al and running. (KRIA) | True/False | Meassure duty cycle |

Figure 98 - Task UC8_T1_5 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.1.6Sub Task: UC8_T1_6 - Build object detection model for target (Kria - ARM)Figure 99 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_6:

| KPI ID | | KPI Description | - | V | /alue |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| UC8_KPI_IP_(UC8_KPI_IP_(UC8_KPI_IP_I UC8_KPI_IP_I | 07 09 Req_04 Req_10 | Build OpenCV for target (Kria - ARM) success Build object detection application success The edge node shall be capable to detect object (human body and other obstacles) from video in stream of the provided cameras and evaluate th detected object to generate a safe output, if the obstacle is in a defined range of the shuttle. The edge node shall support libraries, like Tensorflow/ Keras. | s nput e | Tru Tru Tru Tru | e/False e/False e/False e/False |
| KPI ID | KPI De | scription | Value | 2 | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_02 UC8_KPI_FT_03 UC8_KPI_FT_04 UC8_KPI_FT_05 UC8_KPI_FT_05 UC8_KPI_FT_07 UC8_KPI_FT_07 UC8_KPI_FT_09 UC8_KPI_FT_10 UC8_KPI_FT_13 UC8_KPI_FT_14 UC8_KPI_FT_21 UC8_KPI_FT_21 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_23 UC8_KPI_FT_24 UC8_KPI_FT_24 UC8_KPI_FT_31 UC8_KPI_FT_31 UC8_KPI_FT_37 UC8_KPI_FT_38 | Edge noc Edge noc streams The AI m The AI m AI mode node AI mode node The AI m Vitis is al platform Vitis is al platform Vitis is al RRIA plat Edge noc Edge noc AI mode accuracy Requirec Safety se Safety se Safety se Safety se | the has AI/ ML accelerator the is capable of real time applications and process camera in real-time odel are located in the node odels will be prepared for the VERSAL platform Is will be trained in the cloud and then deployed on the ls will be trained on a device and then deployed on the odels use supervised learning for training ole to import and execute YOLO algorithms for KRIA ole to import and deploy convolutional neural networks for tform the provides the library Tensorflow - Keras the provides the library OpenCV I for object detection have to be validated concerning the d for safet monitoring the node level of an edge node ervice is required for evaluation of the object detection ervice is required for evalue is the object detection ervice is required for evalue is the obje | True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I True/I | False False False False False False False False False False False False False False False False False False False | Accuracy Inference time |

Figure 99 - Task UC8_T1_6 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.1.7 Sub Task: UC8_T1_7 – Test object detection model on target Figure 100 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_7:

| IN Comp | IT Com | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| WP3T34-03 WP4T42-02 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 WP4T43-13 | | UC8_KPI_IP_10 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_04 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_10 | Model accuracy of the object detection The edge node shall be capable to detect (human body and other obstacles) from stream of the provided cameras and eval detected object to generate a safe outpu obstacle is in a defined range of the shut The edge node shall support libraries, lik Tensorflow/ Keras. | objects video input uate the t, if the tle. e | > 95 % True/False True/False |
| KPI ID | KPI | Description | | Value | _ Test |
| UC8_KPI_FT_02 UC8_KPI_FT_02 UC8_KPI_FT_04 UC8_KPI_FT_06 UC8_KPI_FT_06 UC8_KPI_FT_06 UC8_KPI_FT_06 UC8_KPI_FT_12 UC8_KPI_FT_12 UC8_KPI_FT_14 UC8_KPI_FT_14 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_22 UC8_KPI_FT_32 UC8_KPI_FT_32 UC8_KPI_FT_32 UC8_KPI_FT_32 | Edge Strea The A The A The A The A A I mode A I mode A I mode A I mode The A Vitis Platfo Vitis Compared A I mode A I mo | node has AI/ ML acce node is capable of re ms in real-time I model are located i models will be prep odels will be trained i odels will be trained i bdels will be trained of the same of the trained of the same of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained trained for the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of the trained of trained of the trained of trained o | elerator al time applications and process camera in the node pared for the VERSAL platform in the cloud and then deployed on the on a device and then deployed on the ised learning for training execute YOLO algorithms for KRIA deploy convolutional neural networks for brary Tensorflow - Keras brary OpenCV tion have to be validated concerning the nication between the edge node oring the node level of an edge node for evaluation of the object detection for evaluation of the object detection 28 Generic es cameras for environmental awareness tion via cameras for the shuttles | True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals True/Fals | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |

Figure 100 - Task UC8_T1_7 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.1.8Sub Task: UC8_T1_8 - Build zone evaluation logic applicationFigure 101 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_8:

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | Y | Value |
|--|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| WP3T34-03 WP4T42-02 WP4T43-04 WP4T43-08 WP4T43-11 WP4T43-13 | UC8_CM P_02 | UC8_KPI_IP_05 UC8_KPI_IP_11 UC8_KPI_IP_12 UC8_KPI_IP_13 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_04 | All subtask success - Kria node Build zone evaluation logic application success Inference time of object detection Failure rate of connection between FPGA and The edge node shall be capable to detect obje (human body and other obstacles) from video stream of the provided cameras and evaluate detected object to generate a safe output, if t obstacle is in a defined range of the shuttle. | s safety plc cts input the he | True/ False True/ False < 100 ms % True/ False |
| KPI ID | КРІ | Description | | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_ | 05 Real-1 and a | ime inference for obje ccelerators implement | ect detection on edge node with all services ted. | 10 fps | Inference time |

Figure 101 - Task UC8_T1_8 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.1.9 Sub Task: UC8_T1_9 – Setup cloud service orchestrator Figure 102 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T1_9:

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value 👻 |
|--|----------------|---------------|---|-------------|
| WP5T52-04-05 WP5T52-04-07 WP5T52-05-02 WP5T52-06-01 WP5T54-01-01 WP5T54-02-02 | UC8_CM P_04 | UC8_KPI_IP_14 | Setup cloud service orchestrator success | True/ False |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 157 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| KPI ID | KPI Description | Value | Test |
|---------------|---|------------|------|
| UC8_KPI_FT_17 | Part of the fleet management system | True/False | TBD |
| UC8_KPI_FT_43 | Fleet management system service orchestration | True/False | |
| UC8_KPI_FT_44 | Fleet management system data orchestration | True/False | |
| UC8_KPI_FT_45 | Fleet management system model orchestration | True/False | |

Figure 102 - Task UC8_T1_9 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| 7.6.4.1.10 | Sub Task | UC8_T1_10 - | Build demonstratio | n software for test setup |
|------------|----------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Figure 10 | 3 shows | traceability | relationships for | Task UC8_T1_10: |

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | * | Value |
|--|----------------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|
| UC8_CMP_01 UC8_CMP_02 UC8_CMP_03 UC8_CMP_04 | UC8_CM P_05 | UC8_KPI_IP_08 | Build demonstration softw success | are on target | True/ False |
| KPI ID | ▼ KPI | Description | | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_(| 00 Fract the a | al technology helps rt in the intralogistic | to improve the state of as industry | True/False | TBD |

Figure 103 - Task UC8_T1_10 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.2 Task UC8_T2 – Preparation

7.6.4.2.1 Sub Task: UC8_T2_1 - Model training (Versal node) – Orchestrator Figure 104 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T2_1:

| IN Comp | UT Cor | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
|------------|--------|---------------|--|-------|
| UC8_CMP_03 | | UC8_KPI_IP_04 | Inference time of predictions - shuttle orchestrator (Versal - ARM) | < 2 s |

Figure 104 - Task UC8_T2_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

There are no KPIs regarding the FRACTAL objectives or features.

7.6.4.2.2 Sub Task: UC8_T2_2 - Model training (Kria node) - Object detection Figure 105 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T2_2:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 158 of 193 |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| IN Comp | UT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
|------------|--------|---------------|--|--------|
| UC8_CMP_02 | | UC8_KPI_IP_10 | Model accuracy of the object detection | > 95 % |

Figure 105 - Task UC8_T2_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

There are no KPIs regarding the FRACTAL objectives or features.

7.6.4.3 Task UC8_T3 – Integration

7.6.4.3.1 Sub Task: UC8_T3_1 - Integration of HW and SW base functionalities in the test setup Figure 106 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T3_1:

| IN Comp_UT Co | KPI ID | KPI Description | Value |
|--|---|---|---|
| UC8_CMP_01 UC8_CMP_02 UC8_CMP_03 UC8_CMP_04 | UC8_KPI_IP_01 UC8_KPI_IP_05 UC8_KPI_IP_14 | All subtask success - Versal node All subtask success - Kria node Setup cloud service orchestrator success | True/False True/False True/ False |

Figure 106 - Task UC8_T3_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

There are no KPIs regarding the FRACTAL objectives or features.

7.6.4.3.2 Sub Task: UC8_T3_2 - Test basic functionalities (shuttle control, lift control, interfaces) Figure 107 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T3_2:

| IN Comp | UT Com | KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| UC8_CMP_01 | | UC8_KPI_IP_02 UC8_KPI_IP_06 UC8_KPI_IP_13 | Duty cycle of control softw with target design Duty cycle of control softw with target design Failure rate of connection I FPGA and safety plc | are (Versal) are (Kria) petween | < 20 ms < 20 ms % |
| KPI ID | Ţ KPI | Description | | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_ UC8_KPI_FO_ | 01 Cycle acce runn 02 Cycle accu | e time of services o lerated orchestrato ing. (VERSAL) e time of services o rate cognitive AI ap | on edge node with or implemented and on edge node with oplication implemented | < 20 ms < 20 ms | Meassure duty cycle |
| UC8_KPI_FO_ | 06 Safe | running. (KRIA) wireless communi | cation between nodes. | % telegram losses | |

Figure 107 - Task UC8_T3_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.6.4.3.3Sub Task: UC8_T3_3 - Test extended functionalities (FRACTAL components)Figure 108 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T3_3:

| IN Comp_UT | ۲ Corr KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------|
| UC8_CMP_01 UC8_CMP_02 UC8_CMP_03 | UC8_KPI_IP_04 UC8_KPI_IP_10 | Predictions of AA - shuttle compared to conventional (Versal - ARM) Model accuracy of the obje | orchestrator solution ct detection | < 2 s > 95 % |
| KPI ID | KPI Description | • | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_03 | Self-sufficient decisior respect to functional s degration steps. High a required. | ns for each shuttle in afety and additional accuracy in detection is | > 95 % | |
| UC8_KPI_FO_04 | Real-time inference for can react on various pr make safe decisions for storage strategies for c | r meta scheduler, which e-defined events and r pathfinding and lifferent goods. | < 2 s | TBD |
| UC8_KPI_FO_05 | Real-time inference for edge node with all ser implemented. | r object detection on vices and accelerators | 10 fps | |

Figure 108 - Task UC8_T3_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.3.4 Sub Task: UC8_T3_4 - Test cloud services

Figure 109 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T3_4:

| IN Comp | JT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | * | Value |
|--------------|--------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| UC8_CMP_04 | | UC8_KPI_IP_14 | Setup cloud service orches success | trator | True/ False |
| KPI ID | КЫ | Description | • | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_0 | 6 Safe | wireless communi | cation between nodes. | % telegram losses | TBD |

Figure 109 - Task UC8_T3_4 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

7.6.4.4 Task UC8_T4 - System Evaluation/ Benchmark

7.6.4.4.1 Sub Task: UC8_T4_1 - Metrics Calculation

Figure 110 shows traceability relationships for Task UC8_T4_1:

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 160 of 193 |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| IN Comp | JT Con | KPI ID | KPI Description | - | Value |
|--------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|-------|
| UC8_CMP_05 | - | | - | | - |
| KPI ID | - КЫ С | Description | ¥ | Value | Test |
| UC8_KPI_FO_0 | 0 Fracta | I technology help | s to improve the state | True/False | TBD |

Figure 110 - Task UC8_T4_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium 1 | 161 of 193 |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7 Justification plan

7.7.1 KPI evaluation method

This section defines the justification methods (like demonstrations, tests, simulations, calculations, etc.) for KPIs evaluation, Use Case Requirements validation and Components validation.

7.7.1.1 KPI for Implementation Plan

7.7.1.1.1 UC8_KPI_IP_01

- **Description**: All subtask success Versal node
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task succeed.

7.7.1.1.2 UC8_KPI_IP_02

- Description: Duty cycle of control software (Versal) with target design
- **Result type**: < 20 ms
- **Evaluation method**: Measuring the duty cycle, after all FRACTAL components implemented.

7.7.1.1.3 UC8_KPI_IP_03

- **Description**: Build AA shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal ARM)
- **Result type**: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if model successful build and deployed on Versal board.

7.7.1.1.4 UC8_KPI_IP_04

- **Description**: Inference time of predictions shuttle orchestrator (Versal ARM)
- Result type: < 2 s
- **Evaluation method**: KPI is True when the inference time of the orchestrator is below 2s.

7.7.1.1.5 UC8_KPI_IP_05

- Description: All subtask success Kria node
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: The KPI is True when all subtasks under the task succeed.

7.7.1.1.6 UC8_KPI_IP_06

- **Description**: Duty cycle of control software (Kria) with target design
- **Result type**: < 20 ms
- **Evaluation method**: Measuring the duty cycle, after all FRACTAL components implemented.

7.7.1.1.7 UC8_KPI_IP_07

- **Description**: Build OpenCV for target (Kria ARM) success
- **Result type**: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if successful build for target.

7.7.1.1.8 UC8_KPI_IP_08

- **Description**: Build demonstration software on target success
- **Result type**: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if all implementation tasks successful.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.1.1.9 UC8_KPI_IP_09

- **Description**: Build object detection application success
- **Result type**: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if model was built successful for target.

7.7.1.1.10 UC8_KPI_IP_10

- **Description**: Model accuracy of the object detection
- **Result type**: > 95 %
- **Evaluation method**: If accuracy of trained model higher then result type, then success.

7.7.1.1.11 UC8_KPI_IP_11

- **Description**: Build zone evaluation logic application success
- **Result type**: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if build and implementation on target successful.

7.7.1.1.12 UC8_KPI_IP_12

- **Description**: Inference time of object detection
- Result type: < 100 ms
- **Evaluation method**: If inference time of trained model better or equal to result type, then success.

7.7.1.1.13 UC8_KPI_IP_13

- **Description**: Failure rate of connection between FPGA and safety plc
- Result type: %
- **Evaluation method**: Diagnostic coverage > 99.9 %.

7.7.1.1.14 UC8_KPI_IP_14

- **Description**: Setup cloud service orchestrator success
- Result type: True/ False
- **Evaluation method**: True if setup successfully implemented in the test setup.

7.7.1.2 KPI for FRACTAL Objectives

7.7.1.2.1 UC8_KPI_FO_00

- **Description**: FRACTAL technology helps to improve the state of the art in the intralogistics industry
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: After collecting of system metrics, the comparison between state-of-the-art concept and FRACTAL concept will be evaluated. Key indicators for the system will be throughput, MTTF/ MTBF per shuttle, MTTR per shuttle, reached PL of new safety concept and availability of the system in %.

7.7.1.2.2 UC8_KPI_FO_01

- **Description**: Cycle time of services on edge node with accelerated orchestrator implemented and running. (Versal)
- **Result type**: < 20 ms
- **Evaluation method**: Measuring the duty cycle, after all FRACTAL components implemented.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.1.2.3 UC8_KPI_FO_02

- **Description**: Cycle time of services on edge node with accurate cognitive AI application implemented and running. (KRIA)
- **Result type**: < 20 ms
- **Evaluation method**: Measuring the duty cycle, after all FRACTAL components implemented.

7.7.1.2.4 UC8_KPI_FO_03

- **Description**: Self-sufficient decisions for each shuttle in respect to functional safety and additional degradation steps. High accuracy in detection is required.
- **Result type**: > 95 %
- **Evaluation method**: Accuracy of the object detection (person detection) will be crucial for the safety concept and must achieve in the target setup the highest possible accuracy.

7.7.1.2.5 UC8_KPI_FO_04

- **Description**: Real-time inference for meta scheduler, which can react on various predefined events and make safe decisions for pathfinding and storage strategies for different goods.
- **Result type**: < 2 s
- **Evaluation method**: The real-time inference of the adapted meta scheduler must be 2 s or lower, to be able to adapt to different scenarios, especially in bigger swarms.

7.7.1.2.6 UC8_KPI_FO_05

- **Description**: Real-time inference for object detection on edge node with all services and accelerators implemented.
- Result type: 10 fps
- **Evaluation method**: The real-time inference of the object detection model must achieve at 100 ms or faster, regarding the limited power source.

7.7.1.2.7 UC8_KPI_FO_06

- **Description**: Safe wireless communication between nodes.
- **Result type**: % telegram losses
- **Evaluation method**: Max. 5 telegrams per second are allowed for safety critical communication between edge nodes. Furthermore, the wireless communication must be also robust enough for model update processes from the cloud.

7.7.1.3 KPI for FRACTAL Features

7.7.1.3.1 UC8_KPI_FT_01 - Edge node has CAN Bus connectivity

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY EXTENSIBILITY PORT CONNECTION CAN BUS
- **Evaluation method**: Successful implementation of the CAN Bus interface on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.2 UC8_KPI_FT_02 - Edge node has AI/ ML accelerator

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI HW AI/ ML ACCELERATOR
- **Evaluation method**: Utilizing of AI accelerators for AI models.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 164 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- 7.7.1.3.3 UC8_KPI_FT_03 Edge node is capable of real time applications and process camera streams in real-time
 - **Result type**: True/False
 - Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE REALTIME
 - **Evaluation method**: True if successful implementation of object detection.

7.7.1.3.4 UC8_KPI_FT_04 - The AI model are located in the node

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE LOCATION NODE
- **Evaluation method**: True by default, as all AI models will be implemented in edge node.

7.7.1.3.5 UC8_KPI_FT_05 - The AI models will be prepared for the Versal platform

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW INFERENCE MODEL
 FORMAT VERSAL
- **Evaluation method**: AI models will be prepared in Vitis AI for the Versal platform. True if successful deployment.

7.7.1.3.6 UC8_KPI_FT_06 - AI models will be trained in the cloud and then deployed on the node

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - LOCATION - CLOUD
- **Evaluation method**: Supervised training and model management in the cloud. True if training in the cloud successful implemented.

7.7.1.3.7 UC8_KPI_FT_07 - AI models will be trained on a device and then deployed on the node

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - LOCATION - OTHER
- **Evaluation method**: Supervised training on local computer before implementation of cloud will start. True if training successful.

7.7.1.3.8 UC8_KPI_FT_08 - The AI models use supervised learning for training

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - PARADIGM - SUPERVISED LEARNING
- **Evaluation method**: True by default, as all models are planned for supervised learning.

7.7.1.3.9 UC8_KPI_FT_09 - Vitis is able to import and execute YOLO algorithms for KRIA platform

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - ALGORITHMS - YOLO
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI can import YOLO algorithms.

7.7.1.3.10 UC8_KPI_FT_10 - Vitis is able to import and deploy convolutional neural networks for KRIA platform

• **Result type**: True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 165 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| + FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - ALGORITHMS - CNN
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI can import CNN models for the Kria platform.
- 7.7.1.3.11 UC8_KPI_FT_11 Vitis is able to import and deploy artificial neural networks for Versal platform
 - **Result type**: True/False
 - Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - ALGORITHMS - ANN
 - **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI can import ANN models for the Versal platform.

7.7.1.3.12 UC8_KPI_FT_12 - Vitis is able to import and deploy graph neural networks for Versal platform

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LEARNING/ TRAINING - ALGORITHMS - GNN
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI can import GNN models for the Versal platform.

7.7.1.3.13 UC8_KPI_FT_13 - Edge node provides the library TensorFlow – Keras

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY TENSORFLOW KERAS
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI provides support for TensorFlow and Keras.

7.7.1.3.14 UC8_KPI_FT_14 - Edge node provides the library OpenCV

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY OPENCV
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI provides support for OpenCV or branches of OpenCV.

7.7.1.3.15 UC8_KPI_FT_15 - Edge node provides the library NumPy

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY NUMPY
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI provides support for NumPy.

7.7.1.3.16 UC8_KPI_FT_16 - Edge node provides the library PyTorch

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY AI SW LIBRARY PYTORCH
- **Evaluation method**: True if Vitis AI provides support for PyTorch.

7.7.1.3.17 UC8_KPI_FT_17 - Service orchestration part of the fleet management system

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY SERVICES ORCHESTRATION
- **Evaluation method**: True if orchestration of services is available from the cloud.

7.7.1.3.18 UC8_KPI_FT_18 - Edge node adapts to various predefined scenarios

- **Result type**: detection time < 1 ms
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY OPERATION MODE CHANGE METASCHEDULING SYSTEM RECONFIGURATION
- **Evaluation method**: If HATMA performs a schedule switch when a predefined scenario occurs. How long takes the HATMA adaptation logic to detect the new scenario.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 166 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.1.3.19 UC8_KPI_FT_19 - Edge node is fault tolerant

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY OPERATION MODE CHANGE METASCHEDULING FAULT TOLERANCE
- **Evaluation method**: True if system services are still supported in the presence of system faults.

7.7.1.3.20 UC8_KPI_FT_20 - Edge node adapts to required load level with different low power approaches

- **Result type**: >= 75 m
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: ADAPTABILITY OPERATION MODE CHANGE METASCHEDULING LOW POWER
- **Evaluation method**: Optimization of functions and components on the edge node to accomplish at least 75 m from the designed 100 m of the ultracapacitor pack, regarding the additional components like the camera systems added during the FRACTAL project.

7.7.1.3.21 UC8_KPI_FT_21 - AI model for object detection have to be validated concerning the accuracy

- **Result type**: > 95 %
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: RELIABILITY AI MODEL ACCURACY / VALIDATION
- **Evaluation method**: True if object detection accuracy is higher than 95 %.

7.7.1.3.22 UC8_KPI_FT_22 - TT off chip comm. required for safe communication between the edge nodes

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY TIME TRIGGERED COMMUNICATION - OFF CHIP
- **Evaluation method**: True if safety critical communication between edge nodes is established.

7.7.1.3.23 UC8_KPI_FT_23 - TT on chip comm. required for safety monitoring the node level of an edge node

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY TIME TRIGGERED COMMUNICATION - ON CHIP
- **Evaluation method**: True if TTNoC is implemented on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.24 UC8_KPI_FT_24 - Safety service is required for evaluation of the object detection

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY MONITORING CORES
- **Evaluation method**: True if meta scheduler is implemented on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.25 UC8_KPI_FT_25 - Self testing for the TTNOC on the edge

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY SELF TESTING BUILT-IN SELF TEST ON ADAPTIVE TIME TRIGGERED NOC
- **Evaluation method**: True if TTNoC is implemented in the FPGAs, as it is a part of the TTNoC.

7.7.1.3.26 UC8_KPI_FT_26 - Scheduling services on node level to provide fail-safe operation

• **Result type**: True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 167 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY FAIL-SAFE SCHEDULING SERVICES
- **Evaluation method**: True if TTNoC is implemented on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.27 UC8_KPI_FT_27 - Safe wireless communication between nodes

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY REDUNDANCY COMMUNICATION MESSAGES
- **Evaluation method**: True if TTNoC is implemented on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.28 UC8_KPI_FT_28 - Safety service is required for evaluation of the object detection

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY REALTIME AWARE NON-INTERRUPTABLE PROCESSES
- **Evaluation method**: True if zone evaluation will be implemented as non-interruptible process.

7.7.1.3.29 UC8_KPI_FT_29 - Scheduling services on node level to provide fail-safe operation

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY REALTIME AWARE HW FAILURE INTERRUPTS
- **Evaluation method**: True if TTNoC is implemented on the FPGAs.

7.7.1.3.30 UC8_KPI_FT_30 - Edge node must provide a degration level for processes

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY PROCESS SCHEDULING SYSTEM DEGRADATION
- **Evaluation method**: True if HATMA is implemented on the Versal platform.

7.7.1.3.31 UC8_KPI_FT_31 - Safety Regulation ISO 61508 Generic

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SAFETY REGULATION ISO 61508 Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety-related Systems
- **Evaluation method**: True if implementation of safety concept extension successful.

7.7.1.3.32 UC8_KPI_FT_32 - Part of the meta scheduling approach

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: LOW POWER SCHEDULING SERVICES
- **Evaluation method**: True if meta scheduler is implemented on the Versal platform.

7.7.1.3.33 UC8_KPI_FT_33 - Battery level of the shuttle will be tracked for data collection

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS SENSORS BATTERY
 LEVEL
- **Evaluation method**: True by default from shuttle control services.

7.7.1.3.34 UC8_KPI_FT_34 - Shuttle edge node requires cameras for environmental awareness

- Result type: 10 fps
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS SENSORS CAMERA
- **Evaluation method**: True if hardware implementation of cameras on Kria platform successful.

7.7.1.3.35 UC8_KPI_FT_35 - Shuttle edge node utilizes sensors for positioning in the racking

• **Result type**: True/False

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 168 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS SENSORS POSITION
- **Evaluation method**: True by default from shuttle and lift control services.

7.7.1.3.36 UC8_KPI_FT_36 - Shuttle edge node utilizes sensors for fine positioning to the totes

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS SENSOR NETWORK RELATIVE POSITION
- **Evaluation method**: True by default from shuttle control services.

7.7.1.3.37 UC8_KPI_FT_37 - AI model for object detection via cameras for the shuttles

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS ACTIONS OBJECT DETECTION
- **Evaluation method**: True if zone evaluation will be implemented successful.

7.7.1.3.38 UC8_KPI_FT_38 - AI model for object detection triggers on detection and generates an alarm

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: CONTEXT-AWARENESS ACTIONS AI TRIGGERED ALARM
- **Evaluation method**: True if connection between object detection and zone evaluation successful.

7.7.1.3.39 UC8_KPI_FT_39 - Deployed design and models has to be verified during boot process

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: SECURITY BOOT FIRMWARE VERIFICATION
- **Evaluation method**: True by version controlling in the cloud and the verification on the edge nodes.

7.7.1.3.40 UC8_KPI_FT_40 - Connection to higher-level processes, such as the mfc or for downloading diagnose data

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY TECHNOLOGIES ETHERNET
- **Evaluation method**: True by hardware design.

7.7.1.3.41 UC8_KPI_FT_41 - Connection between nodes, Versal <--> Kria

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY TECHNOLOGIES WIFI
- **Evaluation method**: True by hardware design.

7.7.1.3.42 UC8_KPI_FT_42 - Data protocol between nodes will be MQTT

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY COMMUNICATION / CONNECTIVITY DATA PROTOCOLS MQTT
- **Evaluation method**: True if MQTT protocol is utilized between edge nodes.

7.7.1.3.43 UC8_KPI_FT_43 - Fleet management system service orchestration

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY ORCHESTRATION SERVICES

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 169 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• **Evaluation method**: True if service orchestrator can manage equipment control services.

7.7.1.3.44 UC8_KPI_FT_44 - Fleet management system data orchestration

- Result type: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY ORCHESTRATION DATA
- **Evaluation method**: True if service orchestrator can manage data sets and customer specific data.

7.7.1.3.45 UC8_KPI_FT_45 - Fleet management system model orchestration

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY ORCHESTRATION MODEL
- **Evaluation method**: True if service orchestrator can manage and train AI models.

7.7.1.3.46 UC8_KPI_FT_46 - Hierarchical architecture on system level of the edge nodes

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: FRACTALITY HIERARCHICAL ARCHITECTURE
- **Evaluation method**: True if hierarchical is established from cloud down to the Kria board.

7.7.1.3.47 UC8_KPI_FT_47 - Versal node will be implemented in the lift node

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL PLATFORM (SELECT ONE) VERSAL ARM
- **Evaluation method**: True if implementation of Versal edge node for the lifts is successful.

7.7.1.3.48 UC8_KPI_FT_48 - Kria node (Zynq Ultrascale + MPSoC) will be implemented in the shuttle nodes

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL PLATFORM (SELECT ONE) ZYNQ ULTRASCALE+ (VERSAL ALTERNATIVE)
- **Evaluation method**: True if implementation of Kria edge nodes for the shuttles is successful.

7.7.1.3.49 UC8_KPI_FT_49 - Edge nodes execute a Linux OS

- **Result type**: True/False
- Helps to demonstrate UC Feature: OTHER: NON-FUNCTIONAL OS LINUX
- **Evaluation method**: True if control services are verified in its functionality.

7.7.2 Use Case Requirement Validation methods

Use case requirements validation methods are defined under the KPI defined for Use Case Requirements.

7.7.2.1.1 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_01

- **Description**: The edge node should have followed hardware specification:
 - at least 2 cores @ 800 MHz
 - at least 4 GB RAM
 - at least eMMC Memory or similar.
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True by development board properties.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.2.1.2 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_02

- **Description**: These communication protocols shall be used from Linux OS: - MQTT over WiFi mesh network for communication between nodes
 - CAN Bus for internal communication.
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if hardware design for Versal and Kria boards successful.

7.7.2.1.3 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_03

- **Description**: The edge node shall provide enough interfaces for two cameras.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True by development board properties.

7.7.2.1.4 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_04

- **Description**: The edge node shall be capable to detect objects (human body and other obstacles) from video input stream of the provided cameras and evaluate the detected object to generate a safe output, if the obstacle is in a defined range of the shuttle.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if object detection and evaluation are implemented on the Kria edge node successful.

7.7.2.1.5 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_05

- **Description**: The edge node shall be able to use an adaptive orchestrator (scheduler) for storing strategies and optimized pathfinding for each shuttle depending on material (weight, type), frequency of requests, division of same type in different levels for alternative access/ faster access on big order amount.
- Result type: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if orchestrator is implemented on the Versal edge node successful.

7.7.2.1.6 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_06

- **Description**: The edge node shall offer optimized pathfinding: Improving path of the shuttles, for different scenarios; obstacle in same layer; malfunction of a shuttle; avoiding crossing in same level.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if orchestrator is implemented on the Versal edge node successful.

7.7.2.1.7 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_07

- **Description**: The node shall feature Linux operating system with real time capability (e.g., time-triggered communication capabilities).
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True by TTNoC implementation.

7.7.2.1.8 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_08

- **Description**: Safety wireless communication should be over a black channel (ASIL 3, ISO 26262) between nodes.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True by TTNoC implementation and the realization of TT off chip communication capabilities.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 171 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.2.1.9 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_09

- **Description**: For the edge nodes a cross compiler shall be available to port control software.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if control software builds for Versal and Kria are successful.

7.7.2.1.10 UC8_KPI_IP_Req_10

- **Description**: The edge node shall support libraries, like TensorFlow/ Keras.
- **Result type**: True/False
- **Evaluation method**: True if model implementation through Vitis AI successful.

7.7.3 Components Validation

Components used by the Use Case can be divided into two groups: *specific* components produced by the Use Case, and general *common* FRACTAL Components used by the Use Case.

The validation of Use Case Specific components is done through the corresponding KPIs. However, FRACTAL common components cannot be validated by just one UC, therefore, validation through this Use Case can be considered only as just a partial validation of the component.

7.7.3.1 Case Specific Components

7.7.3.1.1 UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)

Hardware preparation of FPGAs regarding hardware interfaces for successful migration of control services from SBC to the edge nodes. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC8_KPI_IP_02 Duty cycle of control software (Versal) with target design < 20 ms
- UC8_KPI_IP_06 Duty cycle of control software (Kria) with target design < 20 ms
- UC8_KPI_FO_01 Cycle time of services on edge node with accelerated orchestrator implemented and running. (Versal) < 20 ms
- UC8_KPI_FO_02 Cycle time of services on edge node with accurate cognitive AI application implemented and running. (KRIA) < 20 ms
- UC8_KPI_FO_06 Safe wireless communication between nodes. % telegram losses

7.7.3.1.2 UC8_CMP_02 - Evaluation of object detection

Safety critical zone evaluation in combination with object detection based on a neural network for Kria platform. The connection to existing safety PLCs is crucial for successful implementation. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC8_KPI_IP_07 Build OpenCV for target (Kria ARM) success True/ False
- UC8_KPI_IP_09 Build object detection application success True/ False
- UC8_KPI_IP_10 Model accuracy of the object detection > 95 %
- UC8_KPI_IP_11 Build zone evaluation logic application success True/ False
- UC8_KPI_IP_12 Inference time of object detection < 100 ms
- UC8_KPI_IP_13 Failure rate of connection between FPGA and safety plc %

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 172 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- UC8_KPI_FO_03 Self-sufficient decisions for each shuttle in respect to functional safety and additional degradation steps. High accuracy in detection is required. - > 95 %
- UC8_KPI_FO_05 Real-time inference for object detection on edge node with all services and accelerators implemented. 10 fps

7.7.3.1.3 UC8_CMP_03 - AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

Orchestrator for material handling equipment. In case of the test setup, this means two shuttles and two lifts, which are provided with tasks. Optimization will be done for three different storage scenarios: Weight flow optimization, priority flag sorting and obstacle avoidance. Pathfinding will be realized by ant colony optimization. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC8_KPI_IP_03 Build AA shuttle orchestrator for target (Versal ARM) True/ False
- UC8_KPI_IP_04 Inference time of predictions shuttle orchestrator (Versal ARM) < 2 s
- UC8_KPI_FO_04 Real-time inference for meta scheduler, which can react on various pre-defined events and make safe decisions for pathfinding and storage strategies for different goods. - < 2 s

7.7.3.1.4 UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

Implementation of fleet management system in the test setup to manage control services, AI models and data. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

- UC8_KPI_IP_14 Setup cloud service orchestrator success True/ False
- UC8_KPI_FO_06 Safe wireless communication between nodes. % telegram losses

7.7.3.1.5 UC8_CMP_05 - Integrated demonstration software on target

Merging and preparation for test setup integration of elaborated components. Validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the following KPIs (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test):

• UC8_KPI_IP_08 - Build demonstration software on target success - True/ False

Consists of:

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler
- UC8_CMP_04 Cloud service orchestration

7.7.3.2 FRACTAL Common Components

7.7.3.2.1 WP3T32-10 - Versal accelerator building-blocks

This component consists of the development of building-blocks for accelerators for Versal. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 173 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

• UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)

7.7.3.2.2 WP3T34-03 - Versal Model deployment layer

This component consists of the model deployment on the Versal APU + DPU control from model repository images. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.3 WP4T41-02 – HATMA

This component consists of the Hierarchical Adaptive Time-triggered Multi-core Architecture to facilitate services at the different hierarchies. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)

7.7.3.2.4 WP4T41-04 - Versal RPU access for Power Services

This component consists of a component to access dynamic power, frequency scaling features on Versal [VCK190]. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.5 WP4T41-05 - Agreement protocol for Low-Power Services

This component consists of the implementation for the agreement protocol on a wireless network on low-power devices. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)

7.7.3.2.6 WP4T41-06 - Versal Isolation Design - Functional Safety

This component consists of enhancing the common Versal platform to strictly separate functional accesses, services from underlying HW access. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)

7.7.3.2.7 WP4T42-02 - Versal RPU access to AI acceleration

This component consists of the enhance RPU libraries to (1) access APU based AI as a service, (2) enable local AI [acceleration] deployment from RPU. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific*

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium 174 of 193 |
|---|
|---|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

components that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.8 WP4T42-03 - Scenario Generator

This component consists of the Scenario Generator that provides the inputs for the machine learning algorithm. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.9 WP4T42-04 - GA-Scheduler

This component consists of the scheduler (Genetic Algorithm) that provides the solutions of the scheduling problems given by the Scenario Generator component. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.10 WP4T42-05 - AI-Scheduler Model

This component consists of the machine learning model used to predict schedules. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.11 WP4T42-06 - Schedule Verifier

This component consists of the Schedule verifier/ reconstructor that takes the predictions of the machine learning model and convert them into a schedule. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.12 WP4T42-07 - Hierarchical Meta scheduler

This component consists of the offline tool to compute time-triggered schedules by considering context events such as dynamic slack, failure scenarios. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific*

| | Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 175 of 193 |
|--|--|------------|
|--|--|------------|

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

components that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.13 WP4T43-04 – ATTNoC

This component consists of the Adaptive TTNoC, which provides time triggered communication for NoC and allow the systems to switch schedules in case of any failures occurs in the NoC. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.14 WP4T43-06 - FPGA Fault-injector

This component consists of a tool to inject faults in the NOEL-V multicore. It is suitable for any Ultrascale+ FPGA. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_01 - Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (KRIA)

7.7.3.2.15 WP4T43-08 - Seamless redundancy for ATTNoC

This component consists of the seamless redundancy, which provides fault tolerance on the NoC by sending two set of seamless data with seamless path at the same time, so failures in one path can be masked. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.16 WP4T43-11 - Time-Triggered Extension Layer for Versal NoC

This component consists of a time-triggered extension layer that is an extension layer developed for Versal NoC that allow the Versal NoC to transfer messages using time triggered traffic. Partial validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the Use Case specific components that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection
- UC8_CMP_03 AI accelerated orchestrator/ scheduler

7.7.3.2.17 WP4T43-13 - Safety Analysis

Safety concept by performing a risk analysis within the scope of the concept phase of ISO 61508 by application of DIN EN ISO 3691-4 (item definition, risk assessment

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 176 of 193 |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

| | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

and functional safety concept) on the system, in context of VAL_UC8. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_02 Evaluation of object detection

7.7.3.2.18 WP4T44-02 - OS Security Layer

This component consists of an Implementation of security countermeasures in a transversal security layer. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

- UC8_CMP_01 Hardware design with CAN Bus connectivity (Versal and KRIA)
- UC8_CMP_05 Integrated demonstration software on target

7.7.3.2.19 WP5T52-04-05 - LakeFS deployment and configuration

This component consists of the dataset version control repository. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

7.7.3.2.20 WP5T52-04-07 - Images repository

This component consists of the container Registry for Docker Images. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

7.7.3.2.21 WP5T52-05-02 - Airflow deployment and configuration

This component consists in cloud deployment of Airflow and configure its integration with other services for their orchestration. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

7.7.3.2.22 WP5T52-06-01 - Model preparation for FRACTAL Edge (Versal Xilinx Vitis AI)

This component consists of the Workflows to compile models for Versal with Xilinx Vitis AI, add containerized toolchain to the cloud. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

7.7.3.2.23 WP5T54-01-01 - MLBuffet

This component consists of the Machine Learning tool for model serving and management. Deployable in containers with Swarm and Kubernetes. API for managing models and sending input/Outputs. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

7.7.3.2.24 WP5T54-02-02 - Kubernetes

This component consists of an Open-Source orchestrator for cluster management and container orchestration. *Partial* validation is done by successfully executing the tests of the KPIs of the *Use Case specific components* that use this common component (see the corresponding KPI for details of the test)

• UC8_CMP_04 - Cloud service orchestration

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 178 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

8 Conclusions

This document has presented the four Use Cases for the Industrial Validation of FRACTAL, guiding the WP8 tasks that will be started next: T8.3 "Case Study Implementation" and T8.4 "Case Study Justification File".

In this sense, each Use Case has been presented and described in the context of FRACTAL, along with an exploration of the state of the art in order to understand how to compare FRACTAL UC implementation with existing solutions. In D8.2 "System Requirement" a benchmark will be defined for that scope.

The Use Cases have also explored the implementation plan, defining implementation steps and how to evaluate implementation progress. Also, a set of KPIs has been defined related to implementation tasks, FRACTAL objectives and Use Case specific characteristics.

Finally, a justification plan has been presented that defines how the Use Case will be evaluated in the following terms:

- Implementation progress;
- Use Case Requirements;
- FRACTAL Objectives;
- FRACTAL Features;
- FRACTAL Components.

The results from the execution of the justification plan for the four Use Cases will be collected in D8.3 "Evaluation Result", which will also expand the evaluation methods that have been shortly described in this document.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 179 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | | |

9 Bibliography

- [1] https://shift2rail.org/.
- [2] https://projects.shift2rail.org/s2r_ipx_n.aspx?p=tauro.
- [3] https://www.europeanfiles.eu/climate/shifting-to-europes-rail.
- [4] Ritika, S., Mittal, S., and Rao, D., "Railway track specific traffic signal selection using deep learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06107 (2017).
- [5] Choodowicz, E., Lisiecki, P., and Lech, P., "Hybrid Algorithm for the Detection and Recognition of Railway Signs." International Conference on Computer Recognition Systems. Springer, Cham, 2019..
- [6] Karagiannis, G., Olsen, S., and Pedersen, K., "Deep Learning for Detection of Railway Signs and Signals." Science and Information Conference. Springer, Cham, 2019..
- [7] Haseeb, M. A., Guan, J., Ristic-Durrant, D., and Gräser, A., "DisNet: " a novel method for distance estimation from monocular camera." 10th Planning, Perception and Navigation for Intelligent Vehicles (PPNIV18), IROS (2018)..
- [8] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., and Hinton, G. E., "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2012..
- [9] Huang, J., Rathod, V., Sun, C., Zhu, M., Korattikara, A., Fathi, A., ... and Murphy, K., "Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2017..
- [10] Zeiler, M. D., and Fergus, R., "Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks." European conference on computer vision. Springer, Cham, 2014..
- [11] Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., ... and Rabinovich, A., "Going deeper with convolutions." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2015..
- [12] Simonyan, K., and Zisserman, A., "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014)..
- [13] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., and Sun, J., "Deep residual learning for image recognition." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2016..
- [14] Li, Z., Peng, C., Yu, G., Zhang, X., Deng, Y., and Sun, J., "Detnet: A backbone network for object detection." arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.06215 (2018)..
- [15] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, and J. Malik. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In CVPR, 2014..
- [16] Girshick, R., "Fast r-cnn." Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2015..
- [17]] Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., and Farhadi, A., "You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2016..
- [18] Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C. Y., and Berg,
 A. C. "SSD: Single shot multibox detector." European conference on computer vision. Springer, Cham, 2016..
- [19] Lin, T. Y., Goyal, P., Girshick, R., He, K., and Dollar, P., "Focal 'loss for dense object detection." Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2017..
- [20] J. Redmon and A. Farhadi. Yolo9000: Better, faster, stronger. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2017 IEEE Conference on, pages 6517– 6525. IEEE, 2017..
- [21] Redmon, J., and Farhadi, A., "Yolov3: An incremental improvement." arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767. 2018..
- [22] Alexey Bochkovskiy, Chien-Yao Wang, Hong-Yuan Mark Liao, "YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection". Cornell University. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.10934.
- [23] Sen Wang et al. "DeepVO: Towards end-to-end visual odometry with deep recurrent convolutional neural networks". In: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). IEEE. 2017, pp. 2043–2050..
- [24] Raul Mur-Artal and Juan D Tardós. "Orb-slam2: An opensource slam system for monocular, stereo, and rgb-d cameras". In: IEEE Transactions on Robotics 33.5 (2017), pp. 1255–1262..
- [25] Muhammad Abdul Haseeb et al. "DisNet: A novel method for distance estimation from monocular camera". In: 10th Planning, Perception and Navigation for Intelligent Vehicles (PPNIV18), IROS (2018)..

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | | |

- [26] FPGA vs. GPU vs. CPU hardware options for AI applications. https://www.avnet.com/wps/portal/silica/resources/article/fpga-vs-gpu-vs-cpuhardware-options-for-ai-applications/.
- [27]] Farhad Fallahlalehzari. FPGA vs GPU for Machine Learning Applications: Which one is better? https://www.aldec.com/en/company/blog/167--fpgas-vsgpus-for-machine-learning-applications-which-one-is-better.
- [28] NVIDIA Xavier Achieves Industry First with Expert Safety Assessment https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2020/05/20/xavier-achieves-industry-first-safetyassessment/.
- [29] Object Detection Metrics. https://towardsdatascience.com/on-objectdetection-metrics-with-worked-example-216f173ed31e.
- [30] Dehaene, Michiel. 2008. Heterotopia and the City: Public Space in a Postcivil Society. 1st ed. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203089415..
- [31] Andrade, L., Quintero, J., Gamess, E., & Russoniello, A. (2018). A Proposal for a Technological Solution to Improve user Experience in a Shopping Center based on Indoor Geolocation Services., INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLICATIONS, 9(6), 389-401.
- [32] https://trendwatching.com/quarterly/2019-02/future-experiencesasia/#sentient-spaces.
- [33] https://www.businessmodelsinc.com/sentient-spaces-are-shaping-thefuture-of-retail/.
- [34] Hore, Bijit, et al. "Design and implementation of a middleware for sentient spaces." 2007 IEEE Intelligence and Security Informatics. IEEE, 2007.
- [35] https://www.smartmediaworld.net/products/interactive-kiosks.html.
- [36] https://www.sita.aero/innovation/sita-lab/intelligent-machines/katesitas-autonomous-robot.
- [37] https://www.intel.it/content/www/it/it/internet-of-things/iotsolutions/kiosk/overview.html.
- [38] https://www.zebra.com/it/it/products/interactive-kiosks.html.
- [39] Gales, M.J.F., Knill, K.M., Ragni, A. et al., "Speech recognition and keyword spotting for low-resource languages : Babel project research at CUED.", Fourth International Workshop on Spoken Language Technologies for Under-Resourced Languages (SLTU-2014)., 14-16 May 2014, St. Petersburg, Russia, pp. 16-23.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 182 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- [40] Y. Permanasari, E.H. Harahap, and E. Prayoga Ali, "Speech recognition using Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)", 2nd International Conference on Applied & Industrial Mathematics and Statistics 23–25 July 2019, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia.
- [41] E. Singer, P. A. Torres-Carrasquillo, T. P. Gleason, W. M. Campbell and D.
 A. Reynolds, "Acoustic phonetic and discriminative approaches to automatic language recognition", Proc. Eurospeech, pp. 1345-1348, 2003.
- [42] M. A. Zissman, "Comparison of four approaches to automatic language identification of telephone speech", IEEE Trans. Speech Audio Process., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 31-44, Jan. 1996.
- [43] A. Gupta and A. Joshi, "Speech Recognition Using Artificial Neural Network," 2018 International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP), 2018, pp. 0068-0071, doi: 10.1109/ICCSP.2018.8524333.
- [44] Sadanandam, M. & Prasad, V. & Janaki, V. & Nagesh, Akkaladevi. (2012). Text independent language recognition system using DHMM with new features. 511-514. 10.1109/ICoSP.2012.6491537.
- [45] P. A. Torres-Carrasquillo, E. Singer, M. A. Kohler, R. J. Greene, D. A. Reynolds and J. R. Deller, "Approaches to language identification using Gaussian mixture models and shifted delta cepstral features", Proc. ICSLP, pp. 89-92, 2002.
- [46] W. M. Campbell, J. P. Campbell, D. A. Reynolds, E. Singer and P. A. Torres-Carrasquillo, "Support vector machines for speaker and language recognition", Comput. Speech Lang., vol. 20, pp. 210-229, 2006.
- B. Ma, H. Li and R. Tong, "Spoken Language Recognition Using Ensemble Classifiers," in IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 2053-2062, Sept. 2007, doi: 10.1109/TASL.2007.902861.
- [48] https://www.v2c2.at/spider/.
- [49] Quigley, Morgan, et al. "ROS: an open-source Robot Operating System." ICRA workshop on open source software. Vol. 3. No. 3.2. 2009.
- [50] https://www.ros.org/.
- [51] Rusu, Radu Bogdan, and Steve Cousins. "3d is here: Point cloud library (pcl)." 2011 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation. IEEE, 2011.
- [52] Hoffmann, G. M., Tomlin, C. J., Montemerlo, M., & Thrun, S. (2007, July). Autonomous automobile trajectory tracking for off-road driving: Controller design, experimental validation and racing. In 2007 American control conference (pp. 2296-2301). IEEE.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- [53] Gutiérrez, R., López-Guillén, E., Bergasa, L. M., Barea, R., Pérez, Ó., Gómez-Huélamo, C., ... & López-Fernández, J. (2020). A waypoint tracking controller for autonomous road vehicles using ROS framework. Sensors, 20(14), 4062.
- [54] Perez-Leon, H., Acevedo, J. J., Millan-Romera, J. A., Castillejo-Calle, A., Maza, I., & Ollero, A. (2019, November). An aerial robot path follower based on the 'carrot chasing'algorithm. In Iberian Robotics conference (pp. 37-47). Springer, Cham.
- [55] Samuel, M., Hussein, M., & Mohamad, M. B. (2016). A review of some purepursuit based path tracking techniques for control of autonomous vehicle. International Journal of Computer Applications, 135(1), 35-38.
- [56] Hoffmann, G. M., Tomlin, C. J., Montemerlo, M., & Thrun, S. (2007, July). Autonomous automobile trajectory tracking for off-road driving: Controller design, experimental validation and racing. In 2007 American control conference (pp. 2296-2301). IEEE.
- [57] Rostami, S. M. H., Sangaiah, A. K., Wang, J., & Liu, X. (2019). Obstacle avoidance of mobile robots using modified artificial potential field algorithm. EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, 2019(1), 1-19.
- [58] Wiig, M. S., Pettersen, K. Y., & Krogstad, T. R. (2018, August). A 3D reactive collision avoidance algorithm for nonholonomic vehicles. In 2018 IEEE Conference on Control Technology and Applications (CCTA) (pp. 67-74). IEEE.
- [59] Leca, D., Cadenat, V., & Sentenac, T. (2019, September). Sensor-based algorithm for collision-free avoidance of mobile robots in complex dynamic environments. In 2019 European Conference on Mobile Robots (ECMR) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [60] https://keras.io/.
- [61] *https://www.tensorflow.org/.*
- [62] Schwarzl, Christian, Gerhard Greiner, and Paolo Pretto. "Testing of Safetycritical ADAS Functions with Mobile HiL Platforms." ATZ worldwide 122.10 (2020): 36-41.
- [63] IEC 61508: 2010 "Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic (E/E/PE) safety-related systems" (7 parts),.
- [64] ISO 26262:2018 "Road vehicles Functional safety" (12 Parts).
- [65] ISO/FDIS 21448:2022 "Road vehicles Safety of the intended functionality".

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- [66] ISO/TR 4804:2020 "Road vehicles Safety and cybersecurity for automated driving systems Design, verification and validation",.
- [67] ISO/SAE PAS 22736:2021 "Taxonomy and definitions for terms related to driving automation systems for on-road motor vehicles".
- [68] ISO/AWI TS 5083 (not released) "Road vehicles Safety for automated driving systems Design, verification and validation",.
- [69] Koscher, Karl, et al. "Experimental security analysis of a modern automobile." 2010 IEEE symposium on security and privacy. IEEE, 2010.
- [70] ISO/SAE. Road Vehicles Cybersecurity engineering. Standard ISO/SAE 21434:2021. Geneva, CH, 2021. url: https://www.iso.org/standard/70918.html.
- [71] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2002). Artificial intelligence: a modern approach.
- [72] Nilsson, N. J. (2009). The quest for artificial intelligence. Cambridge University Press.
- [73] Tabernik, D., & Skočaj, D. (2019). Deep learning for large-scale traffic-sign detection and recognition. IEEE transactions on intelligent transportation systems, 21(4), 1427-1440.
- [74] Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255-260.
- [75] Kiran, B. R., Sobh, I., Talpaert, V., Mannion, P., Al Sallab, A. A., Yogamani,
 S., & Pérez, P. (2021). Deep reinforcement learning for autonomous driving: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems.
- [76] Bojarski, M., Del Testa, D., Dworakowski, D., Firner, B., Flepp, B., Goyal, P.,
 ... & Zieba, K. (2016). End to end learning for self-driving cars. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.07316.
- [77] Taylor, J., Yudkowsky, E., LaVictoire, P., & Critch, A. (2016). Alignment for advanced machine learning systems. Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, 342-382.
- [78] Amodei, D., Olah, C., Steinhardt, J., Christiano, P., Schulman, J., & Mané, D.
 (2016). Concrete problems in AI safety. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06565.
- [79] *https://www.selene-project.eu/.*
- [80] https://github.com/siemens/isar-riscv.
- [81] https://github.com/onnx/keras-onnx.
- [82] https://gazebosim.org/home.

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

- [83] https://index.ros.org/p/demo_nodes_cpp/.
- [84] https://docs.ros.org/en/rolling/Concepts/About-Quality-of-Service-Settings.html.
- [85] https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Gcov.html.
- [86] https://docs.ros.org/en/rolling/Concepts/About-Quality-of-Service-Settings.html.
- [87] https://github.com/ros2/rosbag2.
- [88] https://www.digitalocean.com/community/tutorials/how-to-use-topnetstat-du-other-tools-to-monitor-server-resources.
- [89] https://docs.ros.org/en/rolling/Tutorials.html.
- [90] https://www.it-production.com/automation-undrobotik/schwarmintelligenz-knapp-ag/, Accessed: 22.07.2022.
- [91] M. De Ryck, M. Versteyhe and F. Debrouwere, "Automated Guided Vehicle Systems, State-Of-The-Art Control Algorithms and Techniques," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 54, pp. 152-173, 2020.
- [92] D. Yingying, H. Yan e J. Jingping, «Multi-Robot Cooperation Method Based On The Ant Algorithm,» *Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE Swarm Intelligence Symposium,* pp. 14-18, 2003.

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 186 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

10 List of figures

| Figure 1 - Laboratory validation setup over X86 and GPU platform |
|---|
| Figure 2 - a) Deployment setup based on Jetson Xavier platform. b) Validation setup |
| based on Jetson Xavier platform |
| Figure 3 - Grade of Automation Levels Presented in S2R |
| Figure 4 - Intersection over Union |
| Figure 5 - Precision calculation |
| Figure 6 - Recall calculation |
| Figure 7 - Precision curve |
| Figure 8 - Recall curve |
| Figure 9 - PR Curve |
| Figure 10 - Average Precision (AP) curve |
| Figure 11 - Mean Average Precision (mAP) |
| Figure 12 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan Tasks |
| Figure 13 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Objectives |
| Figure 14 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Features |
| Figure 15 - FRACTAL Big Picture Instantiation for UC5 |
| Figure 16 - UC5 Architecture and components |
| Figure 17 - UC Implementation Plan Chronogram |
| Figure 18 - Components produced by the execution of UC5 Implementation Plan 34 |
| Figure 19 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3 WP4 WP5 WP6 needed to |
| execute UC5 Implementation Plan |
| Figure 20 - Task UC5 T1 1 traceability relationship between task components and |
| KPIs 35 |
| Figure 21 - Task UC5 T1 2 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs 35 |
| Figure 22 - Task UC5 T1 3 traceability relationship between task components and |
| KPIs 35 |
| Figure 23 - Task UC5 T1 4 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs 36 |
| Figure 24 - Task LIC5 T1 4 1 traceability relationship between task components |
| and KPIs 36 |
| Figure 25 - Task LIC5 T1 4 2 traceability relationship between task components |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 26 - Task IIC5 T2 1 traceability relationship between task components and |
| KPIs 36 |
| Figure 27 - Task LIC5 T2 2 traceability relationship between task components and |
| KPIc 37 |
| Figure 28 - Task LIC5 T2 3 traceability relationship between task components and |
| KPIe 27 |
| Figure 29 - Task LICS T3 1 traceability relationship between task components and |
| VDIe |
| NF 15 |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Figure 30 - Task UC5_T3_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
|---|
| Figure 31 - Task UC5_T3_3 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| Figure 32 - Task UC5_T4_1 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs |
| Figure 33 – Digital Signage platform |
| Figure 34 – Totems installed in shopping mail |
| Figure 36 – KPI for LIC6 requirements |
| Figure 37 – KPI for FRACTAL Objectives |
| Figure 38 - KPIs for UC5 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Features |
| Figure 39 – Big Picture customization for UC667 |
| Figure 40 - Chrono program of the Implementation Plan68 |
| Figure 41 – Component produced by execution of UC6 Implementation Plan71 |
| Figure 42 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6 needed to |
| Every d2 Task UC6 CMP 01 traceability relationship between task components |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 44 - Task UC6 CMP 02 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 45 - Task UC6_CMP_03 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs73 |
| Figure 46 - Task UC6_CMP_04 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs74 |
| Figure 47 - Task UC6_CMP_05 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 49 - Task LIC6 CMP 07 traceability relationship between task components |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 50 - Task UC6_CMP_08 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs75 |
| Figure 51 - Task UC6_CMP_09 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs75 |
| Figure 52 - Task UC6_CMP_10 traceability relationship between task, components |
| and KPIs |
| Figure 53 - Smart Physical Demonstration and Evaluation Robot (SPIDER) |
| Figure 55 – SPIDER target movement imitation 88 |
| Figure 56 – SPIDER Hardware Overview |
| Figure 57 – SPIDER System Architecture |
| Figure 58 - Cost map showing obstacles (black), the planned path (green), the |
| chassis zone (blue) representing the physical dimensions of the robot and the danger |
| zone (red) |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Figure 59 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan Tasks |
|--|
| Figure 60 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Objectives |
| Figure 61 - KPIs for UC7 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Features |
| Figure 62 - FRACTAL Big Picture Instantiation for UC7103 |
| Figure 63 - Architecture of the SPIDER104 |
| Figure 64 - UC Implementation Plan Chronogram105 |
| Figure 65 - Components created in UC 7107 |
| Figure 66 - Components needed to execute the implementation plan of UC 7107 |
| Figure 67 - Sub Task UC7_T1_1 traceability relationship108 |
| Figure 68 - Sub Task UC7_T1_2 traceability relationship108 |
| Figure 69 - Sub Task UC7_T1_3 traceability relationship108 |
| Figure 70 - Sub Task UC7_T2_1 traceability relationship109 |
| Figure 71 - Sub Task UC7_T2_2 traceability relationship109 |
| Figure 72 - Sub Task UC7_T2_3 traceability relationship109 |
| Figure 73 - Sub Task UC7_T2_4 traceability relationship109 |
| Figure 74 - Sub Task UC7_T2_5 traceability relationship110 |
| Figure 75 - Sub Task UC7_T2_6 traceability relationship110 |
| Figure 76 - Sub Task UC7_T2_7 traceability relationship110 |
| Figure 77 - Sub Task UC7_T3_1 traceability relationship110 |
| Figure 78 - Sub Task UC7_T3_2 traceability relationship111 |
| Figure 79 - Sub Task UC7_T3_3 traceability relationship111 |
| Figure 80 - CAD rendering of a shuttle |
| Figure 81 - 1. CAD rendering of the test setup - side view |
| Figure 82 - Top view of the test setup for UC8127 |
| Figure 83 - State of the art - shuttle technology from the field level perspective.128 |
| Figure 84 - Shuttle system adapted from automation pyramid |
| Figure 85 - FRACTAL edge nodes installation locations |
| Figure 86 - KPIs for UC8 Implementation Plan Tasks |
| Figure 87 - KPIs for UC8 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Objectives |
| Figure 88 - KPIs for UC8 Implementation Plan to measure the contribution to |
| FRACTAL Features |
| Figure 89 - Fractal Big Picture Instantiation for UC8 |
| Figure 90 - UC8 test setup architecture with fractal components |
| Figure 91 - UC8 implementation plan146 |
| Figure 92 - Components produced by the execution of UC8 Implementation Plan 148 |
| Figure 93 - Common FRACTAL components from WP3, WP4, WP5, WP6 needed to |
| execute UC8 Implementation Plan |
| Figure 94 - Task UC8_T1_1 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs150 |
| Figure 95 - Task UC8_T1_2 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs151 |
| Figure 96 - Task UC8_T1_3 traceability relationship between task, components and |
| KPIs152 |
| |

| FRACTAL | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| Figure 97 - Task UC8_T1_4 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
|---|
| Figure 99 - Task UC8_T1_6 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs155 |
| Figure 100 - Task UC8_T1_7 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 101 - Task UC8_T1_8 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 102 - Task UC8_T1_9 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 103 - Task UC8_T1_10 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 104 - Task UC8_T2_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 105 - Task UC8_T2_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 106 - Task UC8_T3_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 107 - Task UC8_T3_2 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 108 - Task UC8_T3_3 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 109 - Task UC8_T3_4 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs |
| Figure 110 - Task UC8_T4_1 traceability relationship between task, components and KPIs161 |

| 2772°23 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

11 List of tables

| Table 1 - Document history | 6 |
|---|---|
| Table 2 - FRACTAL Objective | 8 |
| Table 3 - Use Case, brief description, leading partners, and their relationship wit | h |
| FRACTAL pillars | 9 |
| Table 4 - SPIDER computing platforms 9 | 0 |
| Table 5 - SPIDER sensor setup 9 | 1 |
| Table 6 - List of electrical components of a shuttle 13 | 0 |
| Table 7 - Lift of electrical components of a lift13 | 0 |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 191 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |

| 255 F. F. S. | Project | FRACTAL | |
|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

12 List of Abbreviations

| AI | Artificial Intelligent |
|-----------|--|
| AGV | Automated Guided Vehicle |
| AP | Average Precision |
| API | Application Programming Interface |
| APU | Application Processing Unit |
| AS/RS | Automated Storage and Retrieval System |
| ATO | Automatic Train Operation |
| ATP | Automatic Train Protection |
| CNN | Convolutional Neural Network |
| CPU | Central Processing Unit |
| CV | Computer Vision |
| DDR RAM | Double Date Rate Random Access Memory |
| DeepVO | Deep Visual Odometry |
| DoA | Document of Agreement |
| DPU | Data Processing Unit |
| DTO | Driverless Train Operation |
| EDDL | European Distributed Deep Learning |
| ERP | Enterprise Resource Planning |
| FDIS | Final Draft International Standard |
| FPGA | Field Programmable Gate Array |
| FPS | Frames Per Second |
| GoA | Grade of Automation |
| GPU | Graphics Processing Unit |
| HW | Hardware |
| IEC | International Electrotechnical Commission |
| ILSVRC | ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge |
| IoU | Intersection over Union |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| KPI | Key Performance Indicator |
| mAP | Mean Average Precision |
| MFC | Material Flow Controller |
| ML | Machine Learning |
| MPSoC | MultiProcessor System on a Chip |
| MQTT | Message Queuing Telemetry Transport |
| NFS | Network File System |
| NoC | Network on Chip |
| ONNX | Open Neural Network Exchange |
| OpenCV | Open Computer Vision |
| ORB-SLAM2 | Open-Source Simultaneous Localization And Mapping System for Monocular, Stereo and RGB-Cameras |
| OS | Operating System |
| PER | Perception |
| | |

| 2952 42 | Project | FRACTAL | |
|---------|-----------|--|--|
| FRACTAL | Title | Specification of Industrial validation Use Cases | |
| | Del. Code | D8.1 | |

| RGB | Red Green Black |
|------|----------------------------------|
| RISC | Reduced Instruction Set Computer |
| ROS | Robot Operating System |
| RTSP | Real Time Streaming Protocol |
| SBC | Single Board Computer |
| SGBM | Semi Global Block Matching |
| SW | Software |
| TBD | To Be Defined |
| ТТ | Time Triggered |
| UC | Use Case |
| UDP | User Data Protocol |
| WCS | Warehouse Control System |
| WMS | Warehouse Management System |
| WP | Work Package |
| Yolo | You Only Look Once |

| Copyright © FRACTAL Project Consortium | 193 of 193 |
|--|------------|
| | |